# **Optimization of Polymer Extrusion Die Based on Response Surface Method**

## Authors:

Amin Razeghiyadaki, Dichuan Zhang, Dongming Wei, Asma Perveen

Date Submitted: 2021-02-22

Keywords: manufacturing process design, design optimization, response surface method, polymer processing, sheet die design

Abstract:

A coupled surface response optimization method with a three-dimensional finite volume method is adopted in this study to identify five independent geometric variables of the die interior that provides a design with the lowest velocity variance at the exit of the coat-hanger extrusion die. Two of these five geometric variables represent the manifold dimension while the other three variables represent the die profile. In this method, B-spline fitting with four points was used to represent the die profile. A comparison of the optimized die obtained in our study and the die with a geometry derived by a previous theoretical work shows a 20.07% improvement in the velocity distribution at the exit of the die.

Record Type: Published Article

Submitted To: LAPSE (Living Archive for Process Systems Engineering)

Citation (overall record, always the latest version):	LAPSE:2021.0034
Citation (this specific file, latest version):	LAPSE:2021.0034-1
Citation (this specific file, this version):	LAPSE:2021.0034-1v1

DOI of Published Version: https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8091043

License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)





# Article Optimization of Polymer Extrusion Die Based on Response Surface Method

# Amin Razeghiyadaki<sup>1</sup>, Dichuan Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Dongming Wei<sup>1,\*</sup> and Asma Perveen<sup>3,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Mathematics Department, School of Sciences and Humanities, Nazarbayev University, Nur-Sultan 010000, Kazakhstan; amin.razeghiyadaki@nu.edu.kz
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, School of Engineering and Digital Sciences, Nazarbayev University, Nur-Sultan 010000, Kazakhstan; dichuan.zhang@nu.edu.kz
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering, School of Engineering and Digital Sciences, Nazarbayev University, Nur-Sultan 010000, Kazakhstan
- \* Correspondence: dongming.wei@nu.edu.kz (D.W.); asma.perveen@nu.edu.kz (A.P.); Tel.: +7-7172-709-388 (D.W.); Tel.: +7-7172-709-195 (A.P.)

Received: 21 June 2020; Accepted: 13 August 2020; Published: 26 August 2020



**Abstract:** A coupled surface response optimization method with a three-dimensional finite volume method is adopted in this study to identify five independent geometric variables of the die interior that provides a design with the lowest velocity variance at the exit of the coat-hanger extrusion die. Two of these five geometric variables represent the manifold dimension while the other three variables represent the die profile. In this method, B-spline fitting with four points was used to represent the die profile. A comparison of the optimized die obtained in our study and the die with a geometry derived by a previous theoretical work shows a 20.07% improvement in the velocity distribution at the exit of the die.

**Keywords:** polymer processing; sheet die design; response surface method; design optimization; manufacturing process design

## 1. Introduction

Extrusion process is one of the important processes deployed for polymer processing. One of the associated applications of polymer extrusion is extruding a polymer flat sheet. The coat-hanger die is designed to distribute the polymer melt uniformly through the die lip. Non-uniform thickness across the width of the extrudate results in low quality yield. To prevent this adverse effect, non-uniform velocity at the exit and deflection across the lips of the die should be avoided. Coat-hanger die is a commonly used die in the extrusion industry [1–3]. A complex relationship between the rheological behavior, flow distribution, and temperature field makes it extremely difficult to develop a universal die model that can be used easily for every fluid property and process condition. Therefore, industries are heavily dependent on a trial-and-error approach for designing an effective die. A more prudent approach is to incorporate an optimization method along with a model that defines and regulates flow as well as heat transfer in the die flow manifold and in the slit.

Several different manifolds for sheeting die have been developed over time. The most common ones are the T-manifold [4], the fishtail [5], and the coat-hanger manifold, with the latter being extensively used in industry. Two major methods are used for dies analysis: analytical and numerical. Due to their oversimplifications, analytical methods are used for determining the overall geometry of the die. With the advancement in computational power, numerical methods have become a more common method for the analysis of dies. Michaeli et al. [5] reviewed most of the analytical methods developed during the last decades. Most of these methods are developed based on the assumption of a power-law model for describing the rheological behavior of the polymer flowing inside the cavity of the die.

Winter and Fritz [6], based on the pressure gradient at the intersection of the manifold and the slit, have modelled a coat-hanger die that results in a constant shear rate on the die interior walls and a uniform velocity at die exit. Although their results have been experimentally tested, these are restricted to power-law fluids and have not taken into account the effect of temperature and die lip deflections.

Based on the finite element method, Wang et al. [7] studied the flow distribution of power-law fluid in coat-hanger die. Their study examined different geometries and concluded that dog bone geometry gives a relatively flatter exit velocity distribution. Huang et al. [8] analyzed flow distribution of polymer melt in the coat-hanger extrusion die for the purpose of understanding flow behavior, such as dead spots in the die cavity. Wu et al. [9] also studied the flow and temperature fields of the coat-hanger die. They concluded that the highest temperature, which is important for temperature-sensitive polymers, occurs at the center of the manifold. Na and Kim [10] studied the effect of the manifold angle on the flow rate distribution. They also reported that the power-law index significantly affects the flow distribution. Catherine [11] studied the flow and temperature fields of polymer melt in the extrusion die cavity and analyzed rheology, temperature dependency, and residence time distribution.

In all previous studies, the die profile is derived either based on the models with many simplified assumptions, such as the constant shear rate in the manifold and slit, or based on the simplest rheological models such as the power-law model. In this paper, the die profile is approximated with a spline curve. In addition, a more elaborate rheological model with temperature dependency is used. An optimization algorithm based on the response surface method is utilized for optimizing five distinct independent variables representing the manifold and the die profile for uniform velocity output along the die lip. In this way, applicability of die curve relation can be tested.

#### 2. Modelling and Simulation

To optimize the die cavity geometry, the flow field needs to be simulated. For this purpose, the generalized Newtonian incompressible viscous model is solved by the finite volume method. Here, the steady-state continuity equation, Navier–Stokes equations, and energy equations are solved. Simulations are performed on the commercially available software package, Ansys Fluent 19.1. Fluent is a computational fluid dynamics (CFD) package that numerically solves flow equations via the finite volume method.

#### 2.1. Governing Equations

In the model presented in this study, polymer melt flow is considered as steady state. Conversion of mass and momentum equations for a fluid flow of density  $\rho$  with no external body force is given as follows:

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v} \vec{v}) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \vec{\tau} \tag{2}$$

where  $\overline{\tau}$  is the stress tensor and is defined as

$$\overline{\tau} = \eta(\dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma} \tag{3}$$

where  $\eta(\dot{\gamma})$  is the apparent viscosity and  $\dot{\gamma}$  is the shear rate, while  $\gamma$  is the strain tensor satisfying

$$\dot{\gamma} = (\nabla \vec{v} + \nabla \vec{v}^T) - \frac{2}{3} \nabla \cdot \vec{v} I \tag{4}$$

Since rheological properties of the fluid is temperature dependent, a conversion of energy is required to solve for the temperature field:

$$\rho c_p \left[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) T \right] = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) + \Phi$$
(5)

where *k* is the thermal conductivity and  $c_p$  is the specific heat. Due to temperature rise as a result of viscous dissipation [12],  $\Phi$  is added as a source term in the energy equation and is given by:

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \nabla \cdot \left[ \eta (\nabla \vec{v} + \nabla \vec{v}^T) \right] - \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{2}{3} \eta (\nabla \cdot \vec{v}) \vec{v} \right]$$
(6)

In 3D Cartesian coordinates, it becomes the following [13]:

$$\Phi = \eta \left\{ 2 \left[ \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 - \frac{2}{3} \left( \nabla \cdot \vec{v} \right)^2 \right\}$$
(7)

From the continuity equation, the last term in the equation can be set to zero. The generalized Newtonian models are widely used in industry and academia for modelling the rheological behavior of polymers. While in a Newtonian model the shear stress is directly proportional to the strain rate, generalized Newtonian models give more flexibility. In this paper, a temperature-dependent Carreau–Yasuda viscosity model was selected:

$$\eta = \eta_0 \alpha_T (1 + \lambda^a \dot{\gamma}^a)^{(n-1)/a} \tag{8}$$

in which  $\alpha_T = e^{E_0(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0})}$ ,  $\eta_0$  is a near-zero shear rate viscosity,  $\lambda$  is the time constant, n is the power-law index, a is the Carreau–Yasuda constant,  $\alpha_T$  is the Arrhenius expression,  $E_0$  is activation energy, T is temperature, and  $T_0$  is absolute reference temperature. The values of these parameters for polypropylene are shown in Table 1. Table 2 shows the other thermo-physical properties of polypropylene. A user-defined function in C code was written for adapting the Carreau–Yasuda equation into the simulation.

