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#### Abstract

Micro-grid solutions around the world rely on the operation of DC/DC power conversion systems. The most commonly used solution for these topologies is the use of a dual active bridge (DAB) converter. Increasing the efficiency and reliability of this system contributes to the improvement in the stability of the entire microgrid. This paper discussed an analytical method of energy efficiency and power loss estimation in a single phase dual active bridge (DAB) converter controlled with a single-phase shift (SPS) modulation scheme for microgrid system stability. The presented approach uses conduction and commutation losses of semiconductors and high frequency transformer. All parameters required for the calculation may be obtained from the manufacturers' datasheets or can be based on a simple measurement. The approach was validated by the comparison of the estimated energy efficiency characteristics with the measured ones for a prototype of a 5 kW single phase $D A B$ converter equipped with silicon carbide metal-oxide semiconductor field-effect transistors (SiC MOSFET).
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## 1. Introduction

Dual active bridge (DAB) topology is among the most popular DC/DC converters used in electronic power systems. This topology is widely used in DC/DC microgrids as well as mixed networks and owes its popularity to many advanced features such as bidirectional power flow, galvanic isolation, control simplicity, wide range of voltage regulation, and soft switching of semiconductor devices. DAB topology has been applied to solid-state transformers, electromobility, energy storage systems, and DC voltage distribution networks [1,2]. Nowadays, the development of DAB converters is mainly focused on the increase in energy efficiency by using modern power semiconductors ( $\mathrm{SiC}, \mathrm{GaN}$ ), where the adaptation of new control strategies enables a reduction in the current stress and the limitation of current RMS values, and a diminishing of DAB transformer power losses [1-6]. Crucially, the application of modern power semiconductor devices enables an increase in the switching frequency up to 1 MHz [7], which results in a higher power density, a reduction in passive components dimensions, and a reduction in the overall converter dimensions.

The problem of energy efficiency evaluation is one of the main aspects of the beginning stage of the design process of a future converter. Importantly, a proper evaluation of power losses allows for a selection of cooling methods and radiator features, which reduce
the overall converter dimensions and the total cost. At the early stages of the design process, energy efficiency may be estimated using a simulation tool or via an analytical analysis. Many simulators (e.g., PLECS) offer comprehensive tools for the evaluation of power losses and a thermal analysis using electro-thermal models [8]. This method is easy to use and delivers results with a satisfactory accuracy for the design purpose. However, it requires access to precise models of semiconductors, which not all suppliers offer, because such models are usually shared by manufacturers only for selected types of semiconductors, or the developed models are dedicated to one specific simulator. Crucially, many models of semiconductors presented in the literature cannot be parameterized using the manufacturer's datasheet, hence additional laboratory measurements are required to obtain the model parameters, which is usually time-consuming and increases the total cost [9,10].

Notably, the measurement of some quantities in the DAB converter (e.g., the power loss of a transformer) may be problematic, hence using analytical methods may be a suitable approach to obtaining a power loss distribution.

Another approach uses an analytical analysis based on a set-up of mathematical equations describing the function of the considered converter. It is worth mentioning that the presence of high-frequency currents in a DAB converter AC circuit, or the determination of the switching process mechanism of semiconductors (under soft or hard conditions) in dependence on the converter AC side currents values results in limited usefulness of the analytical methods, which have been derived for classic H-bridge topologies. These approaches are usually described for sinusoidal modulation with a low fundamental current frequency at the inverter AC side [11-13]. Moreover, in some systems, the transistor turn-on losses are neglected [14], or it is assumed that both the turn-on and turn-off processes perform only under hard switching conditions [12]. In available application notes, switching losses under hard conditions are usually calculated using simplified formulas with constant values of time parameters describing the switching processes [4]. Moreover, to simplify the considerations, the impact of transistor gate resistance is often omitted [12,14,15].

In the literature, analytical methods of power loss estimation dedicated to DAB converters have also been proposed.

For example, in [16], an analysis of a power transfer in a single-phase DAB converter was provided. The presented analyses were performed for different cases of input-tooutput voltage relations including the influence of the dead time and conduction losses of semiconductor devices. However, the presented analysis was incomplete as it omitted the discussion of the impact of semiconductor switching losses and transformer losses. An interesting approach was presented in [17], where a theoretical analysis of power losses was given, which was used for the optimal design methodology of a single-phase DAB converter. A valuable part of this work is a presentation of an estimation method of capacitors and transformer losses. The obtained results were compared with the results of the simulation and experimental measurements to prove the correctness of the adopted methodology, however, an analysis of the semiconductor switching losses was not included-the authors assumed that all switches were switched under soft conditions. Another solution was presented in [18], where an analysis of the conducted differential mode current harmonic magnitudes and the power factor in a DAB converter was discussed. Importantly, that solution was based on a Fourier series theory, hence its adaptation is more complex and time consuming. This approach may be used for the overall prediction of the DAB operational performance and to optimize and identify the current and voltage ranges. Commutation losses were not considered here either; hence based on the methodology presented in [18], the DAB converter efficiency may only be evaluated in a generic way.

The approach presented in [19] was dedicated to the estimation of semiconductor losses including the impact of dead-time and turn-off process for SiC MOSFET and Si IGBT transistors operating in DAB converters. All of the required parameters may be easily extracted using the manufacturer's datasheet. The used mathematical expressions are
not complicated, and method adaptation is not time-consuming. It should be noted that the presented considerations did not take into account the transformer losses, which is a significant disadvantage of the method.

A more advanced approach was described in [20], where the estimation method of semiconductors and transformer losses was proposed. The obtained results were used to improve the overall energy efficiency by modifying and optimizing the DAB converter control strategy. The limitations of the primary approach are the omission of the diodes' reverse recovery process and neglect of the impact of transistor gate resistance on the switching process dynamics.

