# Understanding the Operating Mode of Fe0/Fe-Sulfide/H2O Systems for Water Treatment

# Authors:

Minhui Xiao, Rui Hu, Xuesong Cui, Willis Gwenzi, Chicgoua Noubactep

Date Submitted: 2020-06-10

Keywords: zero-valent iron, synergetic effects, pyrite oxidation, iron corrosion, contaminant removal

#### Abstract:

The general suitability of water treatment systems involving metallic iron (Fe0) is well-established. Various attempts have been made to improve the efficiency of conventional Fe0 systems. One promising approach combines granular Fe0 and an iron sulfide mineral to form Fe0/Fe-sulfide/H2O systems. An improved understanding of the fundamental principles by which such systems operate is still needed. Through a systematic analysis of possible reactions and the probability of their occurrence, this study establishes that sulfide minerals primarily sustain iron corrosion by lowering the pH of the system. Thus, chemical reduction mediated by FeII species (indirect reduction) is a plausible explanation for the documented reductive transformations. Such a mechanism is consistent with the nature and distribution of reported reaction products. While considering the mass balance of iron, it appears that lowering the pH value increases Fe0 dissolution, and thus subsequent precipitation of hydroxides. This precipitation reaction is coupled with the occlusion of contaminants (co-precipitation or irreversible adsorption). The extent to which individual sulfides impact the efficiency of the tested systems depends on their intrinsic reactivities and the operational conditions (e.g., sulfide dosage, particle size, experimental duration). Future research directions, including the extension of Fe0/Fe-sulfide/H2O systems to drinking water filters and (domestic) wastewater treatment using the multi-soil-layering method are highlighted.

Record Type: Published Article

Submitted To: LAPSE (Living Archive for Process Systems Engineering)

Citation (overall record, always the latest version): Citation (this specific file, latest version): Citation (this specific file, this version): LAPSE:2020.0563 LAPSE:2020.0563-1 LAPSE:2020.0563-1v1

DOI of Published Version: https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8040409

License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)





# Communication Understanding the Operating Mode of Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-Sulfide/H<sub>2</sub>O Systems for Water Treatment

# Minhui Xiao<sup>1</sup>, Rui Hu<sup>1,\*</sup>, Xuesong Cui<sup>1</sup>, Willis Gwenzi<sup>2</sup> and Chicgoua Noubactep<sup>1,3,4,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Earth Science and Engineering, Hohai University, Fo Cheng Xi Road 8, Nanjing 211100, China; xiaominhui@hhu.edu.cn (M.X.); cuixuesong@hhu.edu.cn (X.C.)
- <sup>2</sup> Biosystems and Environmental Engineering Research Group, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zimbabwe, P.O. Box MP167, Mount Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe; wgwenzi@yahoo.co.uk
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Applied Geology, University of Göttingen, Goldschmidtstraße 3, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Water and Environmental Science and Engineering, Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 447, Arusha, Tanzania
- \* Correspondence: rhu@hhu.edu.cn (R.H.); cnoubac@gwdg.de (C.N.); Tel.: +49-551-393-3191 (C.N.)

Received: 9 March 2020; Accepted: 25 March 2020; Published: 31 March 2020



Abstract: The general suitability of water treatment systems involving metallic iron (Fe<sup>0</sup>) is wellestablished. Various attempts have been made to improve the efficiency of conventional Fe<sup>0</sup> systems. One promising approach combines granular  $Fe^0$  and an iron sulfide mineral to form  $Fe^0/Fe$ -sulfide/ $H_2O$ systems. An improved understanding of the fundamental principles by which such systems operate is still needed. Through a systematic analysis of possible reactions and the probability of their occurrence, this study establishes that sulfide minerals primarily sustain iron corrosion by lowering the pH of the system. Thus, chemical reduction mediated by Fe<sup>II</sup> species (indirect reduction) is a plausible explanation for the documented reductive transformations. Such a mechanism is consistent with the nature and distribution of reported reaction products. While considering the mass balance of iron, it appears that lowering the pH value increases Fe<sup>0</sup> dissolution, and thus subsequent precipitation of hydroxides. This precipitation reaction is coupled with the occlusion of contaminants (co-precipitation or irreversible adsorption). The extent to which individual sulfides impact the efficiency of the tested systems depends on their intrinsic reactivities and the operational conditions (e.g., sulfide dosage, particle size, experimental duration). Future research directions, including the extension of Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-sulfide/H<sub>2</sub>O systems to drinking water filters and (domestic) wastewater treatment using the multi-soil-layering method are highlighted.

Keywords: contaminant removal; iron corrosion; pyrite oxidation; synergetic effects; zero-valent iron

# 1. Introduction

Metallic iron (Fe<sup>0</sup>) is a readily available and low-cost reactive material, industrially used in water treatment since the second half of the 19th Century [1–6]. Fe<sup>0</sup> has been successfully used to remove color and various classes of biological and chemical pollutants from the aqueous phase [1,3,4,7–9]. During the 1990s, Fe<sup>0</sup> has been rediscovered and successfully applied for environmental remediation, including in subsurface permeable reactive barriers (Fe<sup>0</sup> PRBs) [10–18]. New Fe<sup>0</sup>-based technologies for safe drinking water supply were then derived from the Fe<sup>0</sup>-based PRB technology [19–28].

The efficiency of a  $Fe^0/H_2O$  remediation system for water treatment has been observed to be impaired by an inherent characteristic of aqueous iron corrosion at near-neutral pH values, which is often termed "reactivity loss" [10,29]. Reactivity loss is characterized by the precipitation of iron

(hydr)oxides in the vicinity of or at the Fe<sup>0</sup> surface. The layer of (hydr)oxides or oxide scale acts as a physical and electronic barrier that "passivates" the material, thereby significantly reducing the efficiency of the Fe<sup>0</sup>-based system [10,18,30–32]. In essence, "reactivity loss" is a misleading term, as reactivity is an intrinsic property of each material and cannot change with operational variables or under given operating conditions [28,33–36]. That is the reason why it is restored by appropriate treatments (e.g., acid wash) [15,37] and/or sustained by other additive materials (e.g., using FeS<sub>2</sub> or MnO<sub>2</sub>) [29,38–44]. Despite its misleading nature, the term "reactivity loss" is partly maintained here, for the sake of clarity. Several tools have been introduced to address "reactivity loss" [15,37,44–48], including the amendment of granular Fe<sup>0</sup> with granular iron sulfide minerals, which is the focus of the current study. Other tools as summarized by Lü et al. [18] include using the following: fabrication of (dispersed) nanoscale Fe<sup>0</sup>, multi-metallic materials, weak magnetic field and the fabrication of several Fe<sup>0</sup>-based composites.