		<b>**</b> •.			
Table Cont.	Value	Unit			
$\eta_0$	22.200	Pa·s			
Λ	1.7	s			
N	0.35	dimensionless			
Α	0.73	dimensionless			
$E_0$	5546	$K^{-1}$			
$T_0$	473	Κ			

Table 1. Carreau–Yasuda parameters for polypropylene [14].

Table 2. Thermo-physical of polypropylene [15,16].

Parameter	Value	Unit
Density	910	kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Thermal conductivity	0.12	W/m·K
Heat capacity	1925	J/kg·K

#### 2.2. Boundary Conditions

As mentioned above, due to symmetry, only one quarter of the internal cavity of the extrusion die was considered for the simulation. The computational domain is shown in Figure 1. Boundary conditions are as follows.



Figure 1. Schematic of the extrusion die in this analysis.

**Inflow boundary condition**: The inlet is a fully developed profile with a volumetric flow rate of  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  m<sup>3</sup>/s. Velocity is calculated based on the method given by Kim [17].Velocity and temperature profiles are adapted from Wei and Michaeli [5,18,19]. In this method, velocity is given as follows:

$$u(r) = \left[\frac{I_2(R)}{\pi} - \frac{I_2(r)}{\pi}\right] + \left[\frac{I(R)}{\pi R^2} - \frac{I(r)}{\pi r^2}\right]$$
(9)

where:

$$I(r) = \frac{\pi R^3}{\tau_w^3} \int_0^{\dot{\gamma}(r)} \dot{\gamma} \tau^2 \frac{d\tau}{d\dot{\gamma}} d\dot{\gamma}$$
(10)

$$I_{2}(r) = \frac{2\tau_{w}^{2}}{R^{2}} \int_{0}^{\dot{\gamma}(r)} \frac{I}{\tau^{3}} \frac{d\tau}{d\dot{\gamma}} d\dot{\gamma}$$
(11)

In these equations, shear rate and shear stress are substituted by the Carreau–Yasuda viscosity in Equation (8) as mentioned in the study by Kim [17]. Integrations of Equations (10) and (11) are solved numerically by the trapezoidal method. Temperature profile is calculated by solving the following energy equation:

$$k\frac{d^2T}{dr^2} + \frac{k}{r}\frac{dT}{dr} + \eta\left(\frac{du}{dr}\right)^2 = 0$$
(12)

$$T = T_w @ r = r_0 \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{dT}{dr} = 0 \ @ r = 0 \tag{14}$$

where  $r_0$  is the entrance radius,  $T_w$  is the wall temperature, and k is the thermal conductivity of the medium. Wall temperature  $T_w$  is considered to be 220 °C. A user-defined function (UDF) program in C was written for the velocity and temperature profiles at the inlet of the die.

A numerical method of the Runge–Kutta 4th order is used to solve this equation. Due to the dependency of velocity on temperature in Equation (8), velocity and temperature are calculated iteratively until convergence is achieved.

Pressure outflow boundary condition: Gauge pressure at the exit of the die equals to zero.

**Non-slip boundary condition:** At the internal boundaries of die where the fluid meets the solid, a no-slip boundary condition is assumed. In addition, the temperature at the internal surface of the die is 220 °C.

**Symmetry boundary condition**: Since the geometry of a die is symmetric in two directions, symmetry boundary conditions are considered for the boundaries  $\Gamma$ 4 and  $\Gamma$ 5.

The velocity and temperature profiles based on the temperature-dependent Carreau–Yasuda equation at the entrance of the die are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Velocity and temperature profiles at the entry of the die.

#### 3. Design Variables and Objective Function of Optimization

In this paper, the surface response method is utilized for optimization purposes. The goal of the optimization process is to minimize velocity distribution at the exit of the die. As a result, the following objective function is defined to represent the non-uniformity of velocity at the die exit:

$$velociy \, diparity = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|v_i - \overline{v}|}{\overline{v}}}{N} \tag{15}$$

where  $v_i$  is the velocity at node I,  $\overline{v}$  is the average velocity, and N is number of nodes at exit. Five different independent variables were selected to represent the geometry of the die. These five variables are shown in Figure 1. *H* and *W* are the depth and the width of the die, respectively, while  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $H_3$  are the nodes the spline curve is based on. Under the assumptions given in a study by Winter [6], the change of y with x is given by the following equation:

$$y = 2W\sqrt{(b-x)/W - 1} \tag{16}$$

In this paper, three more variables are assigned to represent this curvature, namely  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $H_3$ . After a preliminary evaluation, it was found that three nodes are sufficient for accurately representing the y-x curve.

#### 3.1. Mesh Independency

Due to symmetry, only one quarter of the die cavity geometry was selected. Different meshes with different numbers of elements were constructed. For every mesh, simulation was performed and compared. As shown in Figure 3, meshes with 500,000 elements and higher show negligible change in both velocity disparity and pressure drop. As a result, these mesh elements are selected for the next

simulations. Figure 4 shows the mesh grid for the independent variables of H = 5 mm, W = 36 mm,  $H_1 = 216$  mm,  $H_2 = 124.6$  mm, and  $H_3 = 48.3$  mm. The radius of the pipe that connects from the extruder to the die is 10 mm.



Figure 3. Mesh independency.



Figure 4. Sample grid used for the simulation.

## 3.2. Validation

To the knowledge of the authors, there are no experimental data of flat sheeting extrusion die for validation. Therefore, the data provided by Wei and Leo [18] for the non-isothermal flow of polymer melt in a pipe were considered for validation. Figure 5 shows the comparison of temperature profiles for both the simulation and Wei and Leo's analytical equation. An average error of 1.54 °C suggests the validity of the computational fluid dynamics model that is used for next sections.



Figure 5. Temperature profile comparison of simulation and analytical results.

### 4. Results and Discussion

### Fluid Low and Heat Transfer Analysis

The main objective of the optimization process is to reach a global minimum for objective variables, i.e., velocity variance at the exit of the die. For this purpose, the surface response method with a central composite design of experiment was adapted. For five independent variables, the central composite design (CCD) gives 52 experiments or simulations [20]. The default values of these parameters were based on the theoretical analysis of Winter [6]. A range of 10% was assumed for the variation of these values. Values of independent variables and their variation around central node in CCD are shown in Table 3. It is worth noting that the central values were adapted from an equation given by Winter. Lower and upper values are 10% higher and lower than the central values.

Variable	Lower (mm)	Central (mm)	Upper (mm)
Н	4.5	5	5.4
W	32.4	36	39.6
$H_1$	194.4	216	237.6
H <sub>2</sub>	112.5	125	137.5
H <sub>3</sub>	43.47	48.3	53.13

Table 3. Central composite design for five geometrical variables.

In the surface response method, the dependency of the independent variable variance is usually approximated by a quadratic function:

$$S = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_j x_j + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{jj} x_j^2 + \sum_{i< j=2}^{k} \beta_{ij} x_i x_j$$
(17)

When a good fit between the data and the quadratic function is obtained, by minimizing the quadratic function and the corresponding independent variable, the optimal point where there is least velocity disparity or in other words maximum uniformity of velocity at the exit of die can be attained.

As mentioned above, the data of 52 simulations, which are needed for the fitting of the quadratic function, are shown in Table 4. Figure 6 shows the main effects plot for velocity disparity. From these plots, it is clear that the manifold dimensions (i.e., H and W) have the highest impacts on reduction in velocity disparity, while  $H_1$ , which represents the first point in the spline of the die curve, has the

lowest effect. A Python program was developed to generate the geometry for each case. The polymer melt in this study is polypropylene with a flow rate of  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  m<sup>3</sup>/s.

A	В	С	D	Ε	W	н	$H_1$	H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>3</sub>	Velocity Disparity
1	1	1	1	1	39.6	5.5	237.6	137.5	53.13	0.686256
-1	1	-1	-1	1	32.4	5.5	194.4	112.5	58.13	0.699836
-1	-1	1	1	1	32.4	4.5	237.6	137.5	53.13	0.684043
-1	1	1	1	1	32.4	5.5	237.6	137.5	53.13	0.68008
0	0	0	0	2.378414	36	5	216	125	59.78774	0.694715
1	-1	1	1	-1	39.6	4.5	237.6	137.5	43.47	0.683648
-1	-1	1	-1	1	32.4	4.5	237.6	112.5	53.13	0.707545
0	0	0	0	0	36	5	216	125	48.3	0.68861
0	0	0	2.378414	0	36	5	216	154.7302	48.3	0.684677
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	32.4	4.5	194.4	112.5	53.13	0.715735
-1	-1	-1	1	1	32.4	4.5	194.4	137.5	53.13	0.695523
-1	1	-1	1	-1	32.4	5.5	194.4	137.5	43.47	0.685646
1	-1	1	1	1	39.6	4.5	237.6	137.5	53.13	0.68365
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	32.4	5.5	194.4	112.5	43.47	0.697789
1	-1	-1	1	-1	39.6	4.5	194.4	137.5	43.47	0.692615
1	-1	-1	1	1	39.6	4.5	194.4	137.5	53.13	0.690297
1	1	1	1	-1	39.6	5.5	237.6	137.5	43.47	0.646787
-1	1	1	-1	1	32.4	5.5	237.6	112.5	53.13	0.652742
1	1	-1	-1	1	39.6	5.5	194.4	112.5	53.13	0.650722
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	32.4	4.5	237.6	112.5	43.47	0.708603
0	0	2.378414	0	0	36	5	240,6364	125	48.3	0.694632
1	-1	-1	1	-1	39.6	45	194.4	112.5	43.47	0.706601
-23 7841	0	0	0	0	27 43772	5	216	125	48.3	0.697677
0	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	36	5	216	125	48.3	0.68861
1	1	1	-1	-1	39.6	55	237.6	112.5	43.47	0.655174
0	0	0	0	0	36	5	216	125	48.3	0.68861
0	0	0	0	0	36	5	216	125	48.3	0.68861
0	-2 37841	0	0	0	36	3 810795	216	125	48.3	0.708727
1	-2.57641	1	-1	1	39.6	5.5	237.6	112.5	53.13	0.664941
1	1	_1	_1	_1	39.6	5.5	194.4	112.5	13.17	0.659444
_1	_1	-1	1	_1	32.4	4.5	194.4	137.5	43.47	0.698498
-1	-1	-1	0	-1	36	5	216	125	48.3	0.68861
0	0	0	-23 78/1	0	36	5	210	95 26988	48.3	0.724075
0	0	0	-25.7641	0	36	5	210	125	48.3	0.68861
0	0	0	0	22 7841	36	5	210	125	26 81228	0.607502
2 278414	0	0	0	-23.7641	44 56229	5	210	125	18.2	0.697392
2.378414	_1	1	_1	_1	39.6	45	210	112.5	40.5	0.003900
1	-1	1	-1	-1	32.4	<del>1</del> .5	237.6	112.5	43.47	0.687600
-1	1	1	1	-1	32.4	5.5 4.5	104.4	112.5	43.47	0.007009
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	24	4.5	016	112.5	40.47	0.714097
1	1	1	1	1	20.6	4 5	210	1125	40.5 E2 12	0.00001
1	-1	1	-1	1	39.0	4.3 F	237.0	112.5	49.2	0.090000
0	0	1	0	1	30 20.6	5	104.4	125	40.5	0.651271
1	1	-1	1	-1	39.0	3.3 4 E	194.4	137.5	43.47	0.031371
-1	-1	1	1	-1	32.4	4.5 E	237.0	137.5	43.47	0.009303
1	1	1	1	1	30 22.4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	210	123 112 F	40.3	0.00001
-1	1	1	-1	-1	32.4 20.6	5.5 4 F	237.0 104.4	112.5	43.47	0.700483
1	-1	-1	-1	1	39.0 22.4	4.3 E E	194.4	112.3 127 E	55.15	0.072097
-1	1	-1	1	1	32.4	5.5 F	194.4	137.3	55.15 48 2	0.682646
U	U	0	U	U	36	5	216	125	48.3	0.55861
0	0	-23.7841	0	0	36	5	164.6263	125	48.3	0.705341
1	1	-1	1	1	39.6	5.5	194.4	137.5	53.13	0.683811
0	2.378414	0	0	0	36	6.189207	216	125	48.3	0.688616

 Table 4. Design of experiment and simulation results.



Figure 6. Main effects plots for velocity disparity.

After a quadratic regression of the data, an equation that shows the relation between the dependent variable and independent variables is derived as follows:

```
\begin{aligned} \textit{velocity disparity} &= 1.697 - 0.0219 \text{ W} - 0.1149 \text{ H} + 0.00268 \text{ H1} - 0.00971 \\ \text{H2} + 0.00089 \text{ H3} + 0.000055 \text{ W}*\text{W} + 0.00839 \text{ H}*\text{H} - 0.000003 \text{ H1}*\text{H1} + \\ 0.000003 \text{ H2}*\text{H2} + 0.000038 \text{ H3}*\text{H3} - 0.001338 \text{ W}*\text{H} + 0.000015 \text{ W}*\text{H1} + \\ 0.000111 \text{ W}*\text{H2} + 0.000111 \text{ W}*\text{H3} - 0.000132 \text{ H}*\text{H1} + 0.000979 \text{ H}*\text{H2} - \\ 0.000822 \text{ H}*\text{H3} - 0.000002 \text{ H1}*\text{H2} - 0.000024 \text{ H1}*\text{H3} + 0.00008 \text{ H2}*\text{H3} \end{aligned}
```

Based on this equation, W has the highest effect on velocity disparity. Basically, an extrusion die is a fluid distribution system, and the most important factor in a distribution system is the manifold. Therefore, clearly the manifold dimensions (i.e., H and W) show the most effect on the velocity distribution. Among the variables  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $H_3$ , which represent the die profiles, the velocity disparity depends mostly on  $H_3$  and with slightly lower dependence on  $H_2$ . This can be explained by considering that  $H_3$  and to some extent  $H_2$  determine the angle that the manifold reaches at the end of the die. A higher  $H_3$  gives a wider angle, while a greater  $H_2$  leads to a wider angle.

By minimizing this equation, an optimal point where velocity disparity is minimum is obtained. At the optimal point, these parameters (H, W,  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $H_3$ ) are found to be 6.19, 44.56, 204.63, 95.27, and 59.7, respectively.

A simulation with the obtained values for the independent variables was performed.

Figures 7 and 8 show the velocity distribution in the cavity and across the die exit, respectively. The velocity disparity values for the default design (Winter type) and the optimal design are found to be 0.68861 and 0.55035, respectively. This shows a 20.07% improvement in the velocity distribution at the exit of the extrusion die compared to the default die. Near the edge of the die, where the manifold reaches the slit, there is a spike in the velocity before it falls to zero at the wall of the die. As it can be seen, maximum velocity occurs at a little bit further distance from the entry of die. This demonstrates the insufficiency of the power law.





Figure 7. Velocity distribution in (a) the initial die and (b) optimized die.



Figure 8 shows the velocity distribution at the exit of the die for both the initial and optimized dies.

Figure 8. Comparison of velocity distribution in the initial and optimized dies.

Figure 9 shows the pressure distribution comparison for the initial and optimized dies. Pressure drops for the initial and optimized dies appears to be 6.723 and 6.628 MPa, respectively. This shows a 1.4% reduction in the pressure drop. A lower pressure drop leads to a lower energy consumption.



Figure 9. Cont.



Figure 9. Pressure distribution for (a) the initial die and (b) optimized die.

Figure 10 shows the temperature distributions for the initial and optimized dies. Both contours look similar. A comparison of temperature distributions at the outlet of the die for the initial and optimized dies is depicted in Figure 11. As it is shown, the optimized die has more uniform temperature at the outlet. As discussed before, the optimized die gives more uniform velocity. Uniform velocity results in a more uniform temperature profile at the exit of the die. Since polymers are susceptible to degradation due to the high temperatures, an optimized die with more uniform velocity is more desirable.



Figure 10. Cont.



Figure 10. Temperature distribution for (a) the initial die and (b) optimized die.



Figure 11. Temperature distribution comparison at the outlet.

## 5. Conclusions

This article presented a geometric optimization algorithm involving an integration with computational fluid dynamics. Temperature-dependent rheological properties along with viscous dissipation are considered in the CFD model. A Carreau–Yasuda model with Arrhenius temperature dependency was used for the viscosity model. Fully developed velocity and temperature profiles were utilized at the inlet of the die. The die profile was approximated by a spline curve with three points. Based on the response surface method and the central composite experiment design, a relation between velocity disparity at the exit of the die and five independent geometric variables was derived. The obtained optimized geometry shows a 20.07% improvement in the distribution of velocity at die exit.

**Author Contributions:** A.P. and D.W. conceived the idea of this research; A.R. developed the framework of the paper, performed numerical simulations, and prepared the manuscript under the supervision of A.P., D.W. and D.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded under the target program No. AP05134166 "Development and Prototyping of Extrusion Dies for Advanced Plastic Sheets and Thin Film Production" from the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to express their deepest gratitude to Otilia Nuta for checking the English of this paper

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Michaeli, W. *Extrusion Dies for Plastics and Rubber: Design and Engineering Computations;* Hanser: Munich, Germany, 2003.
- 2. Michaeli, W.; Kaul, S. Approach of an Automatic Extrusion die Optimization. J. Polym. Eng. 2004, 24, 1–3. [CrossRef]
- Puissant, S.; Vergnes, B.; Agassant, J.F.; Demay, Y.; Labaig, J.J. Two-dimensional multilayer coextrusion flow in a flat coat-hanger die. Part 2: Experiments and theoretical validation. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* 1996, 36, 936–942. [CrossRef]
- 4. Matsubara, Y. Flat die geometry—A note. Polym. Eng. Sci. 1988, 28, 1275. [CrossRef]
- 5. Hopmann, C.; Michaeli, W. Extrusion Dies for Plastics and Rubber. In *Extrusion Dies for Plastics and Rubber*, 4th ed.; Hopmann, C., Michaeli, W., Eds.; Hanser Publisher: Munich, Germany, 2016; pp. 1–18.
- 6. Winter, H.H.; Fritz, H.G. Design of dies for the extrusion of sheets and annular parisons: The distribution problem. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* **1986**, *26*, 543–553. [CrossRef]
- 7. Wang, Y. The flow distribution of molten polymers in slit dies and coathanger dies through three-dimensional finite element analysis. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* **1991**, *31*, 204–212.
- 8. Huang, Y.; Gentle, C.R.; Hull, J.B. A comprehensive 3-D analysis of polymer melt flow in slit extrusion dies. *Adv. Polym. Technol.* **2004**, *23*, 111–124. [CrossRef]
- 9. Wu, T.; Jiang, B.; Xu, S.; Bi, C. Nonisothermal comprehensive 3D analysis of polymer melt flow in a coat-hanger die. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* 2006, *46*, 406–415.
- 10. Na, S.Y.; Kim, D.H. Three-dimensional modelling of Non-Newtonian fluid flow in a coat-hanger die. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **1995**, *12*, 236–243. [CrossRef]
- 11. Catherine, O. Polymer melt rheology and flow simulations applied to cast film extrusion die design: An industrial perspective. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2017**, *1843*, 30009.
- 12. Benkreira, H. Step-change in Enhancing Extrusion as a Unit Operation. In Proceedings of the 7th World Congress of Chemical Engineering, Glasgow, Scortland, 10 July 2005.
- 13. Bird, R.B.; Stewart, W.E.; Lightfoot, E.N. Transport Phenomena; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2006.
- 14. Osswald, T.A.; Rudolph, N. *Polymer Rheology: Fundamentals and Applications*; Hanser Publisher: Munich, Germany, 2015.
- 15. Çengel, Y.A.; Ghajar, A.J. *Heat and Mass Transfer: Fundamentals & Applications*; McGraw-Hill Education: NewYork, NY, USA, 2011.
- 16. Mark, J.E. Physical Properties of Polymers Handbook; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- 17. Kim, K.S. Flow-rate based method for velocity of fully developed laminar flow in tubes. *J. Rheol.* **2018**, 62, 1397–1407. [CrossRef]
- 18. Wei, D.; Zhang, Z. Decay estimates of heat transfer to molten polymer flow in pipes with viscous dissipation. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2001**, *39*, 1–14.
- 19. Wei, D.; Luo, H. Finite element solutions of heat transfer in molten polymer flow in tubes with viscous dissipation. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **2003**, *46*, 3097–3108. [CrossRef]
- 20. Cavazzuti, M. Optimization Methods: From Theory to Design Scientific and Technological Aspects in Mechanics. Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2012.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).