A comprehensive estimation method of the DAB converter efficiency was proposed in [21]. The transformer losses were predicted using the Steinmetz equation and the semiconductors' conduction and switching losses were also calculated. Additional analyses were performed for hard and soft switching conditions in dependency on the current values in the AC circuit of the DAB converter. The high accuracy of the obtained results and the usefulness of the proposed approach were confirmed by a comparison with the experimental measurement results. However, two different polynomial functions-one for hard switching and one for soft switching-must be used to calculate the semiconductor switching losses. Parameters of these functions were fitted by using least means squares approximation. As a result, the method is complicated and time-consuming.

Based on the presented analysis, it appears that a different approach is necessary. In this paper, a calculation method of power loss and energy efficiency of a one-phase DAB converter composed with SiC-MOSFETs and controlled with single phase shift was proposed. The proposed set of equations, whose coefficients may be easily obtained from the manufacturer's datasheets, describes the commutation and conduction losses of diodes and transistors and the transformer losses were also considered. The correctness of theoretical considerations was verified by comparing the analytical results with the experimental ones, performed on a 5 kW DAB converter prototype.

## 2. Dual Active Bridge DC/DC Converter

The basic topology of the dual active bridge (DAB) DC/DC converter with a one-phase high frequency transformer is presented in Figure 1a. It consists of two H-bridges coupled by an AC-link formed by a transformer with a turn's ratio $n=N_{2} / N_{1}$ and an additional inductor $L_{d}$. The DAB converter may be driven using various control methods. Due to its simplicity of implementation, small inertia, and satisfactory dynamic performance, the single phase shift (SPS) switching scheme, where all transistors are switched with a $50 \%$ duty cycle ratio and the phase-shift $\phi$ is used to control the power flow (Figure 1b), was the most common scheme. Nevertheless, using SPS control causes a reduction in the operating range in which semiconductors are switched to soft conditions, especially when the input-to-output voltage ratio is significantly different from 1. Another disadvantage of the application of the SPS modulation scheme is an increase in the rms value of the transformer current at the converter's low output power. As a result, a significant reactive power flow is then observed, which increases the conduction loss and the current stress of transistors and diodes [22,23]. To improve the converter efficiency by reducing the circulating power flow and limiting the current rms value, other control methods, which provide an extra degree of freedom, have been proposed such as extended phase shift (EPS), dual phase shift (DPS), or triple phase shift (TPS) modulation [24,25].

Despite the undeniable advantages of EPS, DPS, and TPS, in comparison with SPS, a practical application of these types of modulation is more complex. These methods significantly complicate the implementations of the modulator, and their efficiency characteristics in relation to the output power, with the change in the voltage ratio, decreases significantly. Hence, in this paper, the authors considered a DAB controlled with a SPS control scheme, however, the proposed approach may be adopted to other modulation types.

(a)

(b)

Figure 1. (a) Dual active bridge $D C / D C$ converter topology; (b) single phase shift modulation scheme.

## 3. Currents Estimation in a DAB Converter

An equivalent circuit of the single-phase DAB converter (Figure 1a) is presented in Figure 2. A resultant inductance $L$ represents a sum of inductances in the AC-circuit:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L_{d}+L_{\delta 1}+L_{\delta 2}^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

- $\quad L_{d}$-inductance of the additional inductor;
- $\quad L_{\delta 1}, L_{\delta 2}{ }^{\prime}$ —leakage inductance of each transformer winding converted to the bridge $H_{1}$ side: $L_{\delta 2}{ }^{\prime}=L_{\delta 2} / n^{2}$;
- $\quad L_{\delta 2}$-leakage inductance of transformer winding at the $H_{2}$ bridge side; $n=N_{2} / N_{1}$ transformer turns ratio.


Figure 2. Equivalent circuit of the single-phase DAB converter.
In the presented equivalent scheme, values of the $H_{2}$ bridge voltages and currents are also referred to the $H_{1}$ bridge side.

In this study, the DAB converter features were considered when the SPS modulation strategy was applied. Each bridge generated a quasi-square wave voltage $u_{1}$ and $u_{2} / n$ with a $50 \%$ duty cycle (Figure 3).


Figure 3. Simplified voltage and current waveforms of $D A B$ converter for $U_{D C 1}>U_{D C 2} / n$ : (a) with $I_{2}<0$; (b) with $I_{2}>0$.

The value and power flow direction between bridges $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are controlled by the phase shift $\phi$. To simplify the calculations, the impact of the transistors' dead time may be neglected. Similarly, it can be assumed that the values of voltages $U_{D C 1}$ and $U_{D C 2} / n$ are high enough, which enables the voltage drop to be omitted at the transistors and diodes. In brief, positive values of the phase shift are used when $U_{D C 1}>U_{D C 2} / n$, however, for a negative value of $\phi$, the results may be obtained using a similar approach. In Figure 4, exemplary theoretical waveforms are presented, when $U_{D C 1}<U_{D C 2} / n$ and $\phi>0$.


Figure 4. Simplified voltage and current waveforms of the DAB converter for $U_{D C 1}<U_{D C 2} / n$ : (a) with $I_{1}>0$; (b) with $I_{1}<0$.

Based on Figure 3a and an equivalent scheme of the DAB converter (Figure 2), voltage $u_{L}$, affecting the inductances in the AC-link, is described by:

