Finite Element Analysis on Bingham?Papanastasiou Viscoplastic Flow in a Channel with Circular/Square Obstacles: A Comparative Benchmarking

Authors:

Asif Mehmood, Waqar A. Khan, Rashid Mahmood, Khalil Ur Rehman

Date Submitted: 2020-10-26

Keywords: drag and lift coefficients, yield stress, Bingham fluid, LBB-stable finite element pair

Abstract:

A CFD (computational fluid dynamics) analysis was carried out for the Bingham viscoplastic fluid flow simulations around cylinders of circular and square shapes. The governing equations in space were discretized with the finite element approach via a weak formulation and utilizing Ladyzhenskaya?Babuška?Brezzi-stable pair Q 2 / P 1 disc for approximation of the velocity and pressure profiles. The discrete non-linear system was linearized through Newton's method, and a direct linear solver was iterated as an inner core solver. The study predicts the functional dependence and impact of Bingham number, B n , on the drag coefficient and lift coefficient. The effect of the shape of an obstacle is also provided by providing comparative data for the hydrodynamic forces with the published results.

Record Type: Published Article

Submitted To: LAPSE (Living Archive for Process Systems Engineering)

Citation (overall record, always the latest version):	LAPSE:2020.1049
Citation (this specific file, latest version):	LAPSE:2020.1049-1
Citation (this specific file, this version):	LAPSE:2020.1049-1v1

DOI of Published Version: https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8070779

License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)



Article

Finite Element Analysis on Bingham–Papanastasiou Viscoplastic Flow in a Channel with Circular/Square Obstacles: A Comparative Benchmarking

Asif Mehmood¹, Waqar A. Khan², Rashid Mahmood¹ and Khalil Ur Rehman^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Air University, PAF Complex E-9, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan; asif.mehmood@mail.au.edu.pk (A.M.); rashid.mahmood@mail.au.edu.pk (R.M.)
- ² Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, Prince Mohammad Bin Fahd University, Al Khobar 31952, Saudi Arabia; WKHAN@pmu.edu.sa
- * Correspondence: khalil.rehman@mail.au.edu.pk; Tel.: +92-051-926-2557-9 (ext. 691)

Received: 6 September 2019; Accepted: 1 October 2019; Published: 3 July 2020



Abstract: A CFD (computational fluid dynamics) analysis was carried out for the Bingham viscoplastic fluid flow simulations around cylinders of circular and square shapes. The governing equations in space were discretized with the finite element approach via a weak formulation and utilizing Ladyzhenskaya–Babuška–Brezzi-stable pair Q_2/P_1^{disc} for approximation of the velocity and pressure profiles. The discrete non-linear system was linearized through Newton's method, and a direct linear solver was iterated as an inner core solver. The study predicts the functional dependence and impact of Bingham number, *Bn*, on the drag coefficient and lift coefficient. The effect of the shape of an obstacle is also provided by providing comparative data for the hydrodynamic forces with the published results.

Keywords: LBB-stable finite element pair; Bingham fluid; yield stress; drag and lift coefficients

1. Introduction

It can be seen from the research over the last few decades that the emphasis in continuum and rheological mechanics has been completed with single-phase materials that do not require certain threshold stress for their actual deformation, such as polymer solutions and polymer melts. However, some fluid dynamic researchers, during their experiments, found substances that are recognized as viscoplastic materials, which require a certain threshold stress level for their deformation. Some of the fluids that exhibit viscoplastic features are slurries, pastes, chocolate, mayonnaise, margarine, suspension, etc. These materials are encountered in various industries, and food processes and have now become a topic of intensified interest for researchers due to their superb features and practical utilization in many scientific problems.

Shwedov [1] was the first to communicate the idea about the yield stress and its engineering reality. He also evaluated the flow behavior, quality, and composition of viscoplastic materials at different magnitudes of yield stress. Later, Bingham conducted vast experimentation in this direction and provided details about fluidity and plasticity [2]. After these initial studies, a great effort was made by researchers to investigate the viscoplastic materials in non-trivial flows, theoretically and experimentally, and several fluid models for the better physical interpretation of viscoplastic materials have been proposed. These models include the Bingham model [2], Herschel–Bulkley model [3], and Casson model [4], but the strongest one is the Bingham fluid model. Bingham did extraordinary work by proposing several paints that exhibit viscoplastic features and by elucidating the fluidity and plasticity of such materials. After that, seminal research work was conducted by Bird et al. [5,6] who provided a list of several other materials exhibiting these properties. Along those lines, several



Papanastasiou [8] performed phenomenal work by adding an exponential term to the expression of yield stress. This addition to the model also helps in the description of yielded and non-yielded regions. A detailed review on the viscoplastic behavior of fluids is provided by Barnes [11], where he asserted that the real viscoplastic fluids behave more like the Papanastasiou regularized viscoplastic fluid rather than the ideal Bingham fluid. Some more studies for such yield-stress fluids are given in [12–17].

The flow around obstacles is the classical problem in fluid mechanics and is the topic of interest from the computational, experimental, and analytical point of view. In recent years, due to advancements in technology, the issues regarding the computational and time cost involved during the simulations around obstacles have been resolved. Researchers are now effectively working on the understanding of and physical interpretations of fluid flow around obstacles. In this regard, Schaefer et al. [18] performed exclusive work by investigating the flow features of Newtonian fluid around obstacles. Some results related to Newtonian fluid across the cylinder along with various imperative physical situations are available in [19–22]. The literature contains little work related to the flow of non-Newtonian fluids around obstacles. The theoretical work concerning this subject was studied by Adachi and Yoshioka [23]. Tokpavi et al. [24,25] investigated Bingham fluids around a circular cylinder by incorporating inertial effects. They experimentally analyzed the flow features of Bingham fluid and found excellent agreement between previously conducted theoretical findings with their experimental observations. Nirmalkar et al. [26] explicated forced thermal convection past the square cylinder by capitalizing the Bingham fluid. Moreover, they came up with the idea of 'effective Reynolds number', $Re^* = \frac{Re}{Bn+1}$, and 'effective Bingham number', $Bn^* = \frac{Bn}{Bn+1}$, since the viscosity varies throughout the flow and effective viscosity might be more representative of the viscous stress. They provided correlations for the pressure and total drag as functions of the modified Reynolds number, Re*. Both Mossaz et al. [27] and Syrakos et al. [28] computed the magnitude of drag and lift coefficients for Re = 45 and with variations in the Bingham number. Further, Syrakos et al. [29] tested the concept of effective Reynolds number for Bingham fluid, in other words, $Re^* = \frac{Re}{Bn+1}$ as discussed in Nirmalkar et al. [26], and showed that Re^* is a better indicator of inertia in viscoplastic flows than the usual Reynolds number.

This study was aimed at investigating the features of Bingham–Papanastasiou fluids past circular and square cylinders in a channel. The fluid-governing rheological expressions were discretized by the finite element method. Code validation was also present to check the reliability of the computed results. To the author's knowledge, the inertial flow of Bingham fluids has not been widely reported, and this work will serve as a reference study for upcoming research in this field. The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: The flow-governing equation and the expression of the features of the Bingham plastic model are presented in Section 2. The procedure of the implemented computational scheme is outlined and debated in Section 3. The grid independence and the code validation are reported in Section 4, as are numerical findings by way of sketches of the various involved quantities. Section 4 also contains plots for lift and drag coefficients. Conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2. Mathematical Formulation

The stationary incompressible flows are governed by the set of coupled partial differential equations given by:

$$\nabla \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0},\tag{1}$$

$$\rho(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau},\tag{2}$$

where the symbols have their usual meanings. Bingham [4] proposed a simplified rheological relationship for viscoplastic materials:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = 0, & \tau \leq \tau_y, \\ \boldsymbol{\tau} = \left(\frac{\tau_y}{\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}} + \mu_p\right) \dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, & \tau > \tau_y, \end{cases}$$
(3)

where the τ_y , μ_p , τ , and $\dot{\gamma}$, represents the yield stress, plastic viscosity, stress tensor, and the rate of strain tensor, respectively. The strain tensor is defined as