Lipczynska-Kochany et al. [38] was probably the first research group to use iron sulfide minerals to sustain the Fe<sup>0</sup> reactivity, thereby increasing the efficiency of conventional Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems for water treatment. Accordingly, during the past 25 years, a large number of scientific publications have reported on the enhanced efficiency of Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-sulfide/H<sub>2</sub>O systems relative to their Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O counterparts [18,29, 44,47–53]. However, the mechanisms for this enhancement are still to be established [18,44,52]. Clearly, there is still controversy on the reasons why the presence of pyrite enhances the efficiency of Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems for contaminant removal. This troublesome situation is not a good basis for a science-based design of the next generation Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-sulfide/H<sub>2</sub>O system, and the development of the Fe<sup>0</sup> technology in general. Table 1 suggests that the main cause for this situation lies in the various different experimental designs and conditions for the reported investigations [53–57]. It is seen that the used Fe<sup>0</sup> and FeS<sub>2</sub> dosages (in g L<sup>-1</sup>) vary largely, while the mixing intensities vary from 0 to 400 rpm.

Contaminant Nature		Pyrite			Metal	lic Iron	Stirring	Ref.
	рН <sub>0</sub> (-)	V (mL)	d (mm)	ρ(g L <sup>-1</sup> )	d (mm)	ρ(g L <sup>-1</sup> )	(rpm)	
CCl <sub>4</sub>	6.0	25	< 0.841	200	0.150	200	170	38
Arsenic	3.0 to 9.0	500	n.s.	0.20 or 2.0	n.s.	0.20 or 2.0	400	53
Uranium	7.2	20	200 to 630	25	1.6 to 2.5	15	0.0	54, 55
Nitrobenzene	5.0 to 10.0	150	40 to 75	0.5 to 3.0	40 to 75	0.5	200	52
Orange II	7.0	150.0	38 to 50	0.25 or 2.0	0.25 to 2.0	0.25 or 0.50	200	47
RR X-3B	7.0	150.0	38 to 50	0.25 or 2.0	0.25 to 2.0	0.25 or 0.50	200	47
Amido Black 10B	7.0	150.0	38 to 50	0.25 or 2.0	0.25 to 2.0	0.25 or 0.50	200	47
Methylorange	6.9	22	38 to 50	0.25 or 2.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	57
Methylene blue	7.0	22	38 to 50	0.25 or 2.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	57

**Table 1.** Comparison of literature data on experimental conditions for investigating the  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  system. Studies were performed at initial pH values ranging from 3.0 to 10.0, and  $Fe^0$  mass loadings ranging from 0.2 to 200 g L<sup>-1</sup>. The size of pyrite and  $Fe^0$  also showed large variations among studies. "n.s." stands for not specified, the authors have referred to Supporting Information.

The objectives of the current study are: (i) to critique and clarify the role of sulfide minerals in enhancing the efficiency of  $Fe^0/H_2O$  systems on a purely analytical basis, and (ii) to highlight the key knowledge gaps and future research directions. The paper is structured as follows; first, the chemistry of the  $Fe^0/Fe$ -sulfide/ $H_2O$  system will be presented. Then the mechanisms of contaminant removal are discussed. Finally, the key knowledge gaps and future directions are then highlighted.

#### 2. The Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-Sulfide/H<sub>2</sub>O System

When a reactive Fe-sulfide (e.g.,  $FeS_2$ ) is immersed in aqueous systems under oxic conditions (presence of  $O_2$ ), it is oxidatively dissolved and each mole of  $FeS_2$  produces four moles of protons (H<sup>+</sup>)

(Equation (1)). Under anoxic conditions (absence of  $O_2$ ), pyrite dissolution produces four times more protons (Equation (2)).

$$4 \text{ FeS}_2 + 15 \text{ O}_2 + 10 \text{ H}_2\text{O} \Rightarrow 4 \text{ FeOOH} + 16 \text{ H}^+ + 8 \text{ SO}_4^{2-}$$
(1)

$$FeS_2 + 14 Fe^{3+} + 8 H_2O \Rightarrow 15 Fe^{2+} + 2 SO_4^{2-} + 16 H^+$$
 (2)

Reactions (1) and (2) show that the oxidative dissolution of pyrite always generates protons, and hence induces an acidification of non-buffered aqueous systems (Figure 1). In this study, Fe-sulfides mixed with Fe<sup>0</sup> are considered, wherein aqueous oxidative dissolution is a proton-consuming process (Equation (3)). Reaction 3 implies that Fe<sup>0</sup> is oxidized by protons generated by the hydrolysis of water (H<sub>2</sub>O  $\Leftrightarrow$  H<sup>+</sup> + OH<sup>-</sup>). The abundance of water (solvent) implies that reaction 3 should never be assumed as a side reaction, unless it is demonstrated otherwise. However, for natural waters, relevant pollutants are typically present in trace amounts and the pH value is close to 7 [54].

$$Fe^0 + 2 H^+ \Rightarrow Fe^{2+} + H_2 \tag{3}$$

Depending on  $O_2$  availability, reactions (1) and (3) or reactions (2) and (3) compete for controlling the pH of the system. Thus, the final pH value depends on at least four factors: (i) the relative dosages of Fe<sup>0</sup> and FeS<sub>2</sub>, (ii) their respective intrinsic reactivities, (iii) the contact time and (iv) the temperature. In other words, the trivial pH decrease with increasing FeS<sub>2</sub> loadings (Figure 1) cannot be directly correlated with the extent of contaminant removal.

One hypothetical case is the one in which  $FeS_2$  oxidation initially dominates [55]. In such as case, the pH value first decreases, and then progressively increases until it reaches a final value. Acidity is first produced by reaction 1 or/and reaction 2, then progressively consumed by reaction 3 until a pseudo-steady state is reached. Considering that  $Fe^0$  and  $FeS_2$  have long-term reactivity, investigating  $Fe^0/Fe$ -sulfide/H<sub>2</sub>O systems in batch mode under quiescent conditions can last for several weeks before reaching a pseudo-equilibrium [56]. During this long time of reactivity, weathering of in-situ generated iron (hydr)oxides (corrosion products) through acidification (Equation (1) or Equation (2)) also occurs, but will not be discussed further herein. Note that reactions 1 and 2 are not mutually exclusive of each other—rather, they may occur simultaneously to some extent. An initially closed oxic system will turn anoxic over time because both pyrite oxidation (Equation (1)) and iron corrosion (Equation (3)) are O<sub>2</sub> scavengers. The O<sub>2</sub> scavenging nature of iron corrosion is due to the fact that  $Fe^{2+}$  (Equation (3)) is readily oxidized by dissolved O<sub>2</sub> (Equation (4)). Clearly, iron corrosion (Equation (5)) is accelerated because  $Fe^{2+}$  is consumed (Le Chatelier's principle). In other words,  $Fe^0$  is not oxidized by O<sub>2</sub> (Equation (6)) or any contaminant, as commonly reported in the  $Fe^0$  literature (Equation (7)), but is oxidized in the presence of oxygen (Equations (3) and (4)).

