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#### Abstract

The article presents an analysis of the maximum efficiency and maximum load power, which are available to obtain in periodic wireless power transfer (WPT) systems. The numerical computations of the proposed WPT structures are performed using the finite element method and branch current method. Two theoretical models are discussed, i.e., a numerical model with simplified structure and boundary conditions as well as an equivalent circuit model is proposed to solve WPT systems with many magnetically coupled planar coils. A multivariate analysis is performed, which takes into account the variability of the number of turns, distance between a transmitting and receiving coil, and the frequency of an energy source. The outputs, such as overall efficiency, power of the source and power transferred to a load are discussed. The formulas for the load impedance required to maximize the efficiency or load power, which are taking into account the electrical parameters of the system resulting from its geometry, are presented. The results obtained from proposed models are consistent, which confirm the correctness of the adopted circuit model, which is less complex and faster to compute than numerical one. It is also possible to perform a quick assessment of electrical parameters of the analyzed WPT structure, using presented analytical formulas and numerical model or experimental data. The results allow for a detailed discussion of the dependence of the efficiency and power of the WPT system with respect to geometry of spiral coils.
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## 1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been an increase in energy demand in wireless and mobile devices. Their computing power and the number of supported sensors (e.g., fingerprint sensors, iris scanners) is growing rapidly. These factors contribute to the rising demand for batteries with increased capacity, extending the charging time and determining the mobility of devices. One of the solutions to supply mobile devices is charging using wireless power transfer (WPT) [1-4]. WPT is widely used in the automotive industry, where solutions for hybrid and electric cars are needed [4-6]. For example, in order to charge the batteries while driving, distributed coils along the path of the car were proposed.

Present trends in wireless charging of modern electronics have led to the development of inductive power transfer (IPT) [1,7,8]. IPT system is an alternative method of charging wireless devices, where a pair of coils [9-11] accompanied with additional intermediate coils $[12,13]$ or an array of coils [14-20] are used. WPT systems are also considered in the systems of beacons [21], medical implants in the human body [22,23] as well as smart buildings with sensors inside the walls [24-26]. Despite the wide range of applications, IPT is still analyzed in terms of various solutions and configurations of this type of the system. Each solution requires a multi-variant analysis and verification of the results. In order to avoid early prototyping and performing a number of experimental analyzes, it is possible
to apply numerical methods at the design stage and estimate the potential power transfer as well as the efficiency of the system.

In the literature, solutions improving the efficiency of the WPT system by, for example, introducing additional coils were presented [2]. Such a multi-coil structure leads to an increase of the efficiency of the system by $30 \%$. A different approach was used to decrease the power loss by reducing the equivalent resistance of the resonators [3], which was possible due the usage of a resonant coupling system with coreless coils. However, high density of the resonators and receivers in the area will result in multiple magnetic couplings between them, which may negatively affect the power transfer.

In this article a WPT system consisting of periodically distributed planar coils was discussed. This topology allows one to replace a typical set of several coils participating in energy transfer to a single load, by many adjacent segments containing a pair of coils (transmitter and receiver). Proposed solutions can be used to power either one or multiple independent loads and, in some cases, replace conventional IPT systems. The developed periodic WPT system allows for the simultaneous supply/charging of many low-power receivers, such as mobile devices or sensors repeatedly distributed over hard-to-reach areas (e.g., ceilings, interior walls, housings of other devices).

The operation of periodic WPT structures was analyzed theoretically and performed using numerical models and methods. The considerations were supplemented with analytical formulas that help to identify lumped parameters based on simulation results or measured data. In the article the first option has been chosen. The main purpose, especially at early design stage, is to quickly determine the crucial parameters of the system (e.g., currents, load power, efficiency), without the need to make large and complex numerical models. Hence, an analytical model, based on well-known equivalent circuit of the coupled resonators, was developed to solve the periodic system. Furthermore, the simplified numerical model (intended for the analysis of the magnetic phenomena in the frequency domain) of the proposed WPT system was presented, taking into account the periodic distribution of coils and their diversified structure. Exemplary calculations were performed to determine some electrical parameters of WPT systems, i.e., equivalent properties, source power and current, receiver power and current as well as overall efficiency. The analysis was multi-variant, since the geometry of coils, their parameters and a distance between them were changed.

By appropriate selection of the load resistance, it was possible to either determine the maximum efficiency of the WPT system or the maximum power of the receiver. Therefore, the system was considered at two optimum modes of operation: with the maximum possible efficiency and with the highest power transmitted to a load. Calculations of exemplary periodic WPT systems were performed over a frequency range from 0.1 MHz to 1 MHz . The analysis has taken into consideration the influence of geometric parameters of a coil (number of turns and distance between coils) on the efficiency and the power transmitted to the receiver. The results from both circuit and numerical models were compared and discussed. The values obtained from the numerical model were consistent the values obtained by solving proposed equivalent circuit, which confirmed its accuracy. These methods may constitute as an alternative to the experimental prototypes and simplified analyticalempirical models, currently used to analyze the electrical and magnetic properties of the WPT systems.

## 2. Analyzed Wireless Power Transfer System

### 2.1. The Structure of the Periodic WPT System

The considered system is composed of periodically arranged pairs of transmitting and receiving coils, constituting the WPT cell with outer dimensions $d \times d$ (Figure 1a). Both planar coils are identical possessing a radius $(r)$, a dielectric carcass and an additional compensating capacitor (C). A distribution of periodic WPT cells leads to transmitting and receiving surfaces, where the energy is wirelessly transferred. Transmitting surface consists of transmitting coils and sinusoidal voltage source $(U)$, while coils in receiving surface
are connected to loads $(Z)$. The proposed WPT system increases the power density in an area between the receiving and transmitting surfaces, and enables the selection of power conditions in each cell depending on the imposed requirements.


Figure 1. Configurations of periodic wireless power transfer systems: (a) the geometry of a proposed periodic WPT structure and (b) an application of WPT cells as a separated extra-low voltage energy supply system for LED lightning in dangerous areas.

It is also possible to supply multiple receivers simultaneously. An example of application of periodic WPT structure is the extra-low voltage lighting. According to IEC 60364 standard, part 7, separated extra-low voltage (SELV) lightning installation requires a transformer to minimize a risk of electrical shock in highly dangerous areas, e.g., bathrooms and industrial spaces [27]. While light emitting diodes (LED) matrices have mostly rectangular shape and consist of many chipsets, such light sources can be supplied using IPT which provides both a required galvanic isolation and an ability to control each light source independently. Periodically arranged transmitting coils can transfer the energy to several LED chipsets through a dielectric bulkhead (e.g., wall or ceiling), providing the highest level of insulation between a source and a luminaire (Figure 1b).

The periodic WPT structure consists of two main surfaces: transmitting and receiving. An exemplary surface is presented in Figure 2. Each plane includes a set of planar coils with the same winding direction. Considered cell $\mathrm{A}_{x+i, y+j}$ is an element of an array with identical inductors, where $i$ is a number of column and $j$ is a number of row in a grid ( $i$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}$ is the set of integers). Adjacent coils (e.g., $\mathbf{A}_{x+1, y}$ or $\mathbf{A}_{x+1, y+1}$ ) of element $\mathrm{A}_{x, y}$ are separated by the distance $d$, where $d \approx 2 r$.

The discussed WPT system was analyzed using two models: 3D numerical model and equivalent circuit. The simpler circuit model makes it possible to resign from numerical analysis and determine power flow at the design stage and preliminary analysis of the electrical properties.

### 2.2. Numerical Model

Utilizing numerical programs it is possible to create a complex model and determine the distribution of the magnetic field. In this case, it is necessary to prepare a 3D model of a coil (Figure 3) and set boundary conditions where, in general case, the efficiency and accuracy of the solution depends on the size of the model (number of degrees of freedom, NDOF). Increasing NDOF will result in a greater accuracy, but also longer computation time.


Figure 2. A fragment of the transmitting/receiving surface of the periodic WPT system.


Figure 3. (a) The spiral coil made of several turns ( $n$ ) of a wire with a diameter $(w)$ and an insulation thickness ( $s$ ) and (b) the three-dimensional model of a single WPT cell.

The numerical analysis of the energy transfer in a system composed of many WPT cells requires taking into account several factors: a coil geometry, number of WPT cells and elements of the electrical circuit connected to each coil (Figures 1 and 2). Spiral coils consist of many turns ( $n$ ), made of ultra-thin wires with diameter $(w)$ and insulated from each other by an electrical insulator of a thickness (s), as shown in Figure 3a. An inner radius of coil was $r_{i}=r-n(w+s)$. A compensating capacitor (C) can be modeled as a lumped element attached to each coil (Figure 3b). A voltage source $(U)$ with specified frequency $(f)$ is connected with transmitting coil, while a load $(Z)$ is connected with receiving coil.

To project infinite array of WPT the periodic boundary conditions (PBC) were applied. In this case the wireless system will be simplified to a single cell $\mathrm{A}_{x, y}$ filled with an air and containing a pair of transmitting and receiving coil (Figure 3b). Perfectly matched layer (PML) is put in top and bottom of the model to imitate infinite background.

The magnetic field distribution in a frequency domain can be found using the Helmholtz equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times\left(\mu_{0}^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}\right)+\left(\mathrm{j} \omega \sigma-\omega^{2} \varepsilon_{0}\right) \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{J}_{e x t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: A—magnetic vector potential $[\mathrm{Wb} / \mathrm{m}], \omega$-angular frequency $[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}], \mu_{0}$-permeability of an air $[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{m}], \varepsilon_{0}$-permittivity of an air $[\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{m}], \sigma$-electrical conductivity $[\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m}]$, $j$-imaginary unit, $\mathbf{J}_{\text {ext }}$-external current density $\left[\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$. Since no ferromagnetic elements are present and a background is assumed to imitate air, the permeability and permittivity is isotropic and uniform in the entire model. The electrical conductivity of background and insulation between turns is $\sigma_{0} \approx 0$, while conductivity of a wire is $\sigma_{c}$.

The model is complemented by periodic boundary conditions on external surfaces:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}_{s} \times \mathbf{A}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{n}_{s}$ is a surface normal vector. The external current density $\mathbf{J}_{e x t}$ results from the value of a voltage supply $(U)$ connected to the transmitting coil. Equation (1), along with Equation (2) and PML domains were solved using finite element method, to find a spatial distribution of the magnetic vector potential $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}(x, y, z)$.

### 2.3. Equivalent Circuit

Despite the availability of computational units it is more difficult task to make a numerical model than an equivalent circuit, where there is no need to select boundary values. Therefore, a simpler model is desirable, if it is still providing a similar scope of analysis, but less complex and much faster modeling and calculation processes. Based on the proposed structure of WPT system, transmitting and receiving surfaces with infinite number of identical resonators have to be considered. In electrical circuit the analysis of the infinite periodic grid has to be reduced to finite number (Figure 4). Here we show that it is possible to reduce this task to the case of a single cell.

(a)

(b)

Figure 4. Circuit models of periodic WPT system: (a) topology and magnetic couplings in a resonator grid from the point of view of an arbitrary coil $\mathrm{A}_{x, y}$ and (b) simplified equivalent circuit model of a WPT cell $\mathrm{A}_{x, y}$ in an infinite grid.

From a point of view of the WPT cell $\mathrm{A}_{x, y}$ a transmitting/receiving coil is surrounded by at least 8 adjacent resonators and coupled with at least 9 resonators in the opposite surface (Figure 4a). Hence, many mutual inductances between adjacent coils ( $M_{x+i, y+j}$ ) and between transmitters and receivers $\left(M_{t r, x+i, y+j}\right)$ have to be taken into account.

In infinite periodic grid, where each coil has identical electrical parameters and magnetic couplings with its neighbors, it is possible to reduce an analysis to the single WPT cell (Figure 4b). Mutual inductances between coil in the cell $\mathrm{A}_{x, y}$ and coils in cells $\mathrm{A}_{x+i, y+j}$ appear and affect inductance of coil in $\mathrm{A}_{x, y}$, which can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{c}=L_{\text {self }}-\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left(M_{x+i, y+j}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $L_{c}$-effective self-inductance in [H], $M_{x+i, y+j-m u t u a l ~ i n d u c t a n c e ~ b e t w e e n ~ c o i l s ~}^{\text {- }}$ adjacent in horizontal plane, for $i \neq 0$ and $j \neq 0$, in $[\mathrm{H}], L_{\text {self }}$-self-inductance of spiral coil in [H] expressed by Equation (4) [28]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\text {self }}=\frac{\mu_{0} c_{1} d_{m} n^{2}}{2}\left[\ln \left(\frac{c_{2}}{v}\right)+c_{3} v+c_{4} v^{2}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{m}$ is a mean diameter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{m}=2 r-n(w+s) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $v$ is a fill factor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{n(w+s)}{2 r-n(w+s)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

while coefficients $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ in Equation (4) depend on the shape of the coil [28].
Mutual inductance between spiral planar coils can be calculated using Equation (7) [29]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{x+i, y+j}=\left|\frac{\mu_{0} \cdot g^{2}}{4 \pi} \int_{\Phi_{i}}^{\Phi_{0}} \int_{\Phi_{i}}^{\Phi_{0}} \frac{\left[\left(1+\varphi_{1} \cdot \varphi_{2}\right) \cdot \cos \left(\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}\right)-\left(\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}\right) \cdot \sin \left(\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} \varphi_{1} \mathrm{~d} \varphi_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(d \cdot j+g \cdot \varphi_{2} \cdot \cos \varphi_{2}-g \cdot \varphi_{1} \cdot \cos \varphi_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(d \cdot i+g \cdot \varphi_{2} \cdot \sin \varphi_{2}-g \cdot \varphi_{1} \cdot \sin \varphi_{1}\right)^{2}}}\right|, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $g$-screw pitch divided by $2 \pi, g=(w+s) /(2 \pi)$ in [m], $\Phi_{\mathrm{i}}$-inner radii of coil divided by $g, \Phi_{\mathrm{i}}=[r-(w+s) n] / g$ in [rad], $\Phi_{\mathrm{o}}$-outer radii of coil divided by $g$, $\Phi_{\mathrm{o}}=r / g$ in [rad], $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$-angles of rotation along the edge of the transmitting/receiving coils in [rad]. However, there is no closed-form solution of the Equation (7) and many calculations for the different $i$ and $j$ would have to be performed to estimate $L_{c}$. Identical problem appears when mutual inductances between transmitters and receivers ( $M_{t r, x+i, y+j}$ ) are considered.

Instead solving Equation (7), Equation (3) can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{c}=L_{\text {self }}-M_{p e} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{p e}$ is a sum of mutual inductances in a periodic grid in [H] and since they reduce the inductance of coil, the mutual inductance $M_{p e}$ is written with a minus. For the case when loads $Z=\infty$ and there is no capacitor in series with transmitter coils (based on, e.g., the solution of 3D numerical model) at arbitrary frequency $f$ one may find $M_{p e}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p e}=\frac{U / \underline{I}_{t, \infty}-R_{c}}{j 2 \pi f}-L_{s e l f} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $R_{c}$ —coil resistance in $[\Omega], \underline{I}_{t, \infty}=\left|\underline{I}_{t, \infty}\right| e^{j \psi}$ —source current in [A], $\left|\underline{I}_{t, \infty}\right|$ —RMS value of the source current in $[\mathrm{A}], \psi$-phase angle between the source voltage and current
in [rad]. According to an equivalent circuit shown in Figure 4b, instead of calculating inductances $M_{t r, x+i, y+j}$, an effective mutual inductance ( $M_{t r}$ ) can be found using the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t r}=\frac{\underline{U}_{r, \infty} / \underline{I}_{t, \infty}}{\mathrm{j} 2 \pi f} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\underline{U}_{r, \infty}=\left|\underline{U}_{r, \infty}\right| e^{j \theta}$-voltage induced in a receiving coil in [V], $\left|\underline{U}_{r, \infty}\right|-\mathrm{RMS}$ value of the induced voltage in [V], $\theta$-phase angle between the source voltage and induced voltage in [rad]. Equations (9) and (10) can be used for both numerical and experimental estimation of $M_{p e}$ and $M_{t r}$. Finally, after calculations of self-inductance ( $L_{s e l f}$ ) and mutual inductance $\left(M_{p e}\right)$ it is possible to find series resonant capacity $(C)$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} f^{2} L_{c}}=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} f^{2}\left(L_{s e l f}-M_{p e}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Resistance of coil $\left(R_{c}\right)$ can be determined using well-known formula of the straight wire resistance. For a wire with width $w+s$, used for wounding planar spiral coil, a total length of a resulting spiral may be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{\text {sum }}=2 \pi n\left[r-\frac{(n-1)(w+s)}{2}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account Equation (12) the formula for the resistance of coil is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{c}=\frac{l_{s u m}}{\sigma_{c} a_{w}}=\frac{2 \pi n\left[r-\frac{(n-1)(w+s)}{2}\right]}{\sigma_{c} a_{w}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\sigma_{c}$-electrical conductivity of a wire in $[\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m}], a_{w}$-effective cross-section of wire in [ $\left.\mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$. Due to the flow of a current through the "skin" of a conductor at high frequencies of magnetic field, an effective cross-section of wire $\left(a_{w}\right)$ is taken into account in Equation (13). The formula for approximate calculations of $a_{w}$ is [30]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{w}=\pi\left(w \delta_{e}-\delta_{e}^{2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{e}$ is an effective skin depth in [m] expressed as [30]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{e}=\delta\left(1-\exp \left(\frac{-w}{2 \delta}\right)\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\delta$ is a skin depth, $\delta=\sqrt{1 /\left(\pi f \sigma_{c} \mu_{0}\right)}$ in [m].
Having Equations (4)-(6) and Equations (8)-(15) one may find all lumped parameters of the equivalent circuit of the WPT cell in infinite grid (Figure 4 b) and the analysis of current flow and resulting powers can be performed for the arbitrary cell. However, this approach is permissible only when the number of coils $(K)$ in transmitting/receiving surface is so large, that the infinite grid representation is valid.

For the finite grids a magnetically coupled network of resonators (Figure 4a) has to be solved. For a pair of transmitting and receiving coils voltage equations are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\underline{I}_{t, k} \underline{Z}_{c}+\underline{I}_{t, k}\left(\mathrm{j} \omega C_{k}\right)^{-1}-\sum_{l=1}^{K} \underline{I}_{t, l} \mathrm{j} \omega M_{k, l}+\sum_{m=1}^{K} \underline{I}_{r, m} \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, k, m}=U_{k}  \tag{16}\\
\underline{I}_{r, k} \underline{Z}_{c}+\underline{I}_{r, k} Z+\underline{I}_{r, k}\left(\mathrm{j} \omega C_{k}\right)^{-1}-\sum_{l=1}^{K} \underline{I}_{r, l} \mathrm{j} \omega M_{k, l}+\sum_{m=1}^{K} \underline{I}_{t, m} \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, k, m}=0 \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $l \neq k$, where: $\underline{Z}_{c}$-self-impedance of coil, $\underline{Z}_{c}=R_{c}+\mathrm{j} \omega L_{\text {self }}$ in $[\Omega], k$-number of coil in a transmitting/receiving surface, $K$-number of all coils in transmitting/receiving surface, $\underline{I}_{t}$-current of transmitting coil in [A], $\underline{I}_{r}$-current of receiving coil in [A], $M_{k, l}$-mutual inductance between $k$-th and $l$-th coil in transmitting/receiving surface in [H], $M_{t r, k, m}$ mutual inductance between $k$-th coil in transmitting/receiving surface and $l$-th coil in an opposite surface in $[\mathrm{H}]$. Based on Equations (16) and (17) the electrical circuit (Figure 4a) is finally characterized by a matrix equation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\underline{Z}_{c}+\left(\mathrm{j} \omega C_{1}\right)^{-1} & -\mathrm{j} \omega M_{1,2} & \cdots & -\mathrm{j} \omega M_{1, K} & \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, 1,1} & \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, 1,2} & \cdots & \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, 1, K}  \tag{18}\\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
-\mathrm{j} \omega M_{K, 1} & -\mathrm{j} \omega M_{K, 2} & \cdots & \underline{Z}_{c}+\left(\mathrm{j} \omega C_{K}\right)^{-1} & \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, K, 1} & \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, K, 2} & \cdots & \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, K, K} \\
\mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, 1,1} & \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, 1,2} & \cdots & \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, 1, K} & \underline{Z}_{c}+\left(\mathrm{j} \omega C_{1}\right)^{-1}+Z & -\mathrm{j} \omega M_{1,2} & \cdots & -\mathrm{j} \omega M_{1, K} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, K, 1} & \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, K, 2} & \cdots & \mathrm{j} \omega M_{t r, K, K} & -\mathrm{j} \omega M_{K, 1} & -\mathrm{j} \omega M_{K, 2} & \cdots & \underline{Z}_{c}+\left(\mathrm{j} \omega C_{K}\right)^{-1}+Z
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
\underline{I}_{t, 1} \\
\vdots \\
\underline{I}_{t, K} \\
\underline{I}_{r, 1} \\
\vdots \\
\underline{I}_{r, K}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
U_{1} \\
\vdots \\
U_{K} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

In general case each coil in finite grid has a different set of mutual inductances $\left(M_{k, l}\right)$, therefore different compensating capacitors $\left(C_{k}\right)$ are needed. In order to identified them the mutual inductances $\left(M_{k, l}\right)$ have to be calculated first (e.g., using formulas developed in [29]) and then capacitances will found by putting Equation (3) into Equation (11).

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Model Parameters

The aim of the study was to estimate the maximum efficiency of the periodic WPT system and the highest possible load power. The analysis was performed for exemplary resonators with different number of turns ( $n$ ) and the distance ( $h$ ) between transmitting/receiving surfaces. In order to assess the correctness of the adopted assumptions, i.e., the usefulness of an equivalent circuit model, the results obtained with the proposed circuit model of the WPT cell in infinite grid (Figure 4b) were compared with the one determined using numerical model of the WPT cell (Figure 3b) with PBC. In both models the skin and proximity effect were taken into account. On the basis of obtained results for several periodic WPT systems, we have verified the validity of the proposed circuit model by comparing active power of the passive load $Z$ (receiver power):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=Z\left|\underline{I}_{r}\right|^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and power of the voltage source (transmitter power):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s}=U\left|\underline{I}_{t}\right| \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the system was operating at resonant state, $\underline{I}_{t}=I_{t}$. Using Equations (19) and (20) the power transfer efficiency was found:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{P}{P_{s}} 100 \% \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compare the performance of WPT systems with different coils the determination of a source $\left(P_{s}\right)$ and a load $(P)$ power as well as efficiency $(\eta)$ was needed. The analysis was divided into two separate cases:
(1) operation with maximum efficiency, where the optimum load was [31]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{e}=\sqrt{R_{c}^{2}+\left(2 \pi f M_{t r}\right)^{2}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) operation with maximum transferred power, where the optimum load was [24]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{p}=\frac{\left(2 \pi f M_{t r}\right)^{2}}{R_{c}}+R_{c} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We adopted the following parameters in models: coil outer radii $r=10 \mathrm{~mm}$, horizontal distance $d=2.25 r$, wire thickness $w=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, insulation thickness $s=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, conductivity of wire $\sigma_{c}=5.6 \cdot 10^{7} \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{m}$, coil shape coefficients $c_{1}=1, c_{2}=2.5, c_{3}=0, c_{4}=0.2$ and source voltage $U=1 \mathrm{~V}$ with the frequency ranging from $f_{\text {min }}=0.1 \mathrm{MHz}$ to $f_{\max }=1 \mathrm{MHz}$. In numerical model permeability and permittivity were set to $\mu=\mu_{0}$ and $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{0}$.

Calculated optimum load impedances at $f_{\max }$ and numerically estimated mutual inductances were presented in Table 1. Resistance, self-inductance and compensating capacities at different frequencies were found using equations presented in Section 2.3.

Table 1. Calculated parameters.

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{e}}(\Omega)$ at $f_{\text {max }}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Omega)$ at $f_{\max }$ |  | $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{t r}}(\boldsymbol{\mu H})$ |  | $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{p e}}$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{\mu H})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{h = 0 . 5 \boldsymbol { r }}$ | $\boldsymbol{h}=\boldsymbol{r}$ | $\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{0 . 5 r}$ | $\boldsymbol{h}=\boldsymbol{r}$ | $\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{0 . 5 \boldsymbol { r }}$ | $\boldsymbol{h}=\boldsymbol{r}$ |  |
| 10 | 2.43 | 0.68 | 14 | 1.1 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 0.76 |
| 15 | 5.29 | 1.33 | 47 | 2.98 | 0.84 | 0.19 | 1.29 |
| 20 | 8.74 | 2.14 | 102 | 6.11 | 1.39 | 0.32 | 1.69 |
| 25 | 12.25 | 2.96 | 172 | 10 | 1.94 | 0.45 | 2.10 |
| 30 | 15.28 | 3.67 | 239 | 13.74 | 2.43 | 0.56 | 2.34 |
| 35 | 17.47 | 4.17 | 289 | 16.46 | 2.78 | 0.64 | 2.49 |
| 40 | 18.71 | 4.45 | 314 | 17.79 | 2.97 | 0.69 | 2.56 |
| 45 | 19.13 | 4.55 | 319 | 18.06 | 3.04 | 0.70 | 2.57 |

### 3.2. Analysis of the Infinite Grid

The following results were labeled on characteristics as FM for 3D numerical model (marked with a line) and EC for electrical circuit (marked with a dot). To determine the maximum efficiency, the impedances were calculated for different number of turns, distance between the coils, mutual inductance $M_{t r}$ and presented in Figure 5. By doubling the distance $h$ the impedance $Z_{e}$ decreased four times. Still, regardless of the distance between coils, the shape of characteristics remained identical.


Figure 5. Optimum load impedance at $f_{\max }$ to obtain the maximum efficiency at distances: (a) $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathbf{( b )} h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Figures 6 and 7 show the characteristics of the source power, load power and efficiency of the system at the distance $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ between the coils. On all characteristics it can be noted that when the efficiency reaches approximately $50 \%$, the receiver power reaches its maximum value. Then, the receiver power decreases as efficiency exceeded $50 \%$. Despite the nonlinear frequency dependency of powers and efficiency, also the number of turns has a significant impact. By increasing the number of turns the efficiency will rise. Still, only for $n=25$ the efficiency of the system had reached the value of nearly $90 \%$ at 1 MHz .


Figure 6. Results at the distance $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=10 \div 25$ : (a) transmitter power, (b) receiver power and (c) power transfer efficiency.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 7. Results at the distance $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=30 \div 45$ : (a) transmitter power, (b) receiver power and (c) power transfer efficiency.

In case of larger number of turns $(30 \div 45)$ the maximum load power appeared at approximately 100 kHz and then was dropping with rising efficiency (Figure 7). In these cases $(h=5 \mathrm{~mm}, n=30 \div 45)$ the number of turns had minor impact on power and efficiency, which reached $\approx 89 \%$ at 1 MHz (Figure 7 c ). From Figure $7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ an optimum case for $n=30$ can be found, where the highest receiver power was obtained and $\eta$ was almost as high as for $n=35 \div 45$. Moreover, comparing the values from numerical model (FM) and equivalent circuit (EC) one may find, that almost perfect agreement have appeared. While FM was a 3D representation of physical system with additional boundary conditions, the EC resulted to be an accurate simplification of the field phenomena.

Figures 8 and 9 show the characteristics for a distance $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$. Similarly to the case with shorter distance relations between power/efficiency and frequency/number of turns remained almost the same. It was observed that the maximum receiver power values were shifted from 100 kHz to $450 \div 750 \mathrm{kHz}$. Despite the larger distance ( $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) maximum possible load power was nearly the same as for $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and reached approx. 0.2 W. For $n=30$ the efficiency was only $3 \%$ lower than for $n=35 \div 45$. In case of a larger number of turns (Figure 9), the efficiency had comparable values at different frequencies, especially for the number of turns $n=35 \div 45$. Regardless of the number of turns, doubling the distance between the coils caused the efficiency to decrease by $\approx 30 \%$.

The second operating mode was related with the maximum load power. In order to determine the maximum power transferred to a receiver, the values of load impedance were calculated taking into account the number of turns and distance between coils (Figure 10). An increase in the number of turns causes nonlinear increase of the impedance. While doubling the distance $h$ causes a seventeen times decrease of the impedance but the shape of characteristics was identical.


Figure 8. Results at the distance $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=10 \div 25$ : (a) transmitter power, (b) receiver power and (c) power transfer efficiency.


Figure 9. Results at the distance $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=30 \div 45$ : (a) transmitter power, (b) receiver power and (c) power transfer efficiency.


Figure 10. Optimum load impedance at $f_{\max }$ to obtain the maximum load power at distances: (a) $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and (b) $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Figures 11-14 show the characteristics of: power (transmitter and receiver) and efficiency at the distance $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ between coils. At considered operation mode (maximum load power) the efficiency of the system tended to max. 50\%. For $n=30 \div 45$ the maximum power is twice as low as for $n=10 \div 25$. At $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ the efficiency reaches $50 \%$ at above 600 kHz . The exception was the smallest number of turns $(n=10)$, where maximum efficiency was reached above 1 MHz (Figure 11c).


Figure 11. Results at the distance $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=10 \div 25$ : (a) transmitter power, (b) receiver power and (c) power transfer efficiency.


Figure 12. Results at the distance $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=30 \div 45$ : (a) transmitter power, (b) receiver power and (c) power transfer efficiency.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 13. Results at the distance $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=10 \div 25$ : (a) transmitter power, (b) receiver power and (c) power transfer efficiency.


Figure 14. Results at the distance $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=30 \div 45$ : (a) transmitter power, (b) receiver power and (c) power transfer efficiency.

For $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ the characteristics of power and efficiency began to stabilize after exceeding a certain frequency. Hence, it was shown the possibility to preserve constant, maximum load power within some frequency range. However, the separation between transmitter and receiver had a crucial impact on this property. At distance $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ it was noticed that the maximum receiver power, for $n=10 \div 25$, began to stabilize at frequency at least equal to 1 MHz (Figure 13). Due to the fact that characteristics of power were "stabilizing" along with the efficiency tending to $50 \%$, by increasing $n$ it was possible to compensate this effect and broaden the frequency range of maximum load power (Figure 14). On the other hand, the values of receiver power were smaller than the case $n=10 \div 25$.

In Figure 15 values of mutual inductance $M_{t r}$ (between transmitter and receiver) for distances $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ and different number of turns were presented. From $n=10$ to $n=35$ nearly linear dependency for both distances was observed, where with an increase of the number of turns the mutual inductance increases, too. Nevertheless, doubling the distance between the coils caused a four-fold decrease of mutual inductance.


Figure 15. Mutual inductance $M_{t r}$ between transmitter and receiver at distances: (a) $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and (b) $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$.

### 3.3. Analysis of the Finite Grid

An exemplary finite grid of coils was compared with infinite one. Since in grids with small number of resonators the coils on edges and vertices will play dominant role, the approximation with infinite grid is not valid. The most contrasting example is grid of 9 coils with eight coils located at edges (Figure 16). Each coil in grid has mutual inductances:

- with the edge adjacent coils $M_{\text {edge }}$ and with vertex adjacent coils $M_{\text {vertex }}$;
- with the coil directly above $M_{t r, v e r t i c a l}$, with coils on a side $M_{t r, \text { side }}$ and with coils located diagonally $M_{t r, \text { diag }}$.
The mutual inductances were gathered in Table 2. For the coil located at the:
- center—there are $4 M_{\text {edge }}$ with edge adjacent coils and $4 M_{\text {vertex }}$ couplings with vertex adjacent as well as $1 M_{t r, v e r t i c a l,}, 4 M_{t r, \text { side }}$ and $4 M_{t r, \text { diag }}$ couplings;
- $\quad$ edge-there are $3 M_{\text {edge }}$ with edge adjacent coils and $2 M_{v e r t e x}$ couplings with vertex adjacent as well as $1 M_{t r, v e r t i c a l,} 3 M_{t r, \text { side }}$ and $2 M_{t r, \text { diag }}$ couplings;
- vertex—there are $2 M_{\text {edge }}$ with edge adjacent coils and $1 M_{\text {vertex }}$ coupling with vertex adjacent as well as $1 M_{t r, v e r t i c a l}, 2 M_{t r, \text { side }}$ and $1 M_{t r, \text { diag }}$ coupling.
The geometrical parameters of coils, and resulting self-impedance, are identical as in Section 3.1. Each transmitting coil was connected with a voltage source ( $U=1 \mathrm{~V}$ ). Moreover, the impedances $Z_{e}$ were the same as in infinite grid, to preserve identical loads in both compared models. Nonetheless, based on the identified mutual inductances the new values of capacitances were calculated using Equation (11). Then, by solving Equation (18) the
currents were found, and then a total load $(P)$ and total source powers $\left(P_{s}\right)$ and the power transfer efficiency $(\eta)$, using Equation (21), were calculated:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P=\sum_{k=1}^{9} Z\left|\underline{I}_{r, k}\right|^{2}  \tag{24}\\
& P_{s}=\sum_{k=1}^{9} U\left|I_{t, k}\right| \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

The results presented in Figures 17-20 were labeled as FIN for the finite grid and INF for infinite grid. The shape of characteristics remained similar for both types of WPT systems, but values of the relative source power and power transfer efficiency were different. The total source power was always lower in finite grid, while the efficiency was higher. This can be easily explained, since in finite grid occurred the lesser amount of mutual inductances, which were negatively affecting mutual inductance between transmitter and receiver (higher $L_{c}$ due to lower $M_{\text {period }}$ ).

At the distance $h=r / 2=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ these differences were mostly noticeable for $n=10$ (Figure 17b). For example, the efficiency was about $12 \%$ lower in the infinite grid. With higher number of turns the differences were smaller, e.g., for $n=25$ the efficiency was only $6 \%$ lower. For considered number of turns $n=30 \div 45$, both shapes and values of the characteristics were similar (Figure 18). The divergences between relative source power in finite and infinite grid were within acceptable range. However, efficiency was higher in the finite grid (average difference between FIN and INF equal to $4.58 \%$ ).

Table 2. Mutual inductances in a considered grid of nine coils.

| $n$ | $M_{t r, v e r t i c a l}(\mu \mathrm{H})$ |  | $M_{t r, \text { side }}(\mu \mathrm{H})$ |  | $M_{t r, \operatorname{diag}}(\mu \mathrm{H})$ |  | $\begin{gathered} M_{\text {edge }} \\ (\mu \mathrm{H}) \end{gathered}$ | $M_{\text {vertex }}$ ( $\mu \mathrm{H}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $h=0.5 r$ | $h=r$ | $h=0.5 r$ | $h=r$ | $h=0.5 r$ | $h=r$ |  |  |
| 10 | 0.888 | 0.375 | 0.053 | 0.011 | 0.052 | 0.011 | 0.094 | 0.093 |
| 15 | 1.750 | 0.721 | 0.095 | 0.022 | 0.093 | 0.022 | 0.161 | 0.159 |
| 20 | 2.662 | 1.080 | 0.133 | 0.034 | 0.131 | 0.033 | 0.218 | 0.215 |
| 25 | 3.500 | 1.400 | 0.164 | 0.044 | 0.161 | 0.043 | 0.262 | 0.258 |
| 30 | 4.200 | 1.647 | 0.186 | 0.052 | 0.183 | 0.051 | 0.293 | 0.289 |
| 35 | 4.680 | 1.810 | 0.199 | 0.057 | 0.195 | 0.056 | 0.311 | 0.306 |
| 40 | 4.930 | 1.890 | 0.205 | 0.059 | 0.202 | 0.058 | 0.319 | 0.315 |
| 45 | 5.020 | 1.930 | 0.207 | 0.060 | 0.204 | 0.059 | 0.322 | 0.318 |



Figure 16. An arrangement of coils in a finite periodic grid of WPT cells with mutual inductances in horizontal and vertical planes.


Figure 17. Results at the distance $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=10 \div 25$ : (a) total source power and (b) efficiency.


Figure 18. Results at the distance $h=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=30 \div 45$ : (a) total source power and (b) efficiency.


Figure 19. Results at the distance $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=10 \div 25$ : (a) total source power and (b) efficiency.


Figure 20. Results at the distance $h=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ and number of turns $n=30 \div 45$ : (a) total source power and (b) efficiency.

At the distance $h=r=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ the source power calculated in the infinite grid was several times higher than in finite network of resonators, which was observed for each number of turns (Figures 19a and 20a). The power transfer efficiency was directly related with source and load powers, hence visible differences had also occurred. For example, at $f=1 \mathrm{MHz}$ and $n=10$ the efficiency of power transfer in finite grid was $53.2 \%$ while in infinite $23.6 \%$. Once more for $n=30 \div 45$ these divergences were smaller, but still significant (at $f=1 \mathrm{MHz}$ and $n=45$ the efficiency in finite grid was $75.2 \%$ and in infinite $59.7 \%$ ).

## 4. Conclusions

The maximum efficiency and load power in periodic WPT systems were estimated based on exemplary structures with many magnetic couplings between constituent inductors. The models used for an analysis of considered structures, arranged of many spiral planar coils, were developed. In the article, two types of simulated models were used: a 3D numerical representation of the system solved by finite element method and the equivalent circuits with lumped parameters. The purpose was to quickly determine the output parameters (e.g., power, efficiency) both with 3D numerical model and by using its equivalent circuit. The utilization of electrical circuit, representing a single cell of the WPT system, eliminated the need to make very complex numerical models. Nevertheless, the article presented the structure of the 3D model and conditions that help to reduce its complexity. The next step of further investigation will be focused on conducting experimental verification of the theoretical results to assess a suitability of the proposed models.

The given solutions, adopted in the numerical and electrical models, allow studying the influence of the coil geometry and a distance between transmitter and receiver on the power transmission. Calculations were performed over a wide frequency range. The analysis concerned the influence of geometrical parameters of coils in the WPT cell (e.g., number of turns) on the efficiency of system and the power of transmitter and receiver. By simply adjusting the number of turns and increasing frequency of a current, it was possible to obtain high efficiency of power transmission ( $\approx 90 \%$ ) for the loads supplied using proposed system, without the use of intermediate coils or iron cores/bars. Even at distances equal to a radius of coil the system was still able to reach near $60 \%$ efficiency.

Additionally, the results of obtaining maximum load power were presented. By appropriate selection of load impedance it was possible to determine the power transferred to receiver and a corresponding efficiency. Similarly to the previous case, the results from proposed equivalent circuit were consistent with results from the 3D numerical model. The negligible differences in results confirmed a correctness of the adopted assumptions and shown, that the analysis of an extensive grid of periodic resonators can be simplified to a single WPT cell, modeled as two-port circuit with lumped parameters.

Finally, the finite WPT grid of resonators was compared with the infinite network of resonators. While the source power and efficiency had almost identical frequency dependence, the values were noticeably different. This was due to the fact that finite grid of only several magnetically coupled resonators was characterized by the lesser amount of mutual inductances, which negatively affected wireless power transfer between transmitter and receiver coil. Considered examples had shown that infinite approximation of periodic WPT system was valid only for very large grids with many resonators. For small grids edge effects, related with coils located at edges and vertexes of a surface, had to be taken into account to accurately calculate the power of source, load and the efficiency.
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