

Article



Optimal Design of Multi-Output LLC Resonant Converter with Independently Regulated Synchronous Single-Switched Power-Regulator⁺

Sang Gab Park ¹, Byoung Kuk Lee ¹ and Jong Soo Kim ^{2,*}

- ¹ Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon-si 16419, Korea; sg.park@skku.edu (S.G.P.); bkleeskku@skku.edu (B.K.L.)
- ² Department of Electrical Engineering, Daejin University, Pocheon-si 11159, Korea
- * Correspondence: jskim2@daejin.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-31-539-1916
- + This manuscript is an extended version of our Article published in 9th International Conference on Power Electronics-ECCE Asia, 2015, 1–5 June 2015, Seoul, Korea.

Received: 23 July 2020; Accepted: 17 August 2020; Published: 21 August 2020



Abstract: This paper presents a tightly regulated multi-output isolated converter that employs only an independently regulated synchronous Single-Switched Post-Regulator (SSPR). The proposed converter is a highly accurate single-ended secondary side post-regulator based on a Series Resonant Converter (SRC); furthermore, it has a voltage-doubler characteristic. The proposed post-regulator requires only one auxiliary switch, in contrast with a bulky and expensive non-isolated DC–DC converter. Moreover, the added voltage-doubler can tightly regulate the slave output current. In addition, the voltage-doubler can improve electromagnetic interference characteristics and reduce switching losses arising from the Zero Current Switching (ZCS) operation of all power switches. The validity of the proposed converter is verified using experimental results obtained via a prototype converter applicable to an LED 3D TV power supply.

Keywords: LED driver; 3D; multi-output; inductor-inductor-capacitor (LLC); resonant converter; soft switching; SSPR; zero voltage switching (ZVS)

1. Introduction

Liquid crystal display (LCD) TVs are witnessing a rapid change in backlighting technology, from cold cathode fluorescent lamps (CCFLs) to LEDs. There are several attributes of LEDs that justify this change: improved contrast ratios, mercury-free operation, and relatively low power consumption.

As internal power supply units are used in most LCD TVs, designing slim systems with high-efficiency power supplies at appropriate costs for the LCD TV consumer market continues to present a formidable challenge for the power electronics industry. LCDs are, by far, the most widely produced and sold television display type. LCD screens, which are energy efficient, are available in a wider range of screen sizes than cathode-ray tube and plasma displays. Each of the functional blocks in an LCD TV, such as the audio technology, backlighting, and video and audio signal processors, requires a particular power solution. Therefore, several voltage lines are required to power each of the functional blocks. The backlight is the most power consuming sub-system within a flat TV. Although there are several backlight solution for LCD TVs that allows for slim cabinets. It is challenging for TV manufacturers to select an architecture that allows for design optimization without also increasing cost and circuit complexity. Generally, manufacturers employ a universal power supply that supports a voltage range of AC90–264 V. This allows one power supply design for a specific TV size to be used for a series of models, leading to reduced development costs.

A conventional front-end AC–DC power supply unit for an LCD TV is shown in Figure 1a. In addition, this illustrates the circuit for implementing the primary side inverter of the DC-DC stage. It has three outputs: an LED voltage to power the backlight; 12.8 V for the audio/T-con; and 5 V to power the system. The V_{drv} line powers the LED driver stage on the panel, then boosts the voltage to a string voltage to drive the three stages (PFC + DC/DC + B/L) of the power system that are needed to drive the lamps, further reducing the AC-to-backlight efficiency. Two additional stages of the power system are required to drive the LED strings: a non-isolated DC–DC boost stage to build up to the LED string voltage, and an LED-dimming current controller to regulate the string current. This can adversely affect the overall system efficiency.



Figure 1. Conventional front-end AC–DC power supply: (**a**) three stages of power system and inverter stage on the primary side of the conventional converter; (**b**) schematic of conventional LED driving.

With LCD TVs trending towards slimmer designs, a high end-to-end efficiency is paramount. Adding additional power processing stages limits the overall performance and adds overhead to the drive architecture. Accordingly, efficient and cost-effective LED driver circuits that eliminate intermediate stages have gained increased attention from the industry [1–3]. Additionally, with the continuous advancements in LED technology and performance, TV architectures (e.g., LED string

voltages and current tolerances) are continuously changing; hence, a modular power supply solution that is easily adaptable to such changes can significantly reduce the design cycle time.