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \equiv \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T, \tag{4}$$

here, u denotes the velocity vector. The stress magnitude and strain rate are defined as

$$\tau \equiv \left[\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\tau}:\boldsymbol{\tau}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \equiv \left[\frac{1}{2}\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}:\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(5)

A key finding for viscoplastic fluid flows is that the computational domain can be partitioned into three zones, the one where $\dot{\gamma} \neq 0$ represents the shear zone, while the plug zone remarks $\dot{\gamma} \equiv 0$ and $u \neq 0$ and for the dead zone, we have $\dot{\gamma} \equiv 0$ and u = 0. Equation (3) inherits a discontinuity which was dealt with by Papanastasiou [8] by introducing an exponential function as

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \left[\frac{\tau_y}{\dot{\gamma}} \left\{ 1 - \exp(-m\dot{\gamma}) \right\} + \mu_p \right] \dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}},\tag{6}$$

here, *m* is the parameter showing the stress growth. Owing the Equation (4), the viscosity can be written as τ

$$\eta = \frac{\tau_y}{\dot{\gamma}} \left\{ 1 - \exp(-m\dot{\gamma}) \right\} + \mu_p,\tag{7}$$

which is applicable in the whole flow domain.

Using non-dimensional variables \mathbf{u}^* , p^* , τ^* and by choosing L_{ref} and U_{ref} as reference length and velocity, respectively, so we obtained:

$$\nabla \mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{0},\tag{8}$$

$$Re\mathbf{u}^* \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^* = -\nabla p^* + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}^*,\tag{9}$$

in which

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}^* = \left[\frac{Bn}{\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^*} \left\{ 1 - \exp(-M\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^*) \right\} + 1 \right] \dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^*.$$
(10)

where $Re = \frac{\rho U_{ref}L_{ref}}{\mu_p}$ is the Reynolds number and $Bn = \frac{\tau_y L_{ref}}{\mu_p U_{ref}}$ is Bingham number. The stress s growth parameter *m* is now given by $M = \frac{m U_{ref}}{L_{ref}}$. The viscosity in non-dimensional form is

$$\eta^* = \frac{Bn}{\dot{\gamma}^*} \left\{ 1 - \exp(-M\dot{\gamma}^*) \right\} + 1$$
(11)

M is a non-dimensional analog of *m*. The value of *M* is taken as 500 in our simulations.

3. Numerical Procedure

Due to the lack of an analytical solution for the present configuration, we opted for a numerical procedure based on finite element discretization. The quadrilateral Stokes element Q_2/P_1^{disc} is applied from the finite element library. This element possesses shape functions that have nine degrees of freedom (DOFs) for velocity component locally and three local DOF for a piecewise linear discontinuous pressure approximation (see [30,31] for details). After linearizing the discrete non-linear algebraic system, the direct solver [32] is iterated in the inner loop. Grid refinement is achieved by forming four sub-elements from one parent element by connecting midpoints of opposite edges. In order to make a good comparison for the coefficients C_D and C_L , the coarse grids are constructed in such a way that at a certain refinement level the DOFs are compatible (see Table 1).

Lev –	Circular Cylinder		Square Cylinder	
	#EL	#DOFs	#EL	#DOFs
1	130	1534	112	1344
2	520	5928	448	5152
3	2080	23,296	1792	20,160
4	8320	92,352	7168	79,744
5	33,280	367,744	28,672	317,184
6	133,120	1,467,648	114,688	1,265,152

Table 1. Meshing scheme at different refinement levels.

4. Flow Configuration and Numerical Results

The simulation domains are schematically shown in Figure 1a,b. To be more specific, Figure 1a provides the domain as a rectangular channel $\Omega = [0, 2.2] \times [0, 0.41]$ with a circular cylinder and Figure 1b is specified with the square cylinder as an obstacle. The center of both the cylinders is set at C (0.2, 0.2). The structure of both meshes at the coarse level is shown in Figure 2. The velocity of the fluid at the upper and lower walls is taken as zero. A parabolic profile is exposed at inlet while the Neumann condition is carried at an outlet.

The reference velocity is taken $U_{\infty} = \frac{2}{3}u_{\text{max}}$, where u_{max} is the maximum value of the parabolic input. The length of the diameter D = 0.1 (for circular cylinder case) and side of the square of the same length as of D (for square cylinder case) is taken as reference length. The involved Bingham number is defined as $Bn = \frac{\tau_y \cdot D}{\mu_p U_{\infty}}$ and $Re = \frac{\rho U_{\infty} D}{\mu_p}$ is Reynolds number. The dimensionless drag coefficient C_D and lift coefficient C_L are given as

$$C_D = \frac{2F_d}{\rho U_\infty^2 D},\tag{12}$$

$$C_L = \frac{2F_l}{\rho U_{\infty}^2 D} \tag{13}$$



Figure 1. Geometric illustration of computational domains. (a) Circular shaped cylinder as an obstacle, (b) square shaped cylinder as an obstacle.





Figure 2. Coarse grid for channel for both circular (a) and square cylinder (b).

4.1. Code Validation and Grid Independency

We performed some test simulations in order to validate the solver by setting Bn = 0 and Re = 20 and compared the important quantities with the results reported in [18]. The numerical values of the drag coefficient (C_D) and lift coefficient (C_L) are listed in Table 2 and the good agreement is found with existing literature. The velocity distribution at Re = 20 for Bn = 0 is shown in Figure 3. The reference data is

$$C_D = 5.579535$$

$$C_L = 0.010618$$



Figure 3. The velocity and pressure snapshot for the case Re = 20 and Bn = 0.

A comparison of values at level 5 and level 6 in Table 2 also confirms the grid independency of results.

Lev	C_L	C_D
1	4.811786×10^{-3}	5.330670
2	$9.006015 imes 10^{-3}$	5.500019
3	1.042621×10^{-2}	5.557633
4	1.042621×10^{-2}	5.557633
5	1.060405×10^{-2}	5.578171
6	1.061492×10^{-2}	5.579198

Table 2. Lift and drag coefficients for Newtonian case (Bn = 0).

4.2. Further Parametric Study: Graphical and Tabular Results

After having done the code validation, further parametric study for Bingham number is performed for the case of square cylindrical obstacle and quantities of interest are computed and a quantitative comparison is tabulated against circular obstacle case given in [33].

From Table 3 we can conclude that for various values of yield stress parameter represented by Bingham number, C_D increases with Bn and C_L show a decreasing trend. The is an increasing trend of drag as a function of Bn for both types of cylinders, however, the drag values are higher for the case of the square obstacle as the boundary of the square is not smooth as compared with the circular one.

Bn -	Circular Obstacle		Square Obstacle	
	C_D	C_L	CD	C_L
1	6.237896	3.471506×10^{-2}	7.802527	1.066414×10^{-1}
5	8.956400	9.303962×10^{-2}	11.23487	$2.200490 imes 10^{-1}$
10	12.76176	$1.392485 imes 10^{-1}$	16.00524	2.989600×10^{-1}
15	16.76099	1.304087×10^{-1}	20.95338	3.052176×10^{-1}
20	20.81272	$1.029614 imes 10^{-1}$	25.93892	$2.867756 imes 10^{-1}$
25	24.86881	7.090231×10^{-2}	30.91964	$2.579676 imes 10^{-1}$
30	28.91413	3.833286×10^{-2}	35.88314	2.221996×10^{-1}
35	32.94459	6.344714×10^{-3}	40.82713	1.821432×10^{-1}
40	36.95979	-2.481294×10^{-2}	45.75258	$1.430765 imes 10^{-1}$
45	40.96059	-5.530762×10^{-2}	50.66154	$1.064771 imes 10^{-1}$
50	44.94819	-8.510983×10^{-2}	55.55585	$7.492779 imes 10^{-2}$

Table 3. Lift and drag coefficients towards Bn.

Figures 4–7 provide the variation in pressure, velocity, viscosity, and streamlines, respectively when Bn = 5, 10, 20 and 50. In detail, Figure 4 pressure profiles in the channel are presented, where it is observed that the pressure shows non-linear variations in the vicinity of the obstacle and it becomes linear downstream the obstacle. The velocity magnitude is displayed in Figure 5 for the selected values of *Bn*. The parabolic profile given at the inlet gets a speedy bifurcation at the cylinder and then it declines in the center of the channel due to plasticity effects increased by augmentation in the Bingham numbers.

From the viscosity plots given in Figure 6, the growth in the unyielded region is manifested. As we increase the yield stress parameter Bn, the unyielded region grows in the center of the channel downstream the channel and where the fluid moves like a rigid body showing the existence of plug zone. The flow pattern represented by streamlines is demonstrated in Figure 7 only for the selected values of Bn = 10 and Bn = 20, respectively, as the flow pattern remains almost unchanged.



Figure 4. Impact of Bingham number on the pressure at Re = 20, (**a**) Bn = 5, (**b**) Bn = 10, (**c**) Bn = 20, (**d**) Bn = 50.











Figure 5. Impact of Bingham number on the velocity magnitude at Re = 20, (**a**) Bn = 5, (**b**) Bn = 10, (**c**) Bn = 20, (**d**) Bn = 50.











Figure 6. Impact of Bingham number on the viscosity at Re = 20, (a) Bn = 5, (b) Bn = 10, (c) Bn = 20, (d) Bn = 50.



Figure 7. Streamlines showing the flow patterns for Re = 20, (**a**) Bn = 10, (**b**) Bn = 20.

For both the square and circular cylinders, the variation in *u*-velocity at x = 1 of channel is inspected towards different *Bn* and the observations are provided in Figures 8 and 9. In detail, Figure 8 depicts the *u*-velocity when the square cylinder is placed as an obstacle towards ongoing Bingham fluid. It is noticed for the iterations $0 \le Bn \le 50$ the *u*-velocity varies significantly. Figure 9 depicts the variation in *u*-velocity when the circular cylinder is placed as an obstacle towards Bingham fluid. From both figures, one can conclude that for the higher values of Bn, the peak of *u*-velocity in the center line declines significantly reflecting a plug zone in the flow and the boundary layer region becomes thinner at higher values of *Bn*.



Figure 8. Horizontal velocity along a vertical line at x = 1 for the square cylinder at various *Bn*.



Figure 9. Horizontal velocity along a vertical line at x = 1 for the circular cylinder at various *Bn*.

Figures 10 and 11 show the drag and lift coefficients at Re = 20 and for $0 \le Bn \le 50$ both for circular and square obstacles. The trend of drag as a function of Bn is the same for both types of cylinders, however, the drag values are higher for the case of the square obstacle as the boundary is non-smooth compared with the circular one. The lift values show an increasing trend for $0 \le Bn \le 10$ and then show a decreasing trend throughout for further augmentation in Bingham number for both types of obstacles.



Figure 10. Comparison of the drag coefficient for circular and square obstacle as a function of Bn.



Figure 11. Comparison of the lift coefficient for circular and square obstacle as a function of Bn.

5. Conclusions and Outlook

In this article, the interaction of Bingham viscoplastic fluid with both the square and circular cylinders as an obstacle is examined at a fixed Re = 20 and for $0 \le Bn \le 50$. The finite element method is utilized to report flow field properties. It is observed that both the drag and lift coefficients subject to each obstacle are a function of Bingham number. The values of the drag coefficient increase significantly by increasing yield stress while the opposite trend is noticed for the case of lift coefficient confirming the resistive quality of yield stress. The drag approaches constant values at fixed Bingham number towards improved meshing which justifies the grid independency, hence, confirms the obtained results. Further, both the drag and lift coefficient are found higher for the square obstacle as compared to the circular one. In future, the idea of effective Reynolds number floated by Nirmalkar et al. [26] will be implemented and the study will be enhanced for a wide range of Bn and Re numbers.

Author Contributions: A.M. performed simulations for the parametric studies and collected all the results. The methodology, validation tests and revision process are done by W.A.K. while R.M. provided the overall supervision and prepared the draft. The correspondence with the journal and preparation of rebuttal letter is managed by K.U.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments: The authors are very thankful for the anonymous referees whose suggestions and comments helped to improve the quality of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Nomenclature

- τ_y Yield Stress
- μ_p Plastic Viscosity
- τ Stress Tensor
- $\dot{\gamma}$ Rate of Strain Tensor
- u Dimensional Velocity Vector
- u* Dimensionless Velocity Vector
- U_{∞} Reference Velocity
- $\dot{\gamma}$ Shear Rate
- *p* Dimensional pressure

- *m* Dimensional Stress growth parameter
- M Dimensionless Stress growth parameter
- Re Reynold number
- *Bn* Bingham number
- #EL Number of Elements
- #DOF Number of degrees of freedom
- C_D Drag Coefficient
- *C_L* Lift Coefficient

References

- Shwedov, F.N. La Rigidite DE Liquides, Rapports PRésentés AU Congrès International DE Physique Paris 1; Société nouvelle de librarie et d'édition: Paris, France, 1900; pp. 478–486.
- 2. Bingham, E.C. Fluidity and Plasticity; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1922.
- Herschel, W.H.; Bulkley, R. Konsistenzmessungen von Gummi-Benzollösungen. Colloid Polym. Sci. 1926, 39, 291–300. [CrossRef]
- 4. Casson, N. A flow equation for pigment-oil suspensions of the printing ink type. In *Rheology of Disperse Systems;* Pergamon Press: London, UK, 1959; pp. 84–104.
- 5. Bird, R.B.; Dai, G.; Yarusso, B.J. The Rheology and Flow of Viscoplastic Materials. *Rev. Chem. Eng.* **1983**, *1*, 1–70. [CrossRef]
- 6. Bird, R.B.; Armstrong, R.C.; Hassager, O. *Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids*, 2nd ed.; Fluid Mechanics; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1987; Volume 1.
- 7. Bercovier, M.; Engelman, M. A finite-element method for incompressible non-Newtonian flows. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1980**, *36*, 313–326. [CrossRef]
- 8. Papanastasiou, T.C. Flows of materials with yield. J. Rheol. 1987, 31, 385–404. [CrossRef]
- 9. Fortin, M.; Glowinski, R. *Augmented Lagrangian Methods: Applications to the Numerical Solution of Boundary-Value Problems*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1983.
- 10. Glowinski, R. Numerical Methods for for non-Linear Variational Problems; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1984.
- Barnes, H.A. The yield stress—A review or 'παντα ρει'—Everything flows? J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech. 1999, 81, 133–178. [CrossRef]
- 12. Syrakos, A.; Georgiou, G.C.; Alexandrou, A.N. Solution of the square lid-driven cavity flow of a Bingham plastic using the finite volume method. *J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech.* **2013**, *195*, 19–31. [CrossRef]
- Mitsoulis, E.; Zisis, T. Flow of Bingham plastics in a lid-driven square cavity. J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech. 2001, 101, 173–180. [CrossRef]
- 14. Dean, E.J.; Glowinski, R.; Guidoboni, G. On the numerical simulation of Bingham visco-plastic flow: Old and new results. *J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech.* **2007**, *142*, 36–62. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kefayati, G.H.R.; Huilgol, R.R. Lattice Boltzmann method for the simulation of the steady flow of a Bingham fluid in a pipe of square cross-section. *Eur. J. Mech.-B/Fluids* **2017**, *65*, 412–422. [CrossRef]
- 16. Kefayati, G. FDLBM simulation of magnetic field effect on non-Newtonian blood flow in a cavity driven by the motion of two facing lids. *Powder Technol.* **2014**, *253*, 325–337. [CrossRef]
- Mahmood, R.; Kousar, N.; Yaqub, M.; Jabeen, K. Numerical simulations of the square lid driven cavity flow of Bingham fluids using nonconforming finite elements coupled with a direct solver. *Adv. Math. Phys.* 2017, 2, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 18. Schäfer, M.; Turek, S.; Durst, F.; Krause, E.; Rannacher, R. Benchmark Computations of Laminar Flow around a Cylinder. *Notes Numer. Fluid Mech.* (*NNFM*) **1996**, *48*, 547–566.
- 19. Williamson, C.H.K. Vortex Dynamics in the Cylinder Wake. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **1996**, *28*, 477–539. [CrossRef]
- 20. Hussain, S.; Schieweck, F.; Turek, S. An efficient and stable finite element solver of higher order in space and time for nonstationary incompressible flow. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids* **2013**, *73*, 927–952. [CrossRef]
- 21. Kanaris, N.; Grigoriadis, D.; Kassinos, S. Three dimensional flow around a circular cylinder confined in a plane channel. *Phys. Fluids* **2011**, *23*, 064106. [CrossRef]

- 22. Rajani, B.; Kandasamy, A.; Majumdar, S. Numerical simulation of laminar flow past a circular cylinder. *Appl. Math. Model.* **2009**, *33*, 1228–1247. [CrossRef]
- 23. Adachi, K.; Yoshioka, N. On creeping flow of a visco-plastic fluid past a circular cylinder. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **1973**, *28*, 215–226. [CrossRef]
- 24. Tokpavi, D.L.; Magnin, A.; Jay, P. Very slow flow of Bingham viscoplastic flow around a circular cylinder. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **2008**, *154*, 65–76. [CrossRef]
- 25. Tokpavi, D.L.; Jay, P.; Magnin, A.; Jossic, L. Experimental study of the very slow flow of a yield stress fluid around a circular cylinder. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **2009**, *164*, 35–44. [CrossRef]
- 26. Nirmalkar, N.; Chhabra, R.; Poole, R. Laminar forced convection heat transfer from a heated square cylinder in a Bingham plastic fluid. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **2013**, *56*, 625–639. [CrossRef]
- 27. Mossaz, S.; Jay, P.; Magnin, A. Non-recirculating and recirculating inertial flows of a viscoplastic fluid around a cylinder. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **2012**, 177, 64–75. [CrossRef]
- Syrakos, A.; Georgiou, G.C.; Alexandrou, A.N. Thixotropic flow past a cylinder. *J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech.* 2015, 220, 44–56. [CrossRef]
- 29. Syrakos, A.; Georgiou, G.C.; Alexandrou, A.N. Cessation of the lid-driven cavity flow of Newtonian and Bingham fluids. *Rheol. Acta* 2016, *55*, 51–66. [CrossRef]
- 30. Hussain, S.; Schieweck, F.; Turek, S. Efficient Newton-multigrid solution techniques for higher order space–time Galerkin discretizations of incompressible flow. *Appl. Numer. Math.* 2014, *83*, 51–71. [CrossRef]
- 31. Matthies, G.; Tobiska, L. The Inf-Sup Condition for the Mapped Q_k-P_{k-1}^{disc} Element in Arbitrary Space Dimensions. *Computing* **2002**, *69*, 119–139. [CrossRef]
- 32. Davis, T.A. UMFPACK-an unsymmetric-pattern multifrontal method with a column pre-ordering strategy. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* **2006**, *30*, 196–199. [CrossRef]
- 33. Mahmood, R.; Kousar, N.; Usman, K.; Mehmood, A. Finite element simulations for stationary Bingham fluid flow past a circular cylinder. *J. Braz. Soc. Mech. Sci. Eng.* **2018**, 40, 459. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).