- for the time interval $t_{A}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{L(A)}=u_{1}-\frac{u_{2}}{n}=U_{D C 1}+\frac{U_{D C 2}}{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- for the time interval $t_{B}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{L(B)}=u_{1}-\frac{u_{2}}{n}=U_{D C 1}-\frac{U_{D C 2}}{n} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the current $i_{L}$ in specified time moments is described by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
i_{L}\left(t_{1}\right)=I_{1}  \tag{4}\\
i_{L}\left(t_{2}\right)=I_{2}=I_{1}+\frac{u_{L(A)}}{L} t_{A} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{L}\left(t_{4}\right)=i_{L}\left(\frac{T_{S}}{2}\right)=I_{3}=I_{2}+\frac{u_{L(B)}}{L} t_{B}=-I_{1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the average values of the input and output currents $I_{D C 1}$ and $I_{D C 2}$ are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{D C 1(a v)}=\frac{2}{T_{S}} \int_{0}^{T_{S} / 2} i_{D C 1}(t) d t=\frac{1}{T_{S}}\left[I_{2}\left(t_{A}+t_{B}\right)+I_{3}\left(t_{B}-t_{A}\right)\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{D C 2(a v)}=\frac{2}{T_{S}} \int_{0}^{T_{S} / 2} i_{D C 2}(t) d t=\frac{1}{n T_{S}}\left[I_{2}\left(t_{B}-t_{A}\right)+I_{3}\left(t_{A}+t_{B}\right)\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $t_{A}=T_{S} / 2-t_{B}$ and substitutions (2) and (3) to (8), (9) leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{D C 1(a v)}=\frac{1}{n T_{S}}\left[-\frac{2 U_{D C 2}}{L} t_{B}^{2}+\frac{T_{S} \cdot U_{D C 2}}{L} t_{B}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{D C 2(a v)}=\frac{1}{n T_{S}}\left[-\frac{2 U_{D C 1}}{L} t_{B}^{2}+\frac{T_{S} \cdot U_{D C 1}}{L} t_{B}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For known values of $I_{D C 1(a v)}$ or $I_{D C 2(a v),} n$ and $U_{D C 1}$ or $U_{D C 2}$, the length of the time interval $t_{B}$ may be easily extracted by solving Equations (9) or (10). Next, the length of interval $t_{A}$ may be obtained for a specified switching time $T_{S}$, which allows for a calculation of the values of current $i_{L}$ at characteristic moments of the DAB converter operating cycle.

## 4. Estimation of Transistor and Diode Power Losses

The total power loss $P_{T}$ of a single transistor operating in a H -bridge is the sum of commutation losses and conduction loss $P_{C(T)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{T}=P_{O N(T)}+P_{C(T)}+P_{O F F(T)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{O N(T)}$ and $P_{\text {OFF (T) }}$ are the turn-on and turn-off switching losses, also called the commutation losses. The total power loss of a single diode $P_{D}$ may be defined in an analogous way.

Conduction losses result from voltage drops on conducting transistors and diodes. If MOSFET transistors are applied in a converter construction, using a linear approximation of the transistor output characteristic $i_{D}\left(u_{D S}\right)$ (Figure 5) allows one to estimate a voltage drop $u_{D S}$ depending on a drain current $i_{D}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{D S}=\frac{U_{D S(N)}}{I_{D(N)}} i_{D} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{D S(N)}$ and $I_{D(N)}$ are the rated values of the transistor drain-to-source voltage $u_{D S}$ and drain current $i_{D}$ (given in the manufacturer's datasheet).

Similarly, using a linear approximation of the datasheet diode characteristic $i_{D Z}\left(u_{D}\right)$, the voltage drop $u_{D}$ caused by a flow of current $i_{D Z}$ may be calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{D}=r_{D} \cdot i_{D Z}+U_{F O} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{F O}$ is a diode threshold voltage and $r_{D}$ is the diode dynamic resistance $r_{\mathrm{D}}=\Delta u_{\mathrm{D}} / \Delta i_{\mathrm{DZ}}$ (Figure 5).


Figure 5. Linearization of the diode and MOSFET steady-state characteristics.
Hence, the conduction power loss of the MOSFET transistor and diode calculated for one switching period $T_{S}$ are given as follows:

- for MOSFET:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{C(T)}=\frac{U_{D S(N)}}{I_{D(N)} T_{S}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{O}+T_{S}}\left[i_{D}(t)\right]^{2} d t=\frac{U_{D S(N)}}{I_{D(N)}} \cdot I_{D(r m s)}{ }^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

- and for the diode:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{C(D)}=U_{F O} \cdot I_{D Z(a v)}+r_{D} \cdot I_{D Z(r m s)}{ }^{2}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{D(r m s)}$ and $I_{D Z(r m s)}$ are rms values of transistor and diode currents and $I_{D Z(a v)}$ is an average value of a diode current $i_{D Z}$ calculated for one switching period $T_{S}$.
Commutation losses result from finite values of the rise and fall times of the transistor voltage and current waveforms during switching processes. Considering the theoretical voltages and current waveforms obtained in a basic switching cell (Figure 6) during the transistor turn-on process under hard conditions, the transistor current $i_{D}$ reaches the value of the load current $I_{O}$ before the reduction in the drain-to-source voltage $u_{D S}$ [26] (Figure 7).


Figure 6. Basic switching cell.
Hence, in the turn-on process of a transistor, two characteristic sub periods may be described. Impacted by the diode $D_{Z}$ reverse recovery, during the first stage of the turn-on process, the drain current rises to the value, which is a sum of the absolute values of load current $I_{O}$ and reverse recovery current $I_{R M}$ of diode $D_{Z}$ (Figure 7 b ). Considering the waveforms presented in Figure 6, the transistor current $i_{D}$ derivative with time is expressed by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i D}=\frac{d i_{D}}{d t}=\frac{I_{O}+\left|I_{R M}\right|}{t_{R I} \prime}=\frac{I_{O}}{t_{R I}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the current $i_{D}$ rise time $t_{R I}$, a MOSFET gate circuit should be analyzed (Figure 8). During the transistor switching process, which is caused by drive voltage $U_{D R}$ changes, transistor parasitic capacitances $C_{G D}$ and $C_{G S}$ are recharged by the gate current $i_{G}$ [27]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{G}=C_{G D} \frac{d u_{G D}}{d t}+C_{G S} \frac{d u_{G S}}{d t} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$


(a)

(b)

Figure 7. Voltage and current waveforms in a basic switching cell during transistor turn-on process: (a) without the diode $D_{Z}$ reverse recovery; (b) with the diode $D_{Z}$ reverse recovery.