Figure 1 shows a schematic of a conventional multi-output LED driving circuit [4]. The resonant converter controls only one master output, and the slave output is not controllable. To manage this issue, a conventional LED application requires an additional power regulator, e.g., a buck converter or boost converter. However, adding the post-regulator results in increased power dissipation and cost.

Figure 2 shows the primary side of the proposed converter, which is the same as a conventional inductor–inductor–capacitor (LLC) resonator converter. However, the secondary side of the proposed converter consists of a rectifier diode, one output capacitor, and one auxiliary switch, instead of an expensive secondary post-regulator, i.e., boost converter, as shown in Figure 1 [5–11].



Figure 2. Proposed converter schematic.

The secondary side of the proposed converter also comprises a single-switched post-regulator with voltage-doubler characteristics as shown in Figure 2, and the proposed voltage doubling method improves the stable cross-regulation performance under the high load conditions of LED Back Light Unit (BLU) power and low load conditions of image power. Thus, one simple control loop can be used to control the LED string currents to further simplify the design. An additional benefit of the proposed scheme is that it can be readily modified for a different number of LED strings/combinations.

In this paper, to overcome the aforementioned drawbacks, high efficiency and cost-effective current balance LED drivers are proposed, as shown in Figure 2. In addition, experimental results are presented to verify the theoretical analysis and effectiveness of the proposed converter.

2. Technical Work Preparation

2.1. Control Method for Proposed Converter

The basic concept described in the paper is to supply the rectified secondary current directly driving the LED string and the regulated current to a single series transformer. As shown in Figure 2, the proposed post-regulator should be synchronized with the switching voltage of the secondary winding. Figure 3 shows the manner in which the proposed initial current control regulation is performed for one LED channel. The gating signal of the auxiliary switch is synchronized with the primary side switch to ensure zero voltage switching [12–14].

The output voltage V_{o1} can be controlled by determining the switching frequencies of M_1 and M_2 , as in a conventional LLC resonant converter [15–18]. However, i_{o2} is controlled by the turn-on point of M_3 when M_2 is turned on. That is, although M_2 is conducting and $-v_{CR}$ is applied to the primary side of the transformer, M_3 , which is turned off, prevents the input power from being transferred to the output side. At this time, D_4 and D_5 are turned on and charged to C_1 and C_2 , respectively.

Therefore, resonance does not occur between C_R and L_R , i_{sec2} is maintained at zero, and the initial current I_{ini} decreases with the slope of $-v_{CR}/(L_R + L_M)$. When M₃ is turned on with M₁ conducting, the secondary-side diode D₃ is turned on, and simultaneously, the resonant current between C_R and L_R is transferred to the output side of the converter. At this point, in the case that M₃ is turned on earlier, the resonant current $i_{pri(t)}$ is increased further, owing to the larger initial value I_{ini} , which causes the output current i_{o2} to increase. In contrast, the resonant current $i_{pri(t)}$ decreases more when M₃ is turned on later.



Figure 3. Control method of proposed converter.

2.2. Analysis of Operational Modes

The main waveforms are shown in Figure 4. The proposed converter operates in five modes [19] according to the conduction state of each switch (M_1 , M_2 , and M_3), as shown in Figure 5.



Figure 4. Key waveforms of proposed converter.

For the expediency of mode analysis in a steady state, several assumptions are made, as follows.

- (1) The switch is ideal, except for the internal diode.
- (2) The transformer is ideal, except for the magnetizing inductance L_m and leakage inductance L_R .
- (3) The output capacitors C_{o1} and C_{o2} are large enough to be considered as constant DC voltage sources V_{o1} and V_{o2} , respectively.
- (4) The switching transition interval between M_1 and M_2 is small enough to be ignored.

It is assumed that the switch M_1 is conducting before t_0 and that a transformer magnetizing current i_{Lm} flows through M_1 , as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 5. Operation modes of the proposed converter: (a) Mode 1; (b) Mode 2; (c) Mode 3; (d) Mode 4; and (e) Mode 5.

Mode 1 [t_0-t_1]: This mode starts when M₁ is turned off at t_0 . At this moment, the current of the resonant inductor L_R is negative; it will flow through the body diode of M₂, creating a zero-voltage switching (ZVS) condition for M₂. The gating signal of M₂ should be applied during this mode. When the current of the resonant inductor L_R flows through the body diode of M₂, I_{pri} starts to rise. As the voltage $V_{IN}-v_{CR}$ across the primary side of the transformer is higher than the reflected output voltage $(N_P/N_{S1})V_{o1}$, D₁ is turned on.