$$4 \operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + \operatorname{O}_2 + 2 \operatorname{H}^+ \Rightarrow 4 \operatorname{Fe}^{3+} + 2 \operatorname{HO}^-$$
(4)

$$Fe^0 \Leftrightarrow Fe^{2+} + 2e^-$$
 (5)

$$2 \operatorname{Fe}^{0} + \operatorname{O}_{2} + 2 \operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{O} \Rightarrow 2 \operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + 4 \operatorname{OH}^{-}$$
(6)

$$Fe^{0} + RX + H^{+} \Rightarrow Fe^{2+} + RH + X^{-}$$
(7)

where X represents a halogen (e.g., Cl).



**Figure 1.** Illustration of the pH shifting property of pyrite (FeS<sub>2</sub>) for the Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O system. The initial pH value is 7.0; data are from Cui [57]. The lower the FeS<sub>2</sub> loading, the higher the pH value. Correlating the final pH values to the extent of decontamination depends on other operational parameters, including the experimental duration. The represented lines are not fitting functions; they just join the points to facilitate visualization.

The presentation until here reveals the complexity of a contaminant-free Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-sulfide/H<sub>2</sub>O system. It also shows the possible variabilities of systems commonly termed "Fe-sulfide enhanced Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O." Regardless of the presence of any contaminant, an Fe-sulfide enhanced Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O system represents the at rest state of dynamic processes, implying that both Fe<sup>0</sup> and Fe-sulfide produce reducing species (e.g., Fe<sup>II</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>) and adsorbing agents (iron hydroxides and oxides). Moreover, during the precipitation of hydroxides, contaminants are enmeshed or occluded in their matrix and also co-precipitated [58,59]. In other words, in Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-sulfide/H<sub>2</sub>O systems, contaminants are more or less removed by adsorption and co-precipitation, while some reducible contaminants are probably quantitatively reduced. However, two key issues should be considered: (i) observed reductive transformations are not the cathodic reactions coupled to iron dissolution (Equation (5)), and (ii) chemical reduction is not a relevant removal mechanism for many contaminants at the concentration ranges of natural waters [28,57,60,61].

The inherent limitation of chemical methods for the treatment of natural water arises from the fact that the residual concentration based on the solubility limit is still too high compared to an admissible maximum concentration level (MCL). For example, the residual concentrations of the water treatment chemicals (e.g., chlorine,  $Al^{3+}$ ) may exceed the MCL for drinking water set by the World Health Organization (WHO). As an example, using  $Ca^{2+}$  addition to lower the concentration of fluoride ( $F^-$ ) from polluted water yields an equilibrium concentration of 8.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ([ $F^-$ ]), which is too high compared to the WHO MCL value of 1.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. To reach values less than 1.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, physical methods are needed (e.g., adsorption, dilution or water blending, ion-exchange and size-exclusion), Fe<sup>0</sup> filters were proven a poorly-efficient alternative [62]. For organic species, the reduction products must be removed from water as well, particularly in the context of safe drinking water provision. For environmental remediation, it may suffice if the reaction products are biodegradable [10]. Clearly, one

major problem of the research on using Fe<sup>0</sup> for water treatment has been to randomly interchange the terms "contaminant reduction" and "contaminant removal" as if reduced species are automatically removed [28,33,34]. It has been constantly pointed out that no satisfying mass balance of the species involved, including Fe<sup>0</sup>, has been presented [63–65].

The thermodynamic arguments given in this section are sufficient to definitively rule out direct reduction (electrons from  $Fe^0$ ) as a mechanism of contaminant transformation in  $Fe^0/H_2O$  systems as a rule. Documented reductive transformations are mediated by primary reducing species ( $Fe^{II}$ ,  $H/H_2$ ) and secondary corrosion products (e.g.,  $Fe_3O_4$ , green rust) operating in synergy. By inducing a timely pH shift, the addition of Fe-minerals just intensifies the discussed processes. Since the first mechanistic work of Matheson and Tratnyek [66], reactions similar to Equation (7) were being written as the first choice and are mostly considered as scientifically established. Moreover, the stoichiometry of reaction 7 is used to model/design  $Fe^0/H_2O$  systems [28,32,36,67].

O'Hannesin and Gillham [68] acknowledged that the adoption of the reductive transformation concept was a "broad consensus" among researchers in the Fe<sup>0</sup> remediation community. This raises a question: how can a flawed consensus survive for two decades without being addressed? There are at least two possible answers: (i) it has not been really questioned or investigated by the majority of active researchers; and/or (ii) research findings pointing to the flaws of the concept have been overlooked or refuted. A number of cases exist to support these explanations. For example, despite a number of short communications and review articles challenging the validity of the concept (Table 2), several subsequent studies have perpetuated the flawed concept to explain the removal of contaminants by Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O. This situation has prompted the publication of a "review of reviews" in 2015 in Water Research [64], but the large majority of researchers are still propagating the false view. The most referenced article with 242 citations is from 2008 (12 years old). During this period some thousands of scientific articles have been published on the remediation Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O system. The next section is focused on the Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-sulfide/H<sub>2</sub>O system.

Title	Journal	Year	Citation	Citation/Year
Processes of contaminant removal in "Fe <sup>0</sup> –H <sub>2</sub> O" systems revisited. The importance of coprecipitation.	Open Environ. Sci.	2007	n.a.	n.a.
A critical review on the mechanism of contaminant removal in Fe <sup>0</sup> –H <sub>2</sub> O systems	Environ. Technol.	2008	242	20.2
Fe <sup>0</sup> -based alloys for environmental remediation: Thinking outside the box	J. Hazard. Mater.	2009	23	2.1
An analysis of the evolution of reactive species in $Fe^0/H_2O$ systems	J. Hazard. Mater.	2009	108	9.8
On the operating mode of bimetallic systems for environmental remediation	J. Hazard. Mater.	2009	29	2.6
On the validity of specific rate constants (kSA) in Fe <sup>0</sup> /H <sub>2</sub> O systems	J. Hazard. Mater.	2009	14	1.6
On nanoscale metallic iron for groundwater remediation	J. Hazard. Mater.	2010	45	4.5
The fundamental mechanism of aqueous contaminant removal by metallic iron	Water SA	2010	125	12.5
The suitability of metallic iron for environmental remediation	Environ. Prog Sustain.	2010	55	5.5

**Table 2.** Selected articles disproving the reductive transformation concept for the  $Fe^0/H_2O$  system and their current bibliometry according to Scopus and ScienceDirect (www.scopus.com: 23/03/2020). Self-citation is included. It is seen that the best citation rate is 20 per year in a context where more than 500 articles are produced every year.