Figure 8. A gate circuit of the MOSFET transistor.
The gate current $i_{G}$ may also be described by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{G}=\frac{U_{D R}-u_{G S}}{R_{G}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{G}$ is an external gate resistance. In the manufacturer's datasheet, the values of capacitances $C_{G D}$ and $C_{G S}$ are given as an input capacitance $C_{i s s}$ and a reverse transfer capacitance $C_{r s s}$ with the following relationships:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i s s}=C_{G D}+C_{G S} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{r s s}=C_{G D} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, substituting (18)-(20) to Equation (17):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U_{D R}-u_{G S}}{R_{G}}=C_{r s s} \frac{d\left(u_{G S}-u_{D S}\right)}{d t}+\left(C_{i s s}-C_{r s s}\right) \frac{d u_{G S}}{d t} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U_{D R}-u_{G S}}{R_{G}}=C_{i s s} \frac{d u_{G S}}{d t}-C_{r s s} \frac{d u_{D S}}{d t} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

During the current $i_{D}$ rise phase of the turn-on process, to simplify the calculations, it can be assumed that the voltage $u_{D S}$ remains constant and its derivative with time equals zero (Figure 7). Hence, Equation (22) may be modified as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U_{D R}-u_{G S}}{R_{G}}=C_{i s s} \frac{d u_{G S}}{d t} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, transforming Equation (23):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d t=\frac{R_{G} \cdot C_{i s s}}{U_{D R}-u_{G S}} d u_{G S} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

the rise time $t_{R I}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{R I}=\int_{U_{G S(T H)}}^{U_{G S(P)}} \frac{R_{G} \cdot C_{i s s}}{U_{D R}-u_{G S}} d u_{G S}=R_{G} C_{i s s} \ln \frac{\left|U_{D R}-U_{G S(T H)}\right|}{\left|U_{D R}-U_{G S(P)}\right|} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{G S(T H)}$ is a MOSFET gate threshold voltage and $U_{G S(P)}$ is a minimal value of the gate-to-source voltage, enabling the conduction of the load current $I_{O}$. These values may be obtained from a datasheet transfer characteristic $i_{D}\left(u_{G S}\right)$. After the calculation of parameter $t_{R I}$, the value of derivative $d i_{D} / d t$ may be easily estimated using (16).

To estimate the diode turn-off power loss under hard-switching conditions, a reverserecovery process must be used. Hence, the values of reverse current $I_{R M}$ and diode reverse recovery time $t_{R R}$ should be evaluated (Figure 7 b ). To solve this problem, a number of analytical methods using datasheet information have been proposed. For example, in [28], a regression method was proposed to obtain the reverse-recovery parameters during switching intervals, and in [29], a set of equations including, additionally, the impact of parasitic inductances and diode capacitance, was proposed. These methods offer satisfactory accuracy with a maximum error of parameter estimation lower than $10 \%$, however, their application seems to be complex and time consuming. Considering the waveforms presented in Figure 7 b , the values of parameters $t_{R R}, I_{R M}$, and $Q_{R R}$ depend on the load current $I_{O}$, diode current derivative with time $a_{i D z}$, and temperature [30]. In this paper, to be concise, the effect of temperature was omitted. Based on the results of the measurements and the manufacturer's data, the following empirical relations enabling the estimation of $t_{R R}$ and $I_{R M}$ for various values of load current $I_{O}$ and $a_{i D Z}=d i_{D Z} / d t$ were derived:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{R R}=t_{R R(N)}\left(-0.15\left|\frac{a_{i D z}}{A_{i D z(N)}}\right|+0.2 \frac{I_{O}}{I_{O(N)}}+0.9\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{R M}=0.2 I_{R M(N)}\left(\frac{I_{O}}{I_{O(N)}}+1.25\right)\left(\left|\frac{a_{i D z}}{A_{i D z(N)}}\right|+1\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

- $\quad a_{i D Z}=d i_{D Z} / d t=-a_{i D}$ (from Equation (16) and Figure 7b);
- $\quad t_{R R(N)}$ is a nominal value of the diode $D_{Z}$ reverse recovery time measured for the nominal load current $I_{O(N)}$ and nominal derivative with time of the diode current $A_{i D Z(N)}$. These nominal values are usually given in the manufacturer's datasheet.

Thus, the total length of interval $t_{R I}{ }^{\prime}$ (Figure 7b) is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{R I}^{\prime}=t_{R I}+\frac{\left|I_{R M}\right|}{a_{i D}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the transistor drain current reaches the maximum value, the next phase of the turn-on process begins. Voltage $u_{D S}$ starts to fall and, by the end of interval $t_{F V}$, the recombination process of the diode charge is finished-voltage $u_{D S}$ is reduced to zero and the diode current is zero (Figure 7b) [12]. Factually, at that moment, the diode current was limited to about $10 \%$ of $I_{R M}$ and the voltage $u_{D S}$ was reduced to the value resulting from the voltage drop on the conducting transistor. Hence, the transistor voltage fall time $t_{F V}$ is described by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{F V}=t_{R R}-\frac{\left|I_{R M}\right|}{a_{i D}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the waveforms presented in Figure 7 b and based on the estimated values of $t_{R I}{ }^{\prime}$ and $t_{F V}$, the turn-on power loss of the MOSFET $P_{O N(T)}$ under hard switching conditions is expressed by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{O N(T)}=\frac{1}{T_{S}} \int_{0}^{t_{F V}+t_{R I}{ }^{\prime}} u_{D S}(t) \cdot i_{D}(t) d t \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{O N(T)}=\frac{U_{D C}}{T_{S}}\left[\frac{t_{R I} \prime}{2}\left(I_{O}+\left|I_{R M}\right|\right)+t_{F V}\left(\frac{I_{O}}{2}+\frac{\left|I_{R M}\right|}{3}\right)\right] . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the diode reverse recovery power loss is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{O F F(D)}=\frac{1}{T_{S}} \int_{0}^{t_{F V}} u_{D Z}(t) \cdot i_{D Z}(t) d t=\frac{U_{D C} \cdot\left|I_{R M}\right| \cdot t_{F V}}{6 T_{S}} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

During the MOSFET turn-off process under hard conditions, the transistor $u_{D S}$ voltage reaches the value of the supply voltage $U_{D C}$ before a reduction in the drain current $i_{D}$ (Figure 9) [26,31]. Analyzing the MOSFET turn-off process (Figure 9), two characteristic phases may be recognized:

- during time interval $t_{R V}$, voltage $u_{D C}$ rises to $U_{D C}$;
- during time interval $t_{F I}$, drain current $i_{D}$ decreases do zero.


