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#### Abstract

The $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction in the iron ore pelletizing process becomes an important environmental concern owing to its role in the formation of photochemical smog and acid rain. Thus, it is essential to develop new technologies for reducing $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ emissions in order to contribute to the cleaner production of pellets. In this paper, $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction by advanced reburning ingrate-rotary kiln for oxidized pellet production was performed on a laboratory-scale gas kiln. Temperature and $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{NO}_{x}$ molar ratio (NSR) were the key factors affecting the reduction of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$. A better denitrification effect can be obtained on flus gas with higher initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration, at temperature $=900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{NSR}=1.2$, and reaction time exceeds one second. $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate had reached $55-65 \%$ when the initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration was above 400 ppm , and exceeds $70 \%$ when the initial $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ concentration was around 680 ppm . Urea solution has the best denitrification effect compared with $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ solution. As for additives, the denitrification effect of the vanadium-titanium catalyst was better than that of ethanol and NaCl , while NaCl plays a promotive role at low NSR. Finally, a series of denitrification measures that include advanced reburning technology for achieving $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ ultra-low emission in the oxidation pellet production was proposed.
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## 1. Introduction

Iron ore pellets are a main iron-bearing burden for the blast furnace ironmaking process [1,2]. Because of its good metallurgical properties and low energy consumption in the production process, pellets can increase production, save coke, improve technical and economic indexes of ironmaking, reduce hot metal cost and improve economic benefits when applied to the blast furnace ironmaking process, as a consequence, it has been developed rapidly. The world's pellet production was close to 450 million tons in 2015, and China's annual pellet production peaked 200 million tons in 2011 [3-7]. However, with the increasingly strict environmental protection requirements, China has formulated a series of emission standards for iron and steel enterprises, forcing pelletizing plants to do corresponding work in environmental protection. Although dust removal and desulfurization can meet
the emission targets, $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ emission has become a restriction factor in the development and production of pelletizing plants because of its high removal cost and complex process [8-10]. Pellet production in China is mainly produced by a grate-rotary kiln process, and its output accounts for nearly $60 \%$ of the output of the total pellet [11,12]. Many studies have shown that $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ formation in rotary kilns occurs in the flame, due to either the high temperatures (thermal $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ ) or the oxidation of the fuel-bound nitrogen [13,14]. When coal is combusted in iron-ore rotary kilns, the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ formation is dominated by the conversion of char- N to $\mathrm{NO}[15,16]$. At present, $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ emission reduction is mainly accomplished through reducing the injection of coal gas or pulverized coal, reducing rotary kiln temperature, and using lower $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ raw materials and fuels in China's pelletizing plants. Although these measures can reduce the emission of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ to a certain extent, it will cause the decline of pellet output and quality, which goes against the normal production and development of pelletizing plants. Besides, the reason for the low efficiency of primary measures is that most focus on suppressing the formation of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ from the volatile nitrogen or the thermal NO mechanism. For the purpose of the desired reduction in $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ formation, a recent study by Edland, R. et al. suggested that more drastic measures must be implemented, such as switching fuel or designing the process so that the excess air can be reduced. Their simulations showed that replacing the reference coal with a biomass that contains $0.1 \%$ nitrogen can reduce $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ emissions by $90 \%$ [17]. Generally, the emission concentration of nitrogen oxides from pelletizing plants are generally between $150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, which causes great pollution to the environment and is far from meeting the requirements of today's environmental protection; hence, it is urgent for modern iron and steel enterprises to develop novel $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction approaches in the grate-rotary kiln process.