Title	Journal	Year	Citation	Citation/Year
Aqueous contaminant removal by metallic iron: Is the paradigm shifting?	Water SA	2011	59	6.6
Metallic iron for environmental remediation: Back to textbooks	Fresenius Environ. Bull.	2012	13	1.6
Flaws in the design of Fe <sup>0</sup> -based filtration systems?	Chemosphere	2014	24	4.0
Metallic iron for environmental remediation: A review of reviews	Water Research	2015	72	14.4
No scientific debate in the zero-valent iron literature	CLEAN—Soil, Air, Water	2016	8	2.0
Research on metallic iron for environmental remediation: Stopping growing sloppy science	Chemosphere	2016	21	5.3
Predicting the hydraulic conductivity of metallic iron filters: Modeling gone astray	Water	2016	18	4.5
Metallic iron for water treatment: Leaving the valley of confusion	Applied Water Science	2017	n.a.	n.a.
Rescuing Fe <sup>0</sup> remediation research from its systemic flaws	Res. Rev. Insights	2017	n.a.	n.a.
Metallic iron for environmental remediation: How experts maintain a comfortable status quo	Fresenius Environ. Bull.	2018	n.a.	n.a.
Iron corrosion: Scientific heritage in jeopardy	Sustainability	2018	5	2.5

Table 2. Cont.

#### 3. Contaminant Removal in Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-Sulfide/H<sub>2</sub>O Systems

Fe-sulfides have been successfully used as stand-alone reducing agents for many dissolved organic and inorganic species [69–79]. It is one of the most powerful natural reducing agents and has been demonstrated to sustain the environmental redox cycling of manganese [80,81]. Therefore, mixing Fe-sulfides and Fe<sup>0</sup> corresponds to mixing two reducing agents, and should result in even more reducing systems (without considering the pH shift capacity of Fe-sulfides). Yet studies have been published comparing the relative kinetics and extent of contaminant removal in the following three systems: (i) Fe-sulfide alone, (ii) Fe<sup>0</sup> alone and (iii) the Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-sulfide mixture [18,47,48,52,53,55,56,82]. For example, Lü et al. [18] observed no nitrobenzene removal in the pure FeS<sub>2</sub> system, moderate removal in the pure  $Fe^0$  system and increased removal in the  $Fe^0/FeS_2$  system. Noubactep et al. [56] reported exactly the same trend for U<sup>VI</sup> removal. These results are correctly interpreted as an indication of the synergetic effect of FeS<sub>2</sub> (or more generally Fe-sulfides) on contaminant removal by Fe<sup>0</sup> [44,52,56]. However, the role of Fe-sulfides is limited to inducing pH shift, and thus increasing corrosion, at least during the lag time of the experimental durations ranging from 300 min for Lü et al. [18] to 120 days for Noubactep et al. [56]. It is of critical importance to state that well-documented reductive transformation of both contaminants in the pure FeS<sub>2</sub> system [76,83] were not observed by both research groups. One reason for this is certainly the slow kinetics of Fe<sup>II</sup>-mediated reduction processes [66,84]. Accordingly, both research groups independently demonstrated that the presence of Fe-sulfides improve contaminant removal in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems.

In Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub> systems, the primary role of FeS<sub>2</sub> is to induce acidification. The process is accelerated by the LeChatelier's principle as generated protons (Equations (1) and (2)) are used for Fe<sup>0</sup> corrosion (Equation (3)). Generated Fe<sup>II</sup> species are not available in the vicinity of the mineral to induce the surface-catalyzed reductive transformation observed in nature and reproduced in the laboratory (in the absence of Fe<sup>0</sup>) [76,80,81,83,85]. It should be recalled that permeable reactive barriers (PRBs) of Fe-sulfides are a stand-alone tool for groundwater remediation [85], and have been even suggested as alternatives to Fe<sup>0</sup> PRBs because they are less prone to clogging [10,86]. As stated earlier, amending  $Fe^0/H_2O$  systems with Fe-sulfides was a tool to increase its efficiency (often termed reactivity) for contaminant removal. The question is, "which efficiency?" or "the efficiency to treat which type of natural water?" In essence, the development of the Fe<sup>0</sup> technology during the past three decades has been a sort of a race for the development of the most reactive  $Fe^0$ material. However, given that each natural water is unique in terms of physico-chemical and biological contaminants, it is unlikely that any single material (be it the most reactive one) would be the most appropriate for all situations. On the contrary, using a more reactive material at a certain site would result in early  $Fe^0$  depletion or early system clogging [87]. Thus, amending a given  $Fe^0/H_2O$  system with Fe-sulfides should be decided on a site-specific basis. Moreover, which Fe-sulfide or Fe/Fe-sulfide to use, and which amount (proportion or mix ratio) thereof should be a rationale decision based on the fundamental understanding of the reactivity or corrosion kinetics of the materials. Therefore, the further development of the Fe<sup>0</sup> technology calls for the characterization and subsequent establishment of a database of the intrinsic reactivities of Fe<sup>0</sup> materials and reactive additives (e.g., FeS<sub>2</sub>, MnO<sub>2</sub>).

## 4. Characterizing the Efficiency of Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub> Systems

Up to this point, the presentation shows the potential of Fe-sulfides to render Fe<sup>0</sup> systems more efficient and sustainable than conventional Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems (i.e., without reactive additives). However, available results are collectively qualitative, as they were achieved under very different operating conditions (Table 1), and in some cases, relevant data to interpret the presented results are missing. For example, most works characterizing changes in pH values of Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems are limited to giving the initial and (more rarely) the final pH values [18,47,53]. However, because Fe-sulfides are expected to induce a pH shift, and Fe<sup>0</sup> corrosion is a proton consuming process, only careful real-time in-situ monitoring of the pH value during the course of the experiment can improve the understanding of the system. This corresponds to the approach of Noubactep et al. [55,56,82,88], who used different dosage of FeS<sub>2</sub> and showed different final pH values in long-term quiescent batch experiments (up to 120 days). The same authors particularly demonstrated that uranium removal is only quantitative in Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub> systems having final pH values larger than 4.5. There was no uranium removal.