Figure 9. Voltage and current waveforms in a basic switching cell during the transistor turn-off process.

During time interval $t_{R V}$, assuming the gate-to-source voltage $u_{G S}$ is constant, the transistor is turned off within the flat Miller Plateau Region. Because $u_{G S}=U_{G S(P)}$ and $d u_{G S} / d t=0$, Equation (22) may be simplified:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U_{D R}-U_{G S(P)}}{R_{G}}=-C_{r s s} \frac{d u_{D S}}{d t} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{R V}=\frac{R_{G} \cdot C_{r s s}}{U_{G S(P)}-U_{D R}} \int_{0}^{U_{D C}} d u_{D S}=\frac{R_{G} \cdot C_{r s s} \cdot U_{D C}}{U_{G S(P)}-U_{D R}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation describing a current $i_{D}$ fall time may also be derived in an analogous way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{F I}=\int_{U_{G S(P)}}^{u_{G S(T H)}} \frac{R_{G} \cdot C_{i s s}}{U_{D R}-u_{G S}} d u_{G S}=R_{G} C_{i s s} \ln \frac{\left|U_{D R}-U_{G S(P)}\right|}{\left|U_{D R}-U_{G S(T H)}\right|} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, MOSFET turn-off power losses are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{OFF}(\mathrm{~T})}=\frac{1}{T_{S}} \int_{0}^{t_{R V}+t_{F I}}\left(u_{\mathrm{DS}}(t) \cdot i_{\mathrm{D}}(t)\right) d t=\frac{u_{\mathrm{DC}} \cdot I_{O}}{2 T_{S}}\left(t_{R V}+t_{F I}\right) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, from Figure 3, it can be distinguished that transistor pair $T_{1}$ and $T_{4}$ in bridge $H_{1}$ is switched on when diodes $D_{1}$ and $D_{4}$ conduct, which forms soft switching conditions. Similarly, the turn-on process of transistors $T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ also occurs under ZCS (zero current switching) conditions. Hence, it can be assumed that the turn-on power loss for these transistor pairs $P_{O N(T)}=0$. At moments $t_{4}$ and $t_{7}$, transistor pairs $T_{1}, T_{4}$ and $T_{2}, T_{3}$ are switched off. In this study, the least favorable operating conditions of semiconductors were assumed, hence, to calculate the power loss $P_{O F F(T)}$ from Equation (36), it was assumed that transistor pairs $T_{1}, T_{4}$ and $T_{2}, T_{3}$ were turned off under hard-switching conditions with a current $i_{T 1, T 4}\left(t_{4}\right)=i_{T 2, T 3}\left(t_{7}\right)=-I_{1}=I_{3}$ and supply voltage $U_{D C}=U_{D C 1}$. From Figure 3, it can also be distinguished, that if $I_{2}<0$, then transistors $T_{5}, T_{8}$ are switched on and diodes $D_{6}, D_{7}$ are turned off under hard-switching conditions. To calculate transistor $T_{5}$ turn-on power losses $P_{O N(T 5)}$ and diode $D_{6}$ turn-off power losses $P_{O F F(D 6)}$, Equations (31) and (32) should then be used for $U_{D C}=U_{D C 2}$ and $I_{O}=I_{2} / \mathrm{n}$. The turn-off process of transistors $T_{5}, T_{8}$ occurs under ZCS conditions, hence, the commutation loss $P_{\text {OFF(T5) }}$ equals zero. If $I_{2}>0$, the transistor $T_{5}, T_{8}$ turn-on process ensues when diodes $D_{1}, D_{4}$ conduct, which allows for the development of soft-switching conditions. Nevertheless, the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ bridge transistors' turn-off process occurs under hard switching conditions. To calculate the commutation power loss $P_{O F F(T 5)}$, Equation (36) may be applied for $U_{D C}=U_{D C 2}$ and $I_{O}=I_{2} / n$. Notably, that power loss may be calculated only for one transistor and diode for each of bridges $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. Hence, the power loss of transistor $T_{1}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{T 1}=P_{C(T 1)}+P_{O F F(T 1)}, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the transistor $T_{1}$ conduction power loss $P_{C(T 1)}$ may be calculated from (14):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{C(T 1)}=\frac{U_{D S(N)}}{I_{D(N)}} \cdot I_{T 1(r m s)}{ }^{2}, \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $I_{T 1(r m s)}{ }^{2}$ is obtained by:
If $I_{2}<0$ (from Figure 3a):

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T 1(r m s)}^{2}=\frac{1}{T_{S}} \int_{t_{3}}^{t_{4}} i_{L}^{2}(t) d t=\frac{1}{3 T_{S}}\left(\frac{T_{S}}{2}-t_{3}\right) I_{3}^{2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $I_{2}>0$ (from Figure 3b):

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T 1(r m s)}^{2}=\frac{1}{T_{S}} \int_{t_{2}}^{t_{4}} i_{L}^{2}(t) d t=\frac{1}{3 T_{S}}\left[\left(t_{3}-t_{2}\right) I_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{T_{S}}{2}-t_{3}\right) \frac{I_{3}^{3}-I_{2}^{3}}{I_{3}-I_{2}}\right] \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The commutation power loss $P_{O F F(T 1)}$ is described in Equation (36). Because diode $D_{1}$ is turned off under soft-switching conditions, only a conduction loss (see Equation (15)) may be taken into account:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D 1}=P_{C(D 1)}=U_{F O} \cdot I_{D 1(a v)}+r_{D} \cdot I_{D 1(r m s)}{ }^{2}, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{D 1(a v)}$ and $I_{D 1(r m s)}{ }^{2}$ are given as follows:
If $I_{2}<0$ (from Figure 3a):

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{D(a v)} & =\frac{1}{T_{S}} \int_{0}^{t_{3}}\left[-i_{L}(t)\right] d t=\frac{1}{2 T_{S}}\left[I_{3} t_{A}-I_{2}\left(t_{A}+t_{3}-t_{2}\right)\right],  \tag{42}\\
I_{D(r m s)}{ }^{2} & =\frac{1}{T_{S}} \int_{0}^{t_{3}}\left[-i_{L}(t)\right]^{2} d t=\frac{1}{3 T_{S}}\left[\frac{I_{1}^{3}-I_{2}^{3}}{I_{1}-I_{2}} t_{A}+I_{2}^{2}\left(t_{3}-t_{2}\right)\right] . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

and if $I_{2}>0$ (from Figure 3b):

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{D(a v)} & =\frac{1}{T_{S}} \int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left[-i_{L}(t)\right] d t=\frac{1}{2 T_{S}}\left[I_{3}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\right]  \tag{44}\\
I_{D(r m s)} & =\frac{1}{T_{S}} \int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left[-i_{L}(t)\right]^{2} d t=\frac{1}{3 T_{S}}\left[I_{3}^{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\right] . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

For the known values $I_{1}, I_{2}$, and $I_{3}$, the length of the time intervals $\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right),\left(t_{3}-t_{2}\right)$, and $\left(T_{S} / 2-t_{3}\right)$ for specific values of voltage $U_{D C 1}$ and $U_{D C 2} / n$ may be obtained from modified Equations (4)-(6).

The total power losses of the $H_{1}$ bridge transistors is equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{T H 1}=4 \cdot P_{T 1}, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the total power losses for the bridge $H_{1}$ diodes are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D H 1}=4 \cdot P_{D 1} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the total power losses of bridge $H_{1}$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{H 1}=P_{T H 1}+P_{D H 1} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total power losses of all transistors $\left(P_{T H 2}\right)$ and diodes $\left(P_{D H 2}\right)$ in a $H_{2}$ bridge may be obtained in an analogous way.

## 5. Transformer Losses

For the analytical evaluation, it was assumed that the transformer total power loss $P_{T R}$ is a sum of the power loss in the core $P_{F E}$, and the power losses generated in the primary and secondary windings are $P_{C U(\text { prim })}$ and $P_{C U(s e c)}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{T R}=P_{F E}+P_{C U(\text { prim })}+P_{C U(\text { sec })} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate the core power loss $P_{F E}$, a modified Steinmetz's formula may be used, which for rectangular voltages is given as follows [32,33]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{F E}=\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} k f^{\alpha} B_{M}^{\beta}\left(c_{0}-c_{1} T_{C}+c_{2} T_{C}^{2}\right) V_{C} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $\quad f$-inducplified waveforms of voltages;
- $\quad B_{M}$-induction peak value [T];
- $\quad T_{C}$-core temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$;
- $\quad V_{\mathrm{C}}$ core volume $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$.

The coefficients of Equation (50) may be directly obtained from datasheets developed by the core manufacturers.

Considering the scheme presented in Figure 2, an equivalent circuit of the transformer connected in series with an additional choke placed at the primary side is shown in Figure 10. Thus, a magnetizing voltage $u_{L m}$ affecting the magnetizing inductance $L_{m}$ is described by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{L m}=\frac{L_{\delta 2} \prime}{L_{d}+L_{\delta 1}+L_{\delta 2} \prime} u_{1}+\frac{L_{d}+L_{\delta 1}}{L_{d}+L_{\delta 1}+L_{\delta 2}} \frac{u_{2}}{n}, \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 10. An equivalent circuit of the transformer with an additional choke $L_{d}$ with values transferred to the $\mathrm{DAB} \mathrm{H}_{1}$ bridge side.

From Figure 2, neglecting a voltage drop on the diodes and transistors and assuming a rectangular shape of the voltage waveforms, the voltages $u_{1}$ and $u_{2} / n$ were equal to, respectively, $\pm U_{D C 1}$ and $\pm U_{D C 2} / n$. From (51), it may be distinguished that the worst working conditions of core occurred when $L_{d}=0$ and $L_{\delta 2}=L_{\delta 2}{ }^{\prime}$. Hence, the magnetizing voltage $u_{L m}$ is then given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{L m}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{1}+\frac{u_{2}}{n}\right), \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and induction $B_{M}$ reaches the maximum possible values. Based on waveforms presented in Figure 11, $B_{M}$ may be described in the following way:

- $\quad$ if $U_{D C 1}=U_{D C 2} / n$ (Figure 11a):

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{M}=\frac{\Delta B_{1}}{2}=\frac{U_{D C 1}}{2 N_{1} S_{c}} t_{B} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $\quad$ if $U_{D C 1}>U_{D C 2} / n$ (Figure 11b):

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{M}=\frac{\Delta B_{1}+\Delta B_{2}}{2}=\frac{1}{4 N_{1} S_{c}}\left[U_{D C 1} \frac{T_{S}}{2}+\frac{U_{D C 2}}{n} t_{B}\right], \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $\quad$ if $U_{D C 1}<U_{D C 2} / n$ (Figure 11c):

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{M}=\frac{\Delta B_{1}+\Delta B_{2}}{2}=\frac{1}{4 N_{1} S_{c}}\left[U_{D C 1} t_{B}+\frac{U_{D C 2}}{n} \frac{T_{S}}{2}\right] \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{C}$ is a cross-sectional area of the core.


Figure 11. Simplified waveforms of voltages $u_{1}, u_{2} / n$ and magnetic induction $B$ for (a) $U_{D C 1}=U_{D C 2} / n$; (b) $U_{D C 1}>U_{D C 2} / n$; (c) $U_{D C 1}<U_{D C 2} / n$.

To simplify the estimation of the transformer windings' power loss $P_{C U}$, calculations may be performed for the windings' resistance measured for the switching frequency $f_{S}=1 / T_{S}$ and the rms value of the windings' currents according to the formula [32]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{CU}(\text { prim })}+P_{\mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{sec})}=R_{\mathrm{CU}(\text { prim })} \cdot I_{1(\mathrm{rms})}^{2}+R_{\mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{sec})} \cdot I_{2(\mathrm{rms})}^{2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{C U(\text { prim) })}, R_{C U(\text { sec })}$ are the resistances of the transformer windings (respectively at the $H_{1}$ bridge side and $H_{2}$ bridge side) measured for the switching frequency $f_{S} ; I_{1(r m s)}$ is the rms value of the transformer current at the bridge $H_{1}$ side; $I_{2(r \mathrm{rs})}$ is the rms value of the transformer current at the bridge $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ side given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2(r m s)}=\frac{I_{1(r m s)}}{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3 T_{S}}\left[\frac{I_{3}^{3}+I_{2}^{3}}{I_{3}+I_{2}} t_{A}+\frac{I_{3}^{3}-I_{2}^{3}}{I_{3}-I_{2}} t_{B}\right]} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. Validation

The parameters that are required for DAB converter efficiency calculation using the proposed approach were collated and are explained in Table 1. The described approach was validated by a comparison of the estimated DAB efficiency characteristics with the experimental ones measured for the converter, whose parameters are shown in Table 2. To measure the input $P_{\text {IN }}$ and output $P_{\text {OUT }}$ power (Figure 12) of the tested DAB converter, a Yokogawa WT5000 precision power analyzer was used. Importantly, the experimental measurements were performed for the DAB converter in a basic configuration without any additional sub-circuits (e.g., start-up resistors were disconnected). Voltages $U_{D C 1}$ and $U_{D C 2}$ were kept at a constant level $U_{D C 1}=670 \mathrm{~V}$ and $U_{D C 2}=385 \mathrm{~V}$ and the output $P_{\text {OUT }}$ power was controlled by changes in the load resistance $R_{L}$. In the applied laboratory conditions, the maximum output power was limited to 5 kW due to the limitation of the measurement range of the used power analyzer. Waveforms of the current $i_{1}$ and voltage $u_{1}$ in the AC circuit were measured using a Tektronix DPO3034 oscilloscope equipped with the high-voltage differential probe P5210A and the current probe TCP404XL.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 12. Laboratory setup for the experimental tests: (a) Scheme; (b) tested DAB converter; (c) laboratory stand.

Table 1. The parameters required for the DAB efficiency estimation.

| Parameter | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: |
| $P_{\text {Out }}$ | Output power [W] |
| $U_{D C 1}$ | Input voltage [V] |
| $U_{D C 2}$ | Output voltage [V] |
| $n=N_{1} / N_{2}$ | Transformer turns ratio |
| $T_{S}$ | Switching period [s] |
| $L_{d}$ | Inductance of the additional inductor [-] |
| $L_{\delta 1}$ | Leakage inductance of transformer winding at the $H_{1}$ bridge side [-] |
| $L_{\delta 2}$ | Leakage inductance of transformer winding at the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ bridge side [-] |
| $U_{\text {DS }(\mathrm{N})}$ | Rated value of MOSFET drain-to-source voltage [V] |
| $I_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{N})$ | Rated value of MOSFET drain current [A] |
| $U_{F O}$ | Diode threshold voltage [V] |
| $r_{D}$ | Diode dynamic resistance [ $\Omega$ ] |
| $C_{\text {iss }}$ | MOSFET input capacitance [F] |
| $C_{\text {rss }}$ | MOSFET reverse transfer capacitance [F] |
| $R_{G}$ | MOSFET external gate resistance [ $\Omega$ ] |
| $U_{D R}$ | MOSFET gate driver voltage [V] |
| $U_{G S(T H)}$ | MOSFET gate threshold voltage [V] |
| $U_{G S(P)}$ | Minimal value of the MOSFET gate-to-source voltage enabling the conduction of load current $I_{O}[\mathrm{~V}]$ |
| $t_{R R(N)}$ | Nominal value of the diode $D_{Z}$ reverse recovery time measured for the nominal load current $I_{O(N)}[\mathrm{s}]$ |
| $A_{i \mathrm{DZ}(\mathrm{N})}$ | Nominal derivative with time of the diode current during reverse recovery current measurement [A/s] |
| $I_{R M(N)}$ | Diode nominal reverse current measured for $A_{i \mathrm{DZ}(\mathrm{N})}$ and $I_{O(N)}$ |
| $f$ | Induction frequency [ Hz$]$ |
| $B_{M}$ | Induction peak value [T] |
| $T_{\text {C }}$ | Transformer core temperature [ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ] |
| $V_{\text {C }}$ | $V_{C-c o r e ~ v o l u m e ~}\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right]$ |

Table 2. The DAB converter specifications.

| Parameter | Specification |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rated output power | 5 kW |
| $U_{D C 1}$ | 670 V |
| $U_{D C 2}$ | 385 V |
| $T_{1}-T_{8}, D_{1}-D_{8}$ | F4-23MR12W1M1_B11 (Infineon) |
| Switching frequency | 50 kHz |
| $N_{1} / N_{2}$ | $33 / 18$ |
| Transformer | 3C95 ferrite core (SMA Magnetics) |
| $L_{D}$ | $O_{D}=87 / I_{D}=56 / \mathrm{H}=50 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $L_{d}$ | $25 \mu \mathrm{H}$ |

At the first step, the accuracy of the input current average value estimation $I_{D C 1(a v)}$ was evaluated. For the known values of the output power $P_{O U T}$ and voltage $U_{D C 2}$, based on Equations (7)-(10), the average value of the input current was calculated and compared with the experimental results. The maximum noted difference between the estimated and measured values did not exceed $10 \%$ and the accuracy increased with the growth in the output power and input current value (Figure 13). Crucially, the proposed analytical approach was simplified, so the impact of some factors (e.g., time dead influence) was not factored in. As a result, the accuracy of the estimation at a lower level of load may be worse.


Figure 13. Difference between the measured and estimated average value of the input current $i_{D C 1}$.
A similar conclusion may be drawn for the comparison of the DAB estimated and measured energy efficiency characteristics (Figure 14). The estimated $\eta$ ( $P_{\text {OUT }}$ ) characteristic followed the shape of the measured one with the highest accuracy noted for the output power exceeding $50 \%$ of the maximum out-power. The maximum noted efficiency for the tested DAB converter in a specific range of output power up to 5 kW reached $98 \%$, which was confirmed by both the experimental and analytical results.


Figure 14. The estimated and measured energy efficiency characteristics of the tested DAB converter.
The application of the proposed analytical method enabled an estimation of the power loss distribution for the main converter components. Based on the estimated characteristics presented in Figure 15, it can be stated that the power losses were mainly generated in bridges $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. Notably, the considered DAB converter operated in conditions that correlated with the theoretical current and voltage waveforms presented in Figure 4a. As a result, transistors $T_{1}-T_{4}$ were turned on under hard-switching conditions with current $I_{1}>0$ and $I_{1}=-I_{3}$. Thus, the commutation power losses in bridge $H_{1}$ resulted from the transistor's turn-on process and the reverse recovery process of diodes $D_{1}-D_{4}$. Because value $I_{1}$ decreases with the growth of the DAB output power (Figure 16), the commutation losses in bridge $H_{1}$ also decrease, so, as a consequence, the bridge $H_{1}$ total power loss $P_{H 1}$ is reduced.


Figure 15. The estimated power loss distribution of the tested DAB converter.


Figure 16. Experimental waveforms of the current $\mathrm{i}_{1}$ and voltage $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ measured for: (a) $P_{\text {OUT }}=0.5 \mathrm{~kW}$; (b) $P_{\text {Out }}=2 \mathrm{~kW}$.

From Figure $4 \mathrm{a}, T_{5}-T_{8}$ were turned off under hard-switching conditions with the current determined by the value of $I_{2} / n=-I_{4} / n$. Similarly, since the $I_{2}$ value increased with the growth of the out-power $P_{\text {OUT }}$ (Figure 16), the transistor's commutation losses increased, so in bridge $H_{2}$, the total power loss $P_{H 2}$ grew. From Figure 15, the transformer loss $P_{T R}$ obtained using Equation (49) was significantly lower than the losses noted for bridges $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$.

Losses generated in each of the bridges $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ were mainly determined by switching losses, however, the share of conduction losses increased with the growth in the DAB converter output power, which resulted from Equations (14) and (15) (Figure 17).


Figure 17. Estimated power loss distribution in the considered DAB converter bridges: (a) bridge $H_{1}$; (b) bridge $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.

Based on the results of the analytical calculation, transformer losses $P_{T R}$ are determined by the loss in the core $P_{F E}$ (Figure 18). In the tested DAB converter, for the specified range of output power up to 5 kW , the value $t_{B}$ changed within a small range (coefficient $t_{B} /\left(0.5 T_{S}\right)$ does not fall below 0.95), hence, according to Equations (50)-(55), a slight reduction in the core loss $P_{F E}$ was observed. The windings' total power loss $P_{C U}$ depends on the rms value of the primary and secondary windings currents, so it should grow with the increase in the DAB converter output power, which was confirmed by the results of the analytical calculations.


Figure 18. The estimated transformer power loss distribution in the DAB converter.
The presented calculations were also performed for the case when energy was transmitted from bridge $H_{2}$ to $H_{1}$. In this case, the obtained analytical characteristic $\eta\left(P_{\text {OUT }}\right)$ was also confirmed by the results of the experimental measurements, which validated the adopted approach (Figure 19). Moreover, the results of a detailed analysis of power loss distribution were also convergent with the description above.


Figure 19. The estimated and measured energy efficiency characteristics of the tested DAB converter for the case when energy is transferred from bridge $H_{2}$ to $H_{1}$.

Obtained results were compared with ones calculated using the approach proposed in [19]. Adapting this solution is not time-consuming, and all of the required parameters may be obtained based on the manufacturer's datasheet. However, in [19], no methods of transformer loss estimation were proposed, so in both approaches, the same setup of equations based on Steinmetz's formula was used to evaluate the transformer losses. Using both of the compared methods, the obtained efficiency characteristics, calculated for the case when energy was transferred from bridge $H_{1}$ to $H_{2}$, are presented in Figure 20a. Higher accuracy of the proposed solution was noted, especially for the light load of the converter.

At higher loads, the predominance of the proposed method was also distinguishable. However, the difference between the measured and estimated results using the approach in [19] decreased with the growth in the output power P OUT. One of the main assumptions of the method in [19] is that all transistors are switched-on under soft conditions. It should be noted that considering the assumed direction of energy flow, the transistors in bridge $H_{1}$ are turned on under hard-switching conditions. As a result of the transistors' turn-on power losses omission, the total losses of bridge $H_{1}$ were underestimated, as presented in Figure 20b. Both compared methods enabled the estimation of the transistors' turn-off losses. However, to simplify the calculations, in the method [19], constant values of the current fall time were assumed. For the converter light load, the obtained power losses of bridge $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ were comparable. However, the difference between the calculated results slightly increased with the growth in the output power $P_{\text {OUT }}$.


Figure 20. Comparison of the results obtained using the proposed method and approach presented by Wang and Castellazzi in [19]: (a) estimated and measured energy efficiency characteristics of the tested DAB converter; (b) power loss characteristics of bridges $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$.

## 7. Conclusions

In this paper, an analytical method of power loss estimation in a single-phase DAB converter controlled with a SPS modulation scheme is presented. The obtained results of the calculations were confirmed by the results of the measurements, which proved the correctness of the adopted approach. The method of optimizing the efficiency of the DAB converter proposed and confirmed in the simulation tests can be used in the process of designing converters at the HW level. The proposed method will allow us to complete the calculation of the correct operating point of the system, which will reduce the risk of failure by reducing the operating temperature of the transistors and passive components. Future studies will be focused on the validation of the presented method in a three-phase DAB converter and for DAB converters driven with other switching schemes.
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