Nitrogen oxides ( $\mathrm{NO}_{x}, \mathrm{x}=1,2$ ), as major air pollutants, are resulting in a series of environmental issues, such as photochemical smog, acid rain, ozone depletion, and fine particle pollution. Many efforts have been made to reduce $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ emission by using advanced combustion technologies or by using post-combustion abatement technologies [18,19]. Among various kinds of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ removal technologies, a simple process for reducing $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ to nitrogen and water is an ideal way. Two major post-combustion $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ control techniques are selective catalytic reduction and selective non-catalytic reduction, which are widely used in large combustion units, such as various boilers, refineries, and waste incinerators [20-22]. There have been many investigations on the effect of parameters on the performance of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ catalytic reduction in the laboratory, but several investigations have been reported about $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction by advanced reburning, the effects of temperature, $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{NO}_{x}$ molar ratio (NSR), and other factors on $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction have been studied, under the optimized conditions, the efficiency $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction of advanced reburning could potentially increase to more than $85 \%$ [23-27]. However, these investigations are mainly focused on cement precalciner kilns and utility boilers; the application of advanced reburning in oxidized pellet production has not been reported yet. Besides, many experiments were performed in various laboratory-scale reactor, all of them which temperature was controlled by an electric heating furnace, designed to maintain the reaction temperature at the desired value, and the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction reaction process in a gas-fired environment does not simulate well. Thus, the advanced reburning of in grate-rotary kiln needs further study.

The objective of this present study was to investigate the influences of temperature, NSR, and other factors on $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction and ammonia slip, by advanced reburning; as well as to optimize the operating parameters of the grate-rotary kiln for the better development of pellet production, and then proposes the mechanism or the most rational operating technology that contribute to a further reduction in the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ content of exhaust gas in the iron ore pelletizing production.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The chemicals used in the laboratory-scale gas kiln test, including the ammonia liquor $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, ammonium bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right)$, urea $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, sodium chloride $(\mathrm{NaCl})$, ethanol absolute $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$, were analytically pure and used without further treatment. Vanadium-titanium catalyst, obtained from national engineering laboratory for multi-flue gas pollution control technology and
equipment of China, was milled to produce ultrafine powders. NO (purity $\geq 99.9 \%$ ) used in this work was purchased from Wuhan new radar special gas co., ltd. In the Hubei province of China.

In this paper, the advanced reburning in a grate-rotary kiln for $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction was performed on a laboratory-scale gas kiln. The experimental system schematic is shown in Figure 1a, and a photograph of the grate-rotary kiln in Figure 1b-d. The inner diameter of the gas kiln is 0.4 m , and its length is 5 m . A gas burner was equipped at the head of the kiln, and an auxiliary heating device was installed on the middle side. Gas and air were pumped into the burner for combustion, and the temperature was regulated by gas flowmeters. Four temperature measuring points were arranged in the kiln body by inserting thermocouples.


Figure 1. Schematic of the experimental system (a) and the laboratory-scale gas kiln (b-d).
NO was injected into the front side of the kiln, and the gas concentration was controlled by a gas flowmeter. $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ injection device was inserted from the tail of the kiln, and the insertion length can be adjusted, and the amount of $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ injection was regulated by a liquid flowmeter. In addition, flue gas analyzer and ammonia slip detection device were also inserted from the tail of kiln. The experimental conditions of each group lasted for more than 6 min . The data were recorded every 2 min , and the average value was taken as the experimental results. The composition of flue gas was measured by German MRU Nova plus multi-functional flue gas analyzer through the probe, mainly including the concentration of $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}$, and $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$. The concentration of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ were expressed as a percentage, and the concentration of $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}$, and $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ as a ppm . The monitoring point in the rotary kiln, as shown in Figure 1. The $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction efficiency was calculated by the following Formula (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{N O x}=\left(C_{N O x, \text { in }}-C_{N O x, \text { out }}\right) / C_{N O x, \text { in }} \times 100 \% \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{N O x}$ was the $N O_{x}$ reduction rate (\%), $C_{N O x, i n}(\mathrm{ppm})$ and $C_{N O x, o u t}(\mathrm{ppm})$ were the inlet and outlet concentrations of $N O_{x}$, respectively.

The determination of ammonia concentration in flue gas was referred to as the National Environmental Protection Standard of China "Determination of Ammonia in Ambient Air and Exhaust Gas by Nessler Reagent Spectrophotometer" (HJ 533-2009). The schematic diagram of the determination for ammonia slip, as shown in Figure 2. The principle as follows: The ammonia in flue gas was absorbed by dilute sulfuric acid firstly, and then the generated ammonium ion reacts with Nessler's reagent to a form yellow-brown complex. The absorbance of the sampling absorption solution was measured at a wavelength of 420 nm . Since the absorbance of the above complex was
proportional to the content of ammonia in solution, the ammonia content in the gas can be calculated based on the absorbance.


Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the determination for ammonia slip.
The ammonia concentration in flue gas ( $\rho_{\mathrm{NH} 3}$ ) was obtained using the following Formula (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{N H 3}=\left(A-A_{0}-\mathrm{a}\right) \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}} \times \mathrm{D} /\left(\mathrm{b} \times V_{n d} \times V_{0}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{N H 3}$ is the ammonia slip concentration $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right), A$ is the absorbance of sample absorption solution, $A_{0}$ is the absorbance of the blank absorption solution prepared in the same batch, a is the intercept of the calibration curve, b is the slope of the calibration curve, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the volume of sample absorption solution $(\mathrm{mL}), V_{0}$ is the volume of absorption liquid taken during analysis $(\mathrm{mL}), V_{n d}$ is the standard volume ( $101.325 \mathrm{kPa}, 273 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of the flue gas ( L ), and D is Dilution factor.

The standard volume of the flue gas $\left(V_{n d}\right)$ was calculated by the following Formula (3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n d}=V \times P \times 273 /[101.325 \times(273+t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is the sampling volume $(\mathrm{L}), P$ is the atmospheric pressure during sampling, and $t$ is the flue gas temperature during sampling.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Effect of Reaction Parameters on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ Reduction

Figure 3a showed the effects of temperature on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction and ammonia slip when the NSR was around 1.1, and the initial concentration of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ was about 390 ppm . It can be seen that excessive temperature was not conducive to reducing $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$, the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate slightly increased first and then decreased sharply with the rise of temperature, and the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate reached the highest of $71.3 \%$ at $890^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Temperature determines the reaction rate of the following two reactions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{OH} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

when the temperature is lower than the appropriate temperature for the abovee ractions, the generation of OH and O is limited, which makes it difficult for reactions (6) and (7) to proceed and cannot produce enough $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ with high selectivity for NO reduction.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{6}\\
\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{OH} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 3. Effects of reaction conditions on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction. (Reaction temperature (a); initial $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ concentration (b); the $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}\right]$ molar ratio with the initial $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ concentration was 390 ppm (c) and $680 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{d})$ ).

As the temperature rises to a suitable range, reactions (4) and (5) proceed rapidly, resulting in a large number of OH and O . With its increase, a large number of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is converted into $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, which triggers the whole chain reaction, and NO is rapidly reduced as reactions (8)-(10). However, when the temperature continues to rise, the OH produced by reactions (4) and (5) continues to accumulate, and excessive OH will continue to dehydrogenate $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ to form NH , as reaction (11) shows, which will be oxidized to NO by oxygen at high temperature through reactions (12)-(15), resulting in a decrease in the NO reduction rate $[28,29]$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{NO} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{8}\\
& \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{NO} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NNH}+\mathrm{OH}  \tag{9}\\
& \mathrm{NNH}+\mathrm{NO} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{HNO}  \tag{10}\\
& \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{11}\\
& \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{HNO}+\mathrm{O}  \tag{12}\\
& \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{HNO}+\mathrm{H}  \tag{13}\\
& \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{OH}  \tag{14}\\
& \mathrm{HNO}+\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{NO} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The same rule can be obtained by increasing the NSR, it was unfavorable to $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction when the temperature goes above $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate decreased from $66.3 \%$ to $48.0 \%$ when the temperature increased from $946^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $1010^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under the condition of NSR $=2.0$. Besides, excessive and low temperature will both cause increase of ammonia slip concentration, which also hit a minimum
of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at $890^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{NSR}=1.1$, but overall the higher NSR value results in more ammonia slip, as the ammonia slip concentration was greater than or equal to $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at NSR $=2.0$.

Figure $3 b$ showed the effects of initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction and ammonia slip when the NSR was around 1.1 and the temperature at about $920^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Obviously, $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate has a certain relationship with the initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration, it showed that with the increase of initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration, $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate increased gradually, while the ammonia slip did not change much. Under the suitable NSR, the reaction rate of reactions (8)-(10) increased when the initial concentration of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ increases, so $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate is also increased. But at this point, the initial concentration of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ is not the limiting factor of the whole denitrification reaction, so the increase of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate is not obvious. As shown in Figure 3b, it was more appropriate to complete denitrification in an atmosphere with a higher initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration, as a consequence, grate PH zone is a suitable place to install denitrification devices ingrate-rotary kiln process for its high $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration.

Figure 3 also compared the effects of NSR on the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction and ammonia slip at different initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentrations near $910^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As shown in Figure 3 c , when the initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration of flue gas was about 390 ppm , the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate increased with the increase of $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ consumption, and it reached $48.4 \%$ at $\mathrm{NSR}=1.0$; meanwhile, the ammonia slip concentration was $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Continuously increasing the $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ dosage, the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate does not change much, but the ammonia slip increases. When NSR increases, the content of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ will also increases, which promotes the reactions (6) and (7) and produces more $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$; thus, more NO will be reduced. When NSR is at a low level, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ becomes the limiting factor of denitrification reaction, therefore, increasing NSR at this time will accelerate reducing NO rapidly. Furthermore, when NSR reaches a certain level, OH will become the limiting link of denitrification reaction, a continuous increase of NSR will not have a significant impact on the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate.

It can be seen from the Figure 3d that NSR had similar rules on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate and ammonia slip when the initial concentration of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ rises to $680 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate firstly increased sharply as NSR increases, reaching $67.2 \%$ with an ammonia slip concentration of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at NSR $=1.0$, then it goes through a gentle rise and finally reached $83.3 \%$ with an ammonia slip concentration of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at $\mathrm{NSR}=1.7$. Under the situation of other conditions being equal, it shows better denitrification effect on flus gas with higher initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration, and NSR is one of the main factors affecting the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate.

### 3.2. Effects of Reductants on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ Reduction

Table 1 showed the effects of NSR on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate and ammonia slip by using $20 \mathrm{wt} \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ solution as $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reducing agent. The results showed that the $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ solution had little denitrification effect when it was used at low NSR, and $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration in flus gas even increased slightly at $\mathrm{NSR}=0.5$. A higher $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate can be obtained by increasing the NSR, and it reached $65.1 \%$ at $\mathrm{NSR}=1.5$, with an ammonia slip concentration of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. But overall, the denitrification effect of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ solution was not as good as $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Table 1. Effects of reductants on the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction with different $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ molar ratio.

| NSR | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Pre-Flue Gas/ppm |  | Post-Flue Gas/ppm |  | $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ Reduction Rate | Ammonia Slip Concentration/ $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | Reductants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 933 | 7.6 | 519 | 8.7 | 525 | - | <3.0 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3} \\ \text { solution } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.0 | 911 | 7.6 | 519 | 9.0 | 253 | 51.3\% | <3.0 |  |
| 1.5 | 960 | 7.6 | 519 | 8.8 | 181 | 65.1\% | <5.0 |  |
| 0.9 | 923 | 7.6 | 457 | 8.9 | 174 | 66.5\% | <3.0 | urea solution |
| 2.0 | 933 | 7.6 | 457 | 9.4 | 142 | 72.6\% | <6.0 |  |

Urea solution, nevertheless, has a better denitrification effect than $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. As shown in Table 1, $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate can reach $66.5 \%$ with an ammonia slip concentration of $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at NSR $=0.9$ when
$20 \mathrm{wt} \%$ urea solution was used as $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reducing agent, and when NSR increased to $2.0, \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate only rises to $72.6 \%$, while ammonia slip concentration reached $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

### 3.3. Effects of Additives on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ Reduction

Table 2 compared the effects of three different additives on $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction and ammonia slip, and the three additives were NaCl , ethanol, and vanadium-titanium catalyst, respectively. Table 2 showed the results of adding $0.1 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ to $13 \mathrm{vol} . \% \mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, it can be seen that the addition of NaCl had a certain effect at low NSR, $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate reached $58.9 \%$, and the ammonia slip concentration was merely $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at NSR $=1.0$. However, continuously increased in NSR does not cause significant changes in the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate, but leads to more ammonia slip.