Another important aspect was reported by Mackenzie et al. [45], who were able to decrease the pH of their experimental system by admixing Fe<sup>0</sup> with troilite (FeS). The same authors also compared several systems for scavenging dissolved  $O_2$ , and identified an Fe<sup>0</sup>/sand mixture as the best mixture. Based on these results, Kenneke and McCutcheon [29] positively tested the Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/sand as a further improvement in a pretreatment zone (PTZ). The mix composition of the PTZ (in weight %) was: Fe<sup>0</sup> (10), FeS<sub>2</sub> (10) and sand (80). These insightful results from both batch and column studies demonstrate the suitability of Fe-sulfide minerals to sustain the efficiency of Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems for water treatment. Sections 5 and 6 present a systematic path to achieve reliable results within the coming few years.

#### 5. Extending the Application of Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub> Systems

Monitoring the behaviors of selected contaminants, including tracers, has been used as a conventional tool to investigate the efficiencies of  $Fe^0/H_2O$  systems for water treatment [15,89,90]. The rational selection of model contaminants has been questioned in view of the myriad of species that are potential contaminants worldwide [57,91–94]. The complexity of the  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  system (Section 4) suggests that its investigation using the methylene blue discoloration method (MB method) [33] would be a very helpful approach with which to accelerate the understanding of the system.

The MB method is summarized by Btatkeu-K et al. [92]. It exploits the low affinity of cationic MB for the positively charged surface of iron corrosion products (FeCPs) under natural conditions (pH > 5.0). Comparatively investigating the efficiency of Fe<sup>0</sup>/sand mixtures with the pure sand system as a reference enables the relative quantification of the extent of iron corrosion. In fact, the pure sand system is an excellent adsorbent for MB, while sand coated with FeCPs has no affinity for MB. Performing parallel experiments with the same sand and various Fe<sup>0</sup> specimens is thus a powerful

8 of 15

tool with which to characterize the Fe<sup>0</sup> reactivity. The most reactive specimen produces the largest amount of FeCPs to coat sand under experimental conditions and exhibits the lowest extent of MB discoloration [95]. This simple tool has been adapted to investigate several aspects of the process of contaminant removal in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems [96–99], and is expected to accelerate the investigation of the Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub> system. In particular, Btatkeu-K et al. [96] used the MB method to clarify the controversy in the literature concerning the Fe<sup>0</sup>/MnO<sub>2</sub> system.

Extending the application of  $Fe^0/FeS_2$  to drinking water treatment requires a profound understanding of its operating mode. Cui [57] has recently used the MB method to investigate the operating mode of  $FeS_2$  in enhancing the efficiency of  $Fe^0/H_2O$  systems. The same author used methyl orange (MO) to support the interpretation of the results of MB discoloration. Contrary to MB, MO is an anionic dye exhibiting an excellent affinity to FeCPs. MB and MO are additionally similar in their molecular size. Cui's results [57] clearly demonstrated that  $FeS_2$  dissolution lowered the pH value, and neither MB nor MO were quantitatively discolored before the subsequent pH increase reached values larger than 4.5. Additionally, at pH < 4.5, there was no significant difference between MB and MO discoloration. Most importantly, by keeping the pH value low, Cui [57] has irrefutably demonstrated that adsorption and co-precipitation are the fundamental mechanism of contaminant removal in  $Fe^0/H_2O$  systems. The pure sand system could not discolor MO, but all other investigated systems discolored both MB and MO.

The results of Cui [57] frontally contradict those of Chen et al. [47], who used the same pyrite, but investigated the discolorations of three different dyes (Orange II, Reactive Red X-3B and Amido Black 10B). Chen et al. [47] is entitled "Pyrite enhanced the reactivity of zero-valent iron for reductive removal of dyes." According to Miyajima and Noubactep [33] the term "reactivity" is misused, and according to the results of Cui [57], the expression "reductive removal" is inappropriate. On the other hand, Chen et al. [47] have not rooted the interpretation of their results on the recorded final pH values. Another weakness of Chen et al. [47] is that all three dyes are anionic in nature, and therefore interact very strongly with in-situ generated FeCPs. In other words, whether the dyes are reductively transformed or not, they are discolored by adsorption and co-precipitation. This last aspect makes the term "discoloration" better than "removal" in investigating dye interactions in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems [98,99].

There are several ways to extend the efficiency of  $Fe^0/H_2O$  system by amending it with pyrite. For example, small amounts of FeS<sub>2</sub> can be added to a Fe<sup>0</sup>/sand system to sustain iron corrosion in the initial phase of the system operation. The intrinsic reactivity of the selected FeS<sub>2</sub> mineral should be known, as well as the kinetics of its long-term dissolution. In other words, there is no need to verify whether pyrite can enhance the efficiency of a Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O system or not [44,100,101]. Instead, there is a need to rationally select both Fe<sup>0</sup> and FeS<sub>2</sub> and their relative mixing ratios to achieve a given remediation goal [57]. Similarly, the in-depth mechanisms mediating contaminant removal in Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub> mixtures are known; thus, the open question is 'how to sustain them in the long-term'?. Another point to note is that, the in-situ dissolution of a FeS<sub>2</sub> mineral cannot prevent Fe<sup>0</sup> surface passivation because FeCPs are not soluble under environmental conditions. However, FeS<sub>2</sub> dissolution locally increases the pH value and delays the precipitation of FeCPs at the surface or in the vicinity of Fe<sup>0</sup>.

#### 6. Knowledge Gaps and Future Directions

A systematic approach is required in the coming years to better understand the operating mode of Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems. Figure 2 presents a conceptual summary of the focal areas of future research critical for the development of Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O-based water treatment systems. Figure 2 also shows the key inputs/outputs of each step, including feedbacks between the various steps, indicating the iterative nature of the design process. Specifically, future research on Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems should include: (i) characterization of the long-term Fe<sup>0</sup> and FeS<sub>2</sub> reactivities, (ii) determination of the design and operation principles of Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems; (iii) laboratory developments and evaluations of functional prototypes of Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems, including multi-soil layer systems; (iv) pilot-scale and field testing and evaluation of actual  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  systems; and (v) outreach and dissemination of the  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  systems, including monitoring and evaluation by potential end-users of the technology.



**Figure 2.** A conceptual depiction of proposed roadmap in the development of  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  water treatment systems, highlighting key thematic areas for future research.

#### 6.1. Characterization of Reactivity of Materials

The long-term reactivity of both FeS<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sup>0</sup> used in Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems are key factors controlling the contaminant removal efficiency of such systems. Yet data on corrosion kinetics is often lacking in most literature focusing on Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems [47,53,102]. On the one hand, highly reactive Fe<sup>0</sup> filter media may be effective in contaminant removal in the short-term, but are likely to lose such capacity in the long-term [102]. On the other hand, Fe<sup>0</sup> filter materials with low reactivity may have low contaminant removal capacity in the short term, but higher operational longevity. In some water treatment applications, an optimum mixture of Fe<sup>0</sup> and FeS<sub>2</sub> may need to be determined in order to design effective Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems. In this regard, characterization of the corrosion kinetics of Fe<sup>0</sup> and FeS<sub>2</sub>, and the factors controlling such processes are critical to better understand the contaminant removal capacity of Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems. Such studies should culminate into the establishment of a database of well-characterized Fe<sup>0</sup>, FeS<sub>2</sub> and their optimal mixing ratios.