Concurrently, the transformer primary current i_{pri} starts to increase, based on the resonance between C_R and L_R . The magnetic current i_{Lm} increases linearly as the reflected output voltage $(N_P/N_{S1})V_{o1}$ is applied to L_m . Therefore, it does not participate in the resonance during this period. In this mode, the circuit operates as a series resonant converter (SRC), with a resonant inductor L_R and resonant capacitor C_R . This mode is terminated when the L_R current is the same as the L_m current.

Mode 2 $[t_1-t_2]$: When the transformer primary current i_{pri} becomes equal to i_{LM} and i_{sec1} reaches zero at t_1 , Mode 2 begins. At this moment, D₁ is blocked, and $V_{IN}-v_{CR}$ is applied to $L_M + L_R$. The value of i_{pri} is still increasing owing to the resonance between C_R and $L_M + L_R$, as shown in Figure 5c, and the primary current i_{pri} is still increasing, based on the resonance between C_R and $L_M + L_R$.

Mode 3 $[t_2-t_3]$: When M₂ is turned off and M₁ is turned on at t_2 , Mode 3 begins. Although M₁ is conducting and $-v_{CR}$ is applied to the primary side of the transformer, the turned off M₃ prevents the input power from being transferred to the output side. Therefore, resonance does not occur between C_R and L_R , i_{sec2} is maintained at zero, and the initial current I_{ini} decreases with the slope of $-v_{CR}/(L_R + L_m)$. The primary current i_{pri} decreases in the same manner as the resonance between C_R and $L_M + L_R$.

Mode 4 [t_3 – t_4]: When M₃ is turned on at t_3 , Mode 4 starts, as shown in Figure 4. D₃ is turned on because the voltage v_{CR} is higher than the reflected output voltage $(N_P/N_{S2})V_{o2}$. Concurrently, the primary current begins to decrease owing to the resonance between C_R and L_R . In addition, the reflected output voltage $-(N_P/N_{S2})V_{o2}$ is applied to L_M , causing the magnetizing current i_{LM} to decrease linearly.

Mode 5 $[t_4-t_5]$: Mode 5 begins when the primary current i_{pri} becomes equal to the magnetizing current i_{LM} , and the secondary current of the transformer, i_{sec2} , reaches zero at t_4 . At this moment, the primary current i_{pri} is still decreasing owing to the resonance between C_R and $L_M + L_R$, because D₃ and D₆ are blocked and $-v_{CR}$ is applied to $L_M + L_R$, as shown in Figure 5e. If only M₃ and M₄ are turned off after t_5 , M₃ and M₄ can be turned off to the Sync signal under the ZCS operation. This mode is terminated when M₁ is switched off at t_5 . Then, the operations from t_0 to t_5 are repeated.

3. Analysis and Simplified Design Guidelines

3.1. Output Voltage Analysis

For convenience of analysis, it is assumed that the dead time between M_1 and M_2 is zero, and that i_{LM} linearly increases or decreases with the slope of V_{o1}/n_1L_M or $-V_{o2}/n_2L_M$, respectively.

As shown in Figure 6, the steady-state offset current i_{LM} through L_M can be obtained as:

$$i_{LM} = n_2 I_{o2} - n_1 I_{o1} \tag{1}$$



Figure 6. Waveforms of resonant current $i_{pri}(t)$ and voltage $v_{CR}(t)$ for steady state.

Here $n_1 = N_{s1}/N_p$ and $n_2 = N_{s2}/N_p$, respectively. From Equation (1), the values of I_p and I_v can be expressed as follows:

$$I_p = (n_2 I_{o2} - N_1 I_{o1}) + \frac{V_{o1} T_s}{4n_1 L_M}$$
⁽²⁾

$$I_v = (n_2 I_{o2} - N_1 I_{o1}) + \frac{V_{o2} T_s}{4n_2 L_M}$$
(3)

Here, T_s is the switching period.