Table 2. Effects of additives on the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction with different NSR.

| NSR | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Pre-Flue Gas/ppm |  | Post-Flue Gas/ppm |  | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction Rate | Ammonia Slip Concentration/ $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | Additives |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 913 | 7.8 | 421 | 8.3 | 173 | 58.9\% | $<2.0$ |  |
| 2.0 | 903 | 7.8 | 421 | 8.8 | 167 | 60.3\% | <5.0 | NaCl |
| 2.5 | 900 | 7.8 | 421 | 9.1 | 155 | 63.2\% | <7.0 |  |
| 0.9 | 927 | 7.8 | 421 | 8.6 | 300 | 28.7\% | <3.0 |  |
| 1.7 | 912 | 7.8 | 421 | 8.6 | 136 | 67.7\% | <4.0 | ethanol |
| 2.5 | 960 | 7.8 | 421 | 8.5 | 168 | 60.1\% | <5.0 |  |
| 1.0 | 930 | 8.7 | 544 | 9.5 | 228 | 58.1\% | <3.0 | vanadium- |
| 1.4 | 928 | 8.7 | 544 | 9.9 | 177 | 67.5\% | <4.0 | titanium |
| 2.5 | 922 | 8.7 | 544 | 9.7 | 144 | 73.5\% | <7.0 | catalyst |

Table 2 also showed the effects of NSR on $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction and ammonia slip when $0.1 \mathrm{vol} \%$ ethanol was added to $13 \mathrm{vol} . \% \mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Contrary to NaCl , ethanol turns out to be more effective in denitrification at higher NSR, and the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate reached $67.7 \%$ with an ammonia slip concentration of $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at $\mathrm{NSR}=1.7$. Compared with NaCl and ethanol, the vanadium-titanium catalyst obviously had a better denitrification effect of the overall level. $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate reached $67.5 \%$ and the ammonia slip concentration was $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at NSR $=1.4 \mathrm{after} 0.05 \mathrm{wt} \%$ vanadium-titanium catalyst was added to 13 vol. $\% \mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Although a better denitrification effect can be obtained with less dosage of vanadium-titanium catalyst, the cost of vanadium-titanium catalyst is higher than that of the other two additives. Therefore, suitable additives can be selected according to different needs.

### 3.4. Effects of Process Conditions on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ Reduction

Table 3 showed the effects of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration on $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction and ammonia slip as the NSR was around 1.1, the temperature was near $910^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the initial concentration of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ was about 420 ppm . The $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate and ammonia slip did not change much as the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration in flue gas varies from $3.8 \%$ to $11.2 \%$. $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate only got about $10 \%$ promotion, reaching $70.8 \%$, when $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration increased from $9.0 \%$ to $11.2 \%$, while it remains almost unchanged when $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration increased from $3.8 \%$ to $9.0 \%$. Therefore, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration had little effect on denitrification efficiency. However, reaction time has a notable influence on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate and ammonia slip, and it is detrimental to reducing $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ when reaction time cannot meet the requirement; at the same time, ammonia slip increases as well. Only when the reaction time is designed to exceed one second can reducing $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ be guaranteed enough time for its full reaction, achieving a good denitrification effect. Coincidentally, the length of the grate PH zone is usually greater than 10 m , providing sufficient reaction time for $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction.

Table 3. Effects of process conditions on the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction.

| NSR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Temperature } \\ & /^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Pre-Flue Gas/ppm |  | Post-Flue Gas/ppm |  | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ Reduction Rate | Ammonia Slip Concentration $/ \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | Process Conditions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 901 | 11.2 | 415 | 11.2 | 121 | 70.8\% | <2.0 | 11.2 |  |
| 1.1 | 918 | 9.0 | 424 | 9.3 | 174 | 59.0\% | <3.0 | 9.0 | $\mathrm{O}_{2} / \%$ |
| 1.1 | 900 | 3.8 | 416 | 4.0 | 167 | 60.0\% | <4.0 | 3.8 |  |
| 1.0 | 924 | 10.0 | 407 | 9.8 | 210 | 48.4\% | <2.0 | 1.0-1.3 | Reaction |
| 1.1 | 920 | 12.0 | 363 | 12.1 | 271 | 25.3\% | <6.0 | 0.4-0.6 | time/s |
| 1.3 | 918 | 8.0 | 424 | 9.3 | 174 | 59.0\% | <3.0 | 75 | Injection |
| 1.3 | 910 | 8.0 | 424 | 10.8 | 168 | 60.4\% | <3.0 | 45 | pressure/kPa |
| 0.6 | 914 | 9.0 | 531 | 9.3 | 445 | 16.2\% | <3.0 |  | $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 1.0 | 927 | 9.0 | 531 | 9.4 | 227 | 57.3\% | <3.0 | 7.0 | concentration |
| 1.6 | 924 | 9.0 | 531 | 8.7 | 178 | 66.5\% | <5.0 |  | /vol.\% |

Table 3 also showed the effects of NSR on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate and ammonia slip by using $6.5 \mathrm{vol} . \% \mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reducing agent. Combining with the previous analysis, it can be seen that $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate and ammonia slip had little change when the $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentration was $13 \mathrm{vol} . \%$ or $6.5 \mathrm{vol} . \%$ with the same other conditions, which indicated that the influence of $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentration on $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction was not the main factor. The pressure of $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ injection had little effect on the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction and ammonia slip either. No significant changes in the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate and ammonia slip have been found when the $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ injection pressure was 75 kPa or 45 kPa .

## 4. $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Emission Behavior and Prospects of Denitrification Technology

## 4.1. $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ Emission Behavior

The grate-rotary kiln process consists of three parts: The grate, the rotary kiln, and the cooler [30]. Green pellets are dried and preheated through the four zones of the grate (i.e., updraft drying zone, downdraft drying zone, tempered preheating zone, and preheating zone), preheated pellets are then fed into the rotary kiln for roasting at a high temperature to obtain better metallurgy performance, and in the rotary kiln, most often coke oven gas is used as fuel to meet the requirement of high temperatures. The flame temperature of the burner in the rotary kiln can even reach $1600-1850{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the combustion of gas, a large amount of thermal $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ generates for the temperature well above $1300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and it dominates $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ formation, accounting for more than $80 \%$. The generated $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is firstly circulated with the hot flue gas to the preheating zone, and then to the downdraft drying zone. The terminal $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ emission usually exceeds $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

Although most $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ generates in the rotary kiln, the temperature required by pellets roasting is too high for the effective application of low- $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ control technologies. Compared with rotary kiln, the preheating zone has a more appropriate temperature distribution ( $900-1050{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to implement low- $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ control technologies, such as selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR). Furthermore, all $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ generated in the rotary kiln enters into the preheating zone with the flue gas according to direction the of air flow in the grate-rotary kiln process, the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ content in the flue gas of preheating zone consequently reaches a relatively high level, exceeding $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Moreover, there will be sufficient time for denitrification reaction in the preheating zone, since the length of it usually exceeds 10 m .

The application of advanced reburning in the preheating zone is considered one of the most direct and effective ways to reduce $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ emission in grate-rotary kiln. An additional burner can be added to preheating zone, coal gas can be used as a reburning fuel when injected into the high $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ flue gas, and this creates a reducing environment to effectively promote $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction and provide the temperature required for pellets preheating and advanced reburning as well. Ammonia is sprayed into the preheating zone, $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is reduced in the following four reactions [31,32]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
8 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+6 \mathrm{NO}_{2} \rightarrow 7 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{16}\\
4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+6 \mathrm{NO} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+4 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{18}\\
4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

At the appropriate temperature (about $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and in the temperature window range of $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a certain number of OH generates, promoting the transformation of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ into $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ in large quantities, and the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ is highly selective for $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction, which triggers the chain reaction. An advanced reburning denitrification device was installed to the grate-rotary kiln production line of an annual output of 1.2 million tons of pellets. The process flow was shown in Figure 4. It can be seen that the whole device was composed of ammonia storage and transportation system, ammonia water mixing system, ammonia water metering system, ammonia water spraying system, and wastewater discharge system.


Figure 4. Process flow of advanced reburning denitrification device and its application.
Given the high temperature $\left(850 \sim 1100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, high-concentrated $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(300 \sim 1000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$, wide reaction areas (the reaction time in the hood will more than 0.5 s ) of the PH and transition section of the chain grate, An advanced reburning denitrification device can be added to the appropriate position of the chain grate PH section (In the blue box, as shown in Figure 4), which will be beneficial to lighten the burden of the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ terminal treatment and achieve ultra-low nitrogen oxide emissions. The denitration effects before and after applying advanced reburning were shown in Table 4. As shown
in Table 4, the emission concentration of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ was $275 \sim 296 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Nm}^{3}$ before applying advanced reburning in the pellet production process, and it reduced to $163 \sim 182 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Nm}^{3}$ after the adoption of this technology. The amount of flue gas was $396,000 \sim 410,000 \mathrm{Nm}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$. The denitrification efficiency reached nearly $40 \%$, and the escape concentration of ammonia was less than 10 ppm at the same time, which showed a remarkable denitrification effect.

Table 4. Denitration effect of flue gas before and after advanced reburning.

| Components | Kiln Tail Gas |  |  |  |  |  |  | System Exhaust Gas |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{x}}$ <br> $/ \mathbf{m g ~ N m}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} / \%$ | $\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{x}}$ Annual <br> Emissions/t a | $\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{x}}$ <br> $/ \mathbf{m g ~ N m}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} / \%$ | $\mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}$ <br> $/ \mathbf{m g ~ N m}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $680 \sim 810$ | $15.4 \sim 17.2$ | $\approx 939$ | $275 \sim 296$ | $17.3 \sim 18.1$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| After process | - | - | $\approx 582$ | $163 \sim 188$ | $17.2 \sim 17.9$ | $7.1 \sim 9.8$ |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2. Prospects of Denitrification Technology

A series of denitrification measures, such as SCR and flue gas circulation, can be combined with advanced reburning technology in order to achieve ultra-low emission standard of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ in the oxidation pellet production of grate-rotary kiln process, as shown in Figure 5.


Figure 5. Denitrification mechanism of advanced reburning technology.
In detail, the flue gas discharged from the PH section can be denitrified by SCR after dust removal, SCR can also be applied to the end treatment of flue gas in the DDD section at the same time. In addition, the flue gas discharged from the DDD and TPH sections can be circulated to each section of the cooler as required. It avoids the problems of low denitrification efficiency and excess ammonia slip caused by the high concentration of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ in flue gas when SCR is used directly to denitrify flue gas, thus realizing the purpose of ultra-low $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ emission in pellet production.

## 5. Conclusions

The influences of temperature, NSR, and other factors on $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction, as well as ammonia slip, was investigated; and optimum operating parameters of denitrification in the grate-rotary kiln process for pellet production was obtained. Temperature and NSR are the key factors affecting the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ reduction rate in advanced reburning. It is conducive to reducing $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ when the temperature is $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{NSR}$ is 1.2 , and reaction time is more than one second. Better denitrification effect can be obtained on flus gas with higher initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration under the preceding conditions, for flue gas with initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration of about $400 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate can reach 55-65\% with an ammonia slip concentration of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, and $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction rate can be higher than $70 \%$ with an ammonia slip concentration of $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ when initial $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ concentration is around

680 ppm . Additionally, urea solution has the best denitrification effect, then $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and then $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ solution. Moreover, regarding additives, the denitrification effect of the vanadium-titanium catalyst is better than that of ethanol and NaCl , while NaCl plays a promotive role at low NSR (1.0, for example). However, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentration, and injection pressure have little effect on reducing $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$. A series of denitrification measures that include advanced reburning technology for achieving $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ ultra-low emission in the oxidation pellet production can be implemented.
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