# 6.2. Design and Operation Principles of Fe<sup>0</sup>/FeS<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O Systems

In reality, water treatment systems are designed to treat raw waters with diverse physico-chemical and biological properties, including salinity, alkalinity, natural organic matter and other potentially-interfering ionic species. Therefore, before  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  can be widely adopted for drinking water treatment, design engineers and managers for water utilities will require information on the design and operation principles of the  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  systems for various types of raw waters likely to be encountered. Unlike other competing technologies, such as membrane filtration and reverse osmosis, the design and operation principles for  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  systems are still lacking. Therefore, further research using both batch and column experiments is required to develop the design and operation

parameters of  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$ -based water treatment systems. Subsequently, the design and operation parameters can be used to develop and evaluate prototypes of  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$ -based drinking water treatment systems, including those entailing multi-soil layer systems. Such research forms a critical step in the development, and subsequent application of  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  systems for drinking water treatment.

#### 6.3. Laboratory-Scale Development Functional Prototypes

The design principles and data from the previous steps will be used to design laboratory-scale functional prototypes of  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  systems. The evaluation will entail determination of the effects of operational conditions (e.g., hydraulic loading and residence times) on the capacity of the prototypes to remove target contaminants in synthetic aqueous systems and natural waters. This phase will also include the application of simulation models to better understand system performance. In this regard, the MB method (Section 5) will be very helpful at this stage.

#### 6.4. Pilot-Scale and Field Testing and Evaluation

In this step, pilot scale  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  systems will be designed and evaluated under field conditions to address the scale issues associated with laboratory-scale prototypes. The recent three-layer-design (one  $Fe^0$ /sand unit sandwiched between two biosand filters) of a household water filter presented by Tepong-Tsindé et al. [27] can be used as starting prototype. In this design, the  $Fe^0$ /sand unit can be amended with various  $Fe^0$  to  $FeS_2$  ratios. Evaluation data from this step will be used to optimize and finalize the system designs for the removal of target contaminants, and adequate capacity to treat water/wastewater.

#### 6.5. Outreach and Dissemination of the $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$ Technology

The final phase will entail outreach and dissemination of the  $Fe^0/FeS_2/H_2O$  technology to the target end-users. The monitoring and evaluation (M&E) system will include system performance evaluations by researchers and utility managers, including perceptions of end-users on the resulting water quality. M&E data from this phase can then be used to further improve the technology, and design improved systems.

# 7. Conclusions

Fe-sulfides minerals could be important for the design of future sustainable Fe<sup>0</sup>-based water treatment systems, as currently acknowledged. They have already been useful in the following applications: (i) clarifying the mechanism of contaminant removal in Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems, and (ii) scavenging O<sub>2</sub> in pre-treatment zones to delay permeability loss and/or sustain the Fe<sup>0</sup> reactivity. However, given the many uncertainties coupled with the long-term reactivity of Fe<sup>0</sup> (and Fe-sulfides) under environmental conditions, only well-conceived, long-lasting, systematic laboratory and pilot studies would enable the exploitation of the huge potential of Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-sulfides/H<sub>2</sub>O for environmental remediation and drinking water supply. A summary of key knowledge gaps and future directions was presented, including the need to adapt Fe<sup>0</sup>/Fe-sulfides-layers to the design of next generation multi-soil-layering (MSL) systems for decentralized wastewater treatment.

**Author Contributions:** M.X., R.H., X.C., W.G. and C.N. contributed equally to manuscript compilation and revisions. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China through the program "Research on Mechanism of Groundwater Exploitation and Seawater Intrusion in Coastal Areas" (project code 20165037412) and by the Ministry of Education of China through "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" (project code: 2015B29314). It is also supported by Jiangsu Provincial Department of Education (project code: 2016B1203503) and Postgraduate Research and Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (project code: SJKY19\_0519, 2019B60214).

**Acknowledgments:** The manuscript was improved by insightful comments of anonymous reviewers from Processes. We acknowledge support by the German Research Foundation and the Open Access Publication Funds of the Göttingen University.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Van Craenenbroeck, W. Easton & Anderson and the water supply of Antwerp (Belgium). *Ind. Archaeol. Rev.* 1998, 20, 105–116.
- 2. Mwakabona, H.T.; Ndé-Tchoupé, A.I.; Njau, K.N.; Noubactep, C.; Wydra, K.D. Metallic iron for safe drinking water provision: Considering a lost knowledge. *Water Res.* **2017**, *117*, 127–142. [CrossRef]
- 3. Anderson, M.A. Fundamental Aspects of Selenium Removal by Harza Process. Rep San Joaquin Valley Drainage *Program*; U.S. Department of the Interior: Sacramento, CA, USA, 1989.
- 4. Tucker, W.G. The purification of water by chemical treatment. Science 1892, 20, 34–38. [CrossRef]
- 5. Devonshire, E. The purification of water by means of metallic iron. *J. Frankl. Inst.* **1890**, *129*, 449–461. [CrossRef]
- 6. Davis, F. *An Elementary Handbook on Potable Water;* Silver, Burdett & Company: New York, NY, USA; Boston, MA, USA; Chicago, IL, USA, 1891.
- 7. James, B.R.; Rabenhorst, M.C.; Frigon, G.A. Phosphorus sorption by peat and sand amended with iron oxides or steel wool. *Water Environ. Res.* **1992**, *64*, 699–705. [CrossRef]
- 8. Murphy, A.P. Removal of selenate from water by chemical reduction. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1988**, 27, 187–191. [CrossRef]
- 9. Lauderdale, R.A.; Emmons, A.H. A method for decontaminating small volumes of radioactive water. *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.* **1951**, *43*, 327–331. [CrossRef]
- 10. Henderson, A.D.; Demond, A.H. Long-term performance of zero-valent iron permeable reactive barriers: A critical review. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* 2007, 24, 401–423. [CrossRef]
- 11. Antia, D.D.J. Sustainable zero-valent metal (ZVM) water treatment associated with diffusion, infiltration, abstraction, and recirculation. *Sustainability* **2010**, *2*, 2988–3073. [CrossRef]
- 12. Tellen, V.; Nkeng, G.; Dentel, S. Improved filtration technology for pathogen reduction in rural water supplies. *Water* **2010**, *2*, 285–306. [CrossRef]
- 13. Gheju, M. Hexavalent chromium reduction with zero-valent iron (ZVI) in aquatic systems. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **2011**, 222, 103–148. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ghauch, A. Iron-based metallic systems: An excellent choice for sustainable water treatment. *Freib. Online Geosci.* **2015**, *38*, 1–80.
- 15. Guan, X.; Sun, Y.; Qin, H.; Li, J.; Lo, I.M.C.; He, D.; Dong, H. The limitations of applying zero-valent iron technology in contaminants sequestration and the corresponding countermeasures: The development in zero-valent iron technology in the last two decades (1994–2014). *Water Res.* **2015**, *75*, 224–248. [CrossRef]
- 16. Gheju, M. Progress in understanding the mechanism of CrVI Removal in Fe<sup>0</sup>-based filtration systems. *Water* **2018**, *10*, 651. [CrossRef]
- 17. Guan, Q.; Li, F.; Chen, X.; Tian, C.; Liu, C.; Liu, D. Assessment of the use of a zero-valent iron permeable reactive barrier for nitrate removal from groundwater in the alluvial plain of the Dagu River, China. *Environ. Earth Sci.* **2019**, *78*, 244. [CrossRef]
- Lü, Y.; Li, J.; Li, Y.; Liang, L.; Dong, H.; Chen, K.; Yao, C.; Li, Z.; Li, J.; Guan, X. The roles of pyrite for enhancing reductive removal of nitrobenzene by zero-valent iron. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2019, 242, 9–18. [CrossRef]
- 19. Khan, A.H.; Rasul, S.B.; Munir, A.K.M.; Habibuddowla, M.; Alauddin, M.; Newaz, S.S.; Hussam, A. Appraisal of a simple arsenic removal method for ground water of Bangladesh. *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A* **2000**, *35*, 1021–1041. [CrossRef]
- 20. Ngai, T.K.K.; Murcott, S.; Shrestha, R.R.; Dangol, B.; Maharjan, M. Development and dissemination of Kanchan<sup>™</sup> Arsenic Filter in rural Nepal. *Water Sci. Technol. Water Supply* **2006**, *6*, 137–146. [CrossRef]
- 21. Hussam, A.; Munir, A.K.M. A simple and effective arsenic filter based on composite iron matrix: Development and deployment studies for groundwater of Bangladesh. *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A* **2007**, 42, 1869–1878. [CrossRef]
- 22. Hussam, A. Contending with a development disaster: SONO filters remove arsenic from well water in Bangladesh (innovations case discussion: SONO filters). *Innov. Technol. Gov. Glob.* **2009**, *4*, 89–102. [CrossRef]