Moreover, i_{LM1} and i_{LM2} can be obtained from Equations (4) and (5), as follows:

$$I_{vLM1}(t) = \frac{V_{o1}}{n_1 L_M} t + \left((n_2 I_{o2} - N_1 I_{o1}) + \frac{V_{o2} T_s}{4n_2 L_M} \right)$$
(4)

$$I_{vLM2}(t) = \frac{V_{o2}}{n_2 L_M} t + \left((n_2 I_{o2} - N_1 I_{o1}) + \frac{V_{o1} T_s}{4n_1 L_M} \right)$$
(5)

Furthermore, when M₂ is conducting, the difference between i_{pri} and i_{LM1} is transferred to the output side as i_{sec1} . Similarly, when M₁ is conducting, the difference between i_{pri} and i_{LM2} is transferred to the output side as i_{sec2} . From Equations (2), (6), and (7), i_{sec1} during t_0 – t_1 and i_{sec2} during t_3 – t_4 can be obtained as follows:

$$i_{\text{sec }1} = \frac{1}{n_1} \left| i_{pri} - i_{LM1} \right| = I_{ini1} \cos \omega t + \frac{V_{crini1} - V_{IN} + V_{o1}/n_1}{\sqrt{L_R/C_R}} \sin \omega t - \left\{ \frac{V_{o1}}{n_1 L_M} t + \left((n_2 I_{o2} - N_1 I_{o1}) + \frac{V_{o2} T_s}{4n_2 L_M} \right) \right\}$$
(6)

$$i_{\sec 2} = \frac{1}{n_2} \left| i_{pri} - i_{LM2} \right| = I_{ini2} \cos \omega t + \frac{V_{crini2} - V_{IN} + V_{o2}/n_2}{\sqrt{L_R/C_R}} \sin \omega t - \left\{ \frac{V_{o2}}{n_2 L_M} t + \left((n_2 I_{o2} - N_1 I_{o1}) + \frac{V_{o1} T_s}{4n_1 L_M} \right) \right\}$$
(7)

In the equations above, ω is the angular frequency.

Therefore, the output load currents I_{o1} and I_{o2} can be calculated from the mean values of i_{sec1} and i_{sec2} , respectively, as follows:

$$I_{o1} = \frac{1}{n_1 T_s} \int_0^{t_r} I_{ini1} \cos \omega t + \frac{V_{crini1} - V_{IN} + V_{o1}/n_1}{\sqrt{L_R/C_R}} \sin \omega t - \left\{ \frac{V_{o1}}{n_1 L_M} t + \left((n_2 I_{o2} - N_1 I_{o1}) + \frac{V_{o2} T_s}{4n_2 L_M} \right) \right\} dt = \frac{V_{o1}}{R_{o1}}$$
(8)

$$I_{02} = \frac{1}{n_2 T_s} \int_0^{t_r - D/T_s} I_{ini2} \cos \omega t + \frac{V_{crini2} - V_{IN} + V_{o2}/n_2}{\sqrt{L_R/C_R}} \sin \omega t - \left\{ \frac{V_{o2}}{n_2 L_M} t + \left((n_2 I_{o2} - N_1 I_{o1}) + \frac{V_{o2} T_s}{4n_2 L_M} \right) \right\} dt = \frac{V_{o2}}{R_{o2}}$$
(9)

Here, D' is the turn-off duty ratio of the switch M₃.

From Equations (10) and (11), where $t_{rs} = t_r - D'T_s$, the output voltages V_{o1} and V_{o2} can be obtained, as follows:

$$V_{o1} = \frac{\gamma(V_{crinis} - V_{IN})(\cos \omega t_r - 1)}{\left(\frac{n_1 T_s}{R_{01}} - \frac{\beta}{\omega}\sin \omega t_{rs} - \frac{\gamma}{n_1}\right) \cdot (\cos \omega t_{rs} - 1) + \frac{t^2 r}{2n_1 L_M} + \alpha t_r}$$
(10)

$$V_{o2} = \frac{\gamma (V_{crinis2} - V_{IN})(\cos \omega t_r - 1)}{\left(\frac{n_2 T_s}{R_{02}} - \frac{\beta}{\omega} \sin \omega t_{rs} - \frac{\gamma}{n_2}\right) \cdot (\cos \omega t_{rs} - 1) + \frac{t^2 r}{2n_2 L_M} + \beta t_r}$$
(11)

Here, the variables α , β , γ are defined:

$$\alpha = -\left(\frac{n_1^2 R_{o2} - n_2^2 R_{o1}}{n_1 R_{o1} R_{o2}} + \frac{T_s}{4_{n1} L_M}\right)$$
$$\beta = -\left(\frac{n_1^2 R_{o2} - n_2^2 R_{o1}}{n_1 R_{o1} R_{o2}} + \frac{(4D' - 1)T_s}{4_{n1} L_M}\right)$$
$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{C_R}{L_R}}$$

3.2. Simplified Design Guidelines

The design of resonant components such as L_R , L_M , and C_R always involves a compromise between the maximum load variation, maximum allowable operating frequency deviation, maximum input voltage range, circulating energy in the resonant circuit, and short-circuit characteristics. The optimal operating point of the converter can be reached for a given input voltage and load resistance. Therefore, in practice, a converter is typically designed using operating conditions such as a high resonant frequency and maximum DC-link voltage. In the design of an LLC topology, it is crucial that the manufacturing tolerances of the inductors and capacitors are higher than those in standard productions.

(1) Switching frequency (f_s)

The switching frequency of the converter is determined based on the total losses of the switches and transformer. Generally, a higher switching frequency selection results in a lower magnetic flux; thus, the core size, as well as the core loss, can be reduced. However, a higher switching frequency also results in a higher AC loss on the winding of the transformer and a turn-off loss on the switches, reducing the converter efficiency. As a result, the selection of the switching frequency is a trade-off between these two effects. The trade-off depends on the power rating, type of semiconductor, and operating range of the converter.

(2) Resonant tank frequency (f_n)

One goal is to minimize the resistive losses in the duration of the circulating stages of Mode 2 and Mode 5, as shown in Figure 4. This can be achieved by setting the frequency ratio f_n of the switching frequency (f_s) to the resonant frequency of the resonant tank (f_r) smaller than (but close to) unity.

$$f_n = \frac{f_s}{f_r} < 1 \tag{12}$$

This frequency ratio is designed such that the converter can be operated at a switching frequency between f_{r2} and f_{r1} , where f_{r2} and f_{r1} are determined by {($L_M + L_R$) and C_R } and { L_R and C_R }, respectively. This operating point is located in Region 2, as shown in Figure 7 [19].



Figure 7. DC gain characteristics under load variations.

In Figure 7, Region 1 is the SRC operation region. The converter operates in this region when the switching frequency is higher than f_{r1} . The magnetizing inductance does not participate in the resonance, and a ZVS condition is naturally assured. Region 2 is the multi-resonant converter region. The load condition between f_{r1} and f_{r2} determines the operation of the converter under ZVS and zero current switching (ZCS) conditions.

In this region, the energy stored in the magnetic components triggers ZVS for the opposite Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor (MOSFET). Region 3 is an overloaded region. In this area,

the converter enters the ZCS mode. In general, the LLC resonant converter is designed to operate in Regions 1 and 2, owing to output regulation and ZVS operation. To ensure ZVS operation, the operating range of the converter should be above f_{r2} . Therefore, L_R and C_R are chosen to be guaranteed under a heavy load. The selection of L_M determines the switching frequency range and the MOSFET turn-off current. The smaller the value of L_M , the narrower the operating range. However, the MOSFET turn-off current will be higher, increasing the switching loss.

(3) Magnetizing inductance of the transformer (L_M)

The ZVS operation of the MOSFETs and the ZCS operation of the output auxiliary switches are particularly important for the efficiency and optimal design of the LLC resonant converter. In Figure 6, the primary current is always negative at t_0 , ensuring that M_2 operates under a ZVS condition. In addition, M_1 always operates under the ZVS condition, owing to the positive I_p . Therefore, the ZVS condition of the two power switches depends on the magnetizing inductance L_M and dead time duration t_{dt} , as follows:

$$t_{dt} > \frac{2V_{IN}C_{ds}}{I_{p_{\min}}}, t_{dt} > \frac{2V_{IN}C_{ds}}{|I_{v_{\min}}|}$$
 (13)

From Equation (6), the limitation of the magnetizing inductance can be derived as follows:

$$L_M \le \frac{t_{dt}}{16C_s f_{s_max}} \tag{14}$$

Here, t_{dt} is the dead time and C_{ds} is the equivalent capacitance of the MOSFETs.

The magnetizing inductor needs to be small enough to achieve the ZVS condition, and large enough to reduce the turn-off losses. Therefore, in an optimal design, L_M should have the maximum value that meets the ZVS requirement.

3.3. Optimal Design of the LLC Resonant Converter

Figure 8 shows a flow chart for the optimal design of inductor-inductor-capacitor (LLC) resonance tank. The following is the step-by-step design guide line.

Step 1. Refer to the above "(1) Switching frequency (f_s) (2) Resonant tank frequency (f_n)" and select the switching frequency range. The converter is designed to operate in Region 2 at high and low input voltages to minimize switching loss due to hard-switching. For ZCS operation of the secondary-side output rectifier, switching frequency f_s shall be lower than resonant frequency f_{r1} . The limit of f_r is defined as follows.

$$f_r = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{L_R C_R}} \tag{15}$$

Step 2. When *Q* decreases (load decrease) higher peak gains are obtained. In addition, the important factor determining peak gain is the ratio between L_M/L_R . The ratio between L_M/L_R and quality factor is defined as follows.

$$K = \frac{L_M}{L_R}, \ Q = \frac{\sqrt{L_R/C_R}}{R_{ac}}$$
(16)

Step 3. The turn ratio *n* should be calculated from the relationship between the input and output voltages.

$$n \ge \frac{V_{in}/2}{V_{o1} + V_f} \tag{17}$$

Step 4. The magnetizing inductance needs to be small enough to achieve the ZVS condition, and large enough to reduce the turn-off losses. From Equation (14), the limitation of the magnetizing inductance can be derived as:

$$L_M \le \frac{t_{dt}}{16C_s f_{s_max}} \tag{18}$$

Step 5. The leakage inductance can be calculated from Equation (15) and as follows:

$$L_R = \frac{QR_{ac}}{2\pi f_r} \tag{19}$$

Here, *n* is transformer turns ratio and R_{ac} is AC equivalent load resistance, $R_{ac} = \frac{8n^2}{\pi^2}R_o$, $n = \frac{N_P}{N_s}$. Step 7. The resonant capacitor can be calculated from Equation (15) and as follows:



Figure 8. Flowchart for optimal design of inductor-inductor-capacitor (LLC) resonance tank.

4. Experimental Results

To verify the feasibility of the proposed converter, a prototype of a 120 W output power converter for LED 3D TVs is implemented. The prototype of the proposed LED driver is shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9. The prototype of the proposed LED driver.

Table 1 shows the design specifications and circuit parameters for the prototype. Table 2 shows a comparison between the conventional and proposed circuits, in terms of the number of components. As shown in Table 2, the proposed converter has fewer components than the number of components in the conventional converter owing to the absence of the additional non-isolated DC-DC converter.

Figure 10 shows the ZCS operating waveforms of the proposed converter; when M_3 is turned on, the resonant current of the primary side starts flowing. It is clear that ZCS is ensured, based on the zero current at the end of the ICR switching.



Figure 10. Computer simulation and experimental waveform of M_3 : (a) PSIM simulation circuit; (b) PSIM simulation graph; (c) resonance current on primary side converted to output side; and (d) zero current switching (ZCS) operating waveforms of the proposed converter.

Input Voltage, V _{IN}	AC90–264V		
V _{visual} and V _{amp}	12.8 V/5.4 A		
LED Output (2CU)	2D Mode_100V/240 mA		
	3D Mode_122V/630 mA		
Output Power, Po,max	120 W		
Trans Turn Ratio, N _P :N _{S1} :N _{S2}	39:2:32		
Resonant Capacitor, C _r	33 nF		
Leakage Inductance, L _r	94 uH		
Magnetizing inductance, L_M	470 uH		
LLC Resonant Controller FA6A00N, Fuji Electric,			

Table 1. Design and circuit specification for power system.

Item	Conventional Circuit		Proposed Circuit	
Rectifier Diode	4EA	SDURF1030 (TO-220)	4EA	SF38G (DO-201A)
Heat Sink	1EA	60 mm × 30 mm × 9 mm	-	_
Power Switch	2EA	AOD9N25 (D-PAK)	2EA	AOD5N40 (D-PAK)
Freewheeling Diode	2EA	MUR460 (DO-201A)	_	-
Elec. Capacitor —	2EA	68 uF/160 V	-	-
	2EA	33 uF/200 V	2EA	47 uF/200 V
Film Capacitor	_	-	2EA	100 nF/330 V
Inductor	2EA	EFD2020	_	-

Table 2. Comparisons between conventional and proposed converters (2CH).

Figure 11 shows the experimental waveforms at 2D (99%, 10%, 1%, and 0.2%) and 3D (10% and 1%) dimming ratios. As shown in Figure 11, the LED current becomes zero for the low dimming signal, and it is exactly controlled to be 2D_210 mA and 3D_630 mA for the high dimming signal. Moreover, although the proposed LED driver comprises only a few components, the current through the LED array is well-controlled and balanced according to all of the dimming ratios.



Figure 11. Experimental waveforms according to LED 2D/3D dimming: (**a**) 2D pulse width modulation (PWM) dimming: 99%; (**b**) 2D PWM dimming: 10%; (**c**) 2D PWM dimming: 1%; (**d**) 2D PWM dimming: 0.2%; (**e**) 3D PWM dimming: 10%; and (**f**) 3D PWM dimming: 1%.

Based on Table 1, Figure 12 shows the total efficiency of the power system from AC to the final LED backlight (AC EMI + PFC + DC/DC + LED backlight driver) and the efficiency of each stage is also compared. Efficiency measurements are efficiency data according to LED dimming conditions as shown in Figure 11. This is a measure of operating from dark to full brightness on a TV screen. Assuming that the components not specified in Figure 12 have similar power consumptions, the proposed driver shows a lower consumption by approximately 1.74 W power loss than the conventional driver.



Figure 12. Measured total efficiency (AC to LED backlight driver): (**a**) comparison of efficiency by LED dimming conditions; (**b**) comparison of efficiency by each Stage (AC EMI + PFC + LLC + LED backlight).

Probably of the most importance is the high efficiency with this LLC topology that allows slim power supply designs. LED string current control is achieved using the LLC resonant half bridge topology in current mode control. Typical efficiency for the proposed converter in TV application is shown in Figure 12; the total efficiency from AC to backlight can be achieved by more than 90% with this architecture.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, optimal design of a multi-output LLC resonant converter with an independently regulated synchronous single-switched power-regulator is proposed. As an isolated DC-DC converter and LED drivers are integrated into one power stage in the proposed LED driver system, it consists of two cascaded power stages. The proposed post-regulator requires only one auxiliary switch, in contrast with a bulky and expensive non-isolated DC-DC converter

Through the analysis of the control method for the proposed converter, the simplified design guidelines and magnetizing inductance are designed to obtain soft switching capability. The proposed driver system features a relatively low cost, simple structure, high efficiency, and high power density. In addition, it can ensure the ZVS operation of power switches and the ZCS operation of output auxiliary switches along the entire load range. It has highly desirable advantages, such as reduced switching losses and heat generation.

Finally, through the experimental results it can be seen that very fast LED rise and fall currents can be achieved with this control scheme that allow excellent linearity performance under any dimming conditions. The proposed converter is simple and achieves excellent dimming current control between multiple outputs, and the highest AC to backlight efficiency. The PSIM simulation and experimental results using the prototype converter verified the validity of the proposed architecture.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.G.P. and B.K.L.; methodology, S.G.P. and J.S.K.; software, S.G.P.; validation, S.G.P. and J.S.K.; formal analysis, S.G.P.; investigation, S.G.P.; resources, S.G.P. and B.K.L.; data curation, S.G.P. and J.S.K.; writing—original draft preparation, S.G.P.; writing—review and editing, J.S.K.; visualization, S.G.P.; supervision, B.K.L.; project administration, B.K.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Qu, X.; Wong, S.-C.; Tse, C.K. A current balancing scheme with high luminous efficiency for high power LED lighting. In Proceedings of the IECON 2013-39th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, Vienna, Austria, 10–13 November 2013.
- 2. Liu, J.; Sun, W.; Zeng, J. Precise current sharing control for multi-channel LED driver based on switch-controlled capacitor. *IET Power Electron.* **2017**, *10*, 357–367. [CrossRef]
- 3. Chen, Y.; Wu, X.; Qian, Z. Analysis and design considerations of LLCC resonant dc–dc converter with precise current sharing for two-channel LED driver. In Proceedings of the 2011 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition, Phoenix, AZ, USA, 17–22 September 2011; pp. 2771–2776.
- 4. Raghavendra, K.V.G.; Zeb, K.; Muthusamy, A.; Krishna, T.N.V.; Prabhudeva Kumar, S.V.S.V.; Kim, D.-H.; Kim, M.-S.; Cho, H.-G.; Kim, H.-J. A comprehensive review of DC–DC converter topologies and modulation strategies with recent advances in solar photovoltaic systems. *Electronics* **2019**, *9*, 31. [CrossRef]
- Park, S.-G.; Ryu, S.-H.; Cho, K.-S.; Lee, B.-K. An improved single switched post regulator for multiple output isolated converters. In Proceedings of the 2015 9th International Conference on Power Electronics and ECCE Asia (ICPE-ECCE Asia), Seoul, Korea, 1–5 June 2015; pp. 2403–2408.
- Vinoth Kumar, K.; Shankar, A.; Renius, J.S.; Guru, R.; Nair, S.L.; Viswanathan, K. The FHA analysis of dual-bridge LLC type resonant converter arnold fredderics. *Int. J. Power Electron. Drive Syst. (IJPEDS)* 2014, 4, 538–546.
- 7. Lo, Y.K.; Yen, S.C.; Song, T.H. Analysis and design of a double-output series- resonant DC-DC converter. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2007**, *22*, 952–959. [CrossRef]
- Gu, Y.; Hang, L.; Chen, U.; Lu, Z.; Qian, Z.; Li, J. A simple structure of LLC resonant DC-DC converter for multi-output applications. In Proceedings of the 2005 20th Annual Meeting of Applied Power Electronics Conference, Austin, TX, USA, 6–10 March 2005; Volume 3, pp. 1485–1490.
- 9. Jovanović, M.M.; Irving, B.T. Efficiency optimization of LLC resonant converters operating in wide input-and/or output-voltage range by on-the-fly topology-morphing control. In Proceedings of the IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference (APEC), Charlotte, NC, USA, 15–19 March 2015.
- 10. Chandrasekar, B.; Samuel Rajesh Babu, R. A review on LLC resonant converter. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Computation of Power, Energy Information and Communication (ICCPEIC), Chennai, India, 20–21 April 2016.
- 11. Kim, C.-E.; Moon, G.-W.; Lee, J.-Y.; Park, K.-B. New Multi-output LLC resonant converter for high efficiency and low cost PDP power module. In Proceedings of the 2006 37th IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, Jeju, Korea, 18–22 June 2006; pp. 1–7.
- Hang, L.-J.; Gu, Y.-L.; Lu, Z.-Y.; Qian, Z.-M. Multi-output LLC resonant converter with symmetrical auxiliary output structure. In Proceedings of the INTELEC 06-Twenty-Eighth International Telecommunications Energy Conference, Providence, RI, USA, 10–14 September 2006; pp. 1–5.
- Lin, Y.-L.; Liu, K.H. A new synchronous-switch post regulator for multi-output forward converters. In Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Proceedings on Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition, Los Angeles, CA, USA, 11–16 March 1990; pp. 693–696.
- 14. Zhang, J.; Liao, J.; Wang, J.; Qian, Z. A current-driving synchronous rectifier for an LLC resonant converter with voltage-doubler rectifier structure. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2012**, 27, 1894–1904. [CrossRef]
- 15. Qian, Q.; Yu, J.; Su, C.; Sun, W.; Lu, W. A LLC resonant converter with dual resonant frequency for high light load efficiency. *Int. J. Electron.* **2017**, *104*, 2033–2047. [CrossRef]
- 16. Luo, J.; Wang, J.; Fang, Z.; Shao, J.; Li, J. Optimal design of a high efficiency LLC resonant converter with a narrow frequency range for voltage regulation. *Energies* **2018**, *11*, 1124. [CrossRef]
- 17. Park, H.P.; Jung, J.H. PWM and PFM hybrid control method for LLC resonant converters in high switching frequency operation. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2017**, *64*, 253–263. [CrossRef]

- 18. Su, B.; Wen, H.; Zhang, J.; Lu, Z. A soft-switching post regulator for multi-outputs dual forward dc/dc converter with tight output voltage regulation. *IET Power Electron.* **2013**, *6*, 1067–1077. [CrossRef]
- 19. Fang, X.; Hu, H.; John Shen, Z.; Batarseh, I. Operation mode analysis and peak gain approximation of the LLC resonant converter. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2012**, *27*, 1985–1995. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).