- Noubactep, C. A critical review on the process of contaminant removal in Fe<sup>0</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O systems. *Environ. Technol.* 2008, 29, 909–920. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Banerji, T.; Chaudhari, S. A cost-effective technology for arsenic removal: Case study of zerovalent iron-based IIT Bombay arsenic filter in West Bengal. In *Water and Sanitation in the New Millennium*; Springer: New Delhi, India, 2017; pp. 127–137.
- 25. Banerji, T.; Kalawapudi, K.; Salana, S.; Vijay, R. Review of processes controlling arsenic retention and release in soils and sediments of Bengal basin and suitable iron based technologies for its removal. *Groundw. Sustain. Dev.* **2019**, *8*, 358–367. [CrossRef]
- 26. Nanseu-Njiki, C.P.; Gwenzi, W.; Pengou, M.; Rahman, M.A.; Noubactep, C. Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O filtration systems for decentralized safe drinking water: Where to from here? *Water* **2019**, *11*, 429. [CrossRef]
- 27. Tepong-Tsindé, R.; Ndé-Tchoupé, A.I.; Noubactep, C.; Nassi, A.; Ruppert, H. Characterizing a newly designed steel-wool-based household filter for safe drinking water provision: Hydraulic conductivity and efficiency for pathogen removal. *Processes* **2019**, *7*, 966. [CrossRef]
- Hu, R.; Yang, H.; Tao, R.; Cui, X.; Xiao, M.; Amoah, B.K.; Cao, V.; Lufingo, M.; Soppa-Sangue, N.P.; Ndé-Tchoupé, A.I.; et al. Metallic iron for environmental remediation: Starting an overdue progress in knowledge. *Water* 2020, *12*, 641. [CrossRef]
- 29. Kenneke, J.F.; McCutcheon, S.C. Use of pretreatment zones and zero-valent iron for the remediation of chloroalkenes in an oxic aquifer. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2003**, *37*, 2829–2835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Yoon, I.-H.; Kim, K.-W.; Bang, S.; Kim, M.G. Reduction and adsorption mechanisms of selenate by zero-valent iron and related iron corrosion. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2011**, *104*, 185–192. [CrossRef]
- 31. Fu, F.; Dionysiou, D.D.; Liu, H. The use of zero-valent iron for groundwater remediation and wastewater treatment: A review. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2014**, *267*, 194–205. [CrossRef]
- 32. Obiri-Nyarko, F.; Grajales-Mesa, S.J.; Malina, G. An overview of permeable reactive barriers for in situ sustainable groundwater remediation. *Chemosphere* **2014**, *111*, 243–259. [CrossRef]
- 33. Miyajima, K.; Noubactep, C. Characterizing the impact of sand addition on the efficiency of granular iron for contaminant removal in batch systems. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2015**, *262*, 891–896. [CrossRef]
- Makota, S.; Nde-Tchoupe, A.I.; Mwakabona, H.T.; Tepong-Tsindé, R.; Noubactep, C.; Nassi, A.; Njau, K.N. Metallic iron for water treatment: Leaving the valley of confusion. *Appl. Water Sci.* 2017, 7, 4177–4196. [CrossRef]
- Naseri, E.; Ndé-Tchoupé, A.I.; Mwakabona, H.T.; Nanseu-Njiki, C.P.; Noubactep, C.; Njau, K.N.; Wydra, K.D. Making Fe<sup>0</sup>-based filters a universal solution for safe drinking water provision. *Sustainability* 2017, *9*, 1224. [CrossRef]
- 36. Hu, R.; Gwenzi, W.; Sipowo-Tala, V.R.; Noubactep, C. Water treatment using metallic iron: A tutorial review. *Processes* **2019**, *7*, 622. [CrossRef]
- 37. Li, L.; Benson, C.H. Evaluation of five strategies to limit the impact of fouling in permeable reactive barriers. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2010**, *181*, 170–180. [CrossRef]
- 38. Lipczynska-Kochany, E.; Harms, S.; Milburn, R.; Sprah, G.; Nadarajah, N. Degradation of carbon tetrachloride in the presence of iron and sulphur containing compounds. *Chemosphere* **1994**, 29, 1477–1489. [CrossRef]
- 39. Ghauch, A.; Assi, H.A.; Bdeir, S. Aqueous removal of diclofenac by plated elemental iron: Bimetallic systems. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2010**, *182*, 64–74. [CrossRef]
- 40. Ghauch, A.; Abou Assi, H.; Baydoun, H.; Tuqan, A.M.; Bejjani, A. Fe<sup>0</sup>-based trimetallic systems for the removal of aqueous diclofenac: Mechanism and kinetics. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2011**, *172*, 1033–1044. [CrossRef]
- 41. Gheju, M.; Balcu, I.; Vancea, C. An investigation of Cr (VI) removal with metallic iron in the co-presence of sand and/or MnO2. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2016**, *170*, 145–151. [CrossRef]
- 42. Gheju, M.; Balcu, I. Sustaining the efficiency of the Fe(0)/H<sub>2</sub>O system for Cr (VI) removal by MnO<sub>2</sub> amendment. *Chemosphere* **2019**, 214, 389–398. [CrossRef]
- 43. Han, S.; Huang, Y.; Liu, Z. Bacterial indicator reduction in dairy manure using hybrid zero-valent iron (h-ZVI) system. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2019**, *26*, 10790–10799. [CrossRef]
- 44. He, X.; Min, X.; Peng, T.; Ke, Y.; Zhao, F.; Sillanpää, M.; Wang, Y. Enhanced adsorption of antimonate by ball-milled microscale zero valent iron/pyrite composite: Adsorption properties and mechanism insight. *Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2020**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Mackenzie, P.D.; Horney, D.P.; Sivavec, T.M. Mineral precipitation and porosity losses in granular iron columns. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **1999**, *68*, 1–17. [CrossRef]

- 46. Bartzas, G.; Komnitsas, K. Solid phase studies and geochemical modelling of low-cost permeable reactive barriers. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2010**, *183*, 301–308. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Chen, K.; Han, L.; Li, J.; Lü, Y.; Yao, C.; Dong, H.; Wang, L.; Li, Y. Pyrite enhanced the reactivity of zero valent iron for reductive removal of dyes. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* **2020**. [CrossRef]
- 48. Du, M.; Zhang, Y.; Zeng, X.; Kuang, H.; Huang, S. Enhancement of ball-miling on pyrite/zero-valent iron for arsenic removal in water: A mechanistic study. *Chemosphere* **2020**, *249*, 126130. [CrossRef]
- 49. Butler, E.C.; Hayes, K.F. Effects of solution composition and pH on the reductive dechlorination of hexachloroethane by iron sulfide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32*, 1276–1284. [CrossRef]
- Kenneke, J.F.; Weber, E.J. Reductive dehalogenation of halomethanes in iron-and sulfate-reducing sediments.
   Reactivity pattern analysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2003, *37*, 713–720. [CrossRef]
- 51. Köber, R.; Welter, E.; Ebert, M.; Dahmke, A. Removal of arsenic from groundwater by zerovalent iron and the role of sulfide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *39*, 8038–8044. [CrossRef]
- Lü, Y.; Li, Z.; Li, J.; Chen, K.; Dong, H.; Shou, J.; Li, Y. Synergetic effect of pyrite on Cr (VI) removal by zero valent iron in column experiments: An investigation of mechanisms. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2018, 349, 522–529. [CrossRef]
- 53. Du, M.; Zhang, Y.; Hussain, I.; Du, X.; Huang, S.; Wen, W. Effect of pyrite on enhancement of zero-valent iron corrosion for arsenic removal in water: A mechanistic study. *Chemosphere* **2019**, *233*, 744–753. [CrossRef]
- 54. Worch, E. *Adsorption Technology in Water Treatment: Fundamentals, Processes, and Modeling;* Walter de Gruyter: Berlin, Germany, 2012.
- 55. Noubactep, C.; Schöner, A.; Meinrath, G. Mechanism of uranium removal from the aqueous solution by elemental iron. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2006**, *132*, 202–212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Noubactep, C.; Meinrath, G.; Dietrich, P.; Merkel, B. Mitigating uranium in groundwater: Prospects and limitations. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2003**, *37*, 4304–4308. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Cui, X. Study on the Material Selection of Zero Valent Iron Permeable Reactive Barrier and the Effect of Pyrite on Its Treatment Efficiency; Hohai University: Nanjing, China, 2020.
- Crawford, R.J.; Harding, I.H.; Mainwaring, D.E. Adsorption and coprecipitation of single heavy metal ions onto the hydrated oxides of iron and chromium. *Langmuir* 1993, *9*, 3050–3056. [CrossRef]
- 59. Crawford, R.J.; Harding, I.H.; Mainwaring, D.E. Adsorption and coprecipitation of multiple heavy metal ions onto the hydrated oxides of iron and chromium. *Langmuir* **1993**, *9*, 3057–3062. [CrossRef]
- Noubactep, C. Relevant reducing agents in remediation Fe<sup>0</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O systems. *Clean Soil Air Water* 2013, 41, 493–502. [CrossRef]
- 61. Hu, R.; Cui, X.; Xiao, M.; Qiu, P.; Lufingo, M.; Gwenzi, W.; Noubactep, C. Characterizing the suitability of granular Fe<sup>0</sup> for the water treatment industry. *Processes* **2019**, *7*, 652. [CrossRef]
- 62. Ndé-Tchoupé, A.I.; Nanseu-Njiki, C.P.; Hu, R.; Nassi, A.; Noubactep, C.; Licha, T. Characterizing the reactivity of metallic iron for water defluoridation in batch studies. *Chemosphere* **2019**, *219*, 855–863. [CrossRef]
- 63. Lee, G.; Rho, S.; Jahng, D. Design considerations for groundwater remediation using reduced metals. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **2004**, *21*, 621–628. [CrossRef]
- 64. Noubactep, C. Metallic iron for environmental remediation: A review of reviews. *Water Res.* 2015, *85*, 114–123. [CrossRef]
- 65. Noubactep, C.; Schoner, A.; Woafo, P. Metallic iron filters for universal access to safe drinking water. *Clean-Soil Air Water* **2009**, *37*, 930–937. [CrossRef]
- 66. Matheson, L.J.; Tratnyek, P.G. Reductive dehalogenation of chlorinated methanes by iron metal. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28*, 2045–2053. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Comba, S.; Di Molfetta, A.; Sethi, R. A comparison between field applications of nano-, micro-, and millimetric zero-valent iron for the remediation of contaminated aquifers. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **2011**, *215*, 595–607. [CrossRef]
- O'Hannesin, S.F.; Gillham, R.W. Long-term performance of an in situ "iron wall" for remediation of VOCs. Groundwater 1998, 36, 164–170. [CrossRef]
- 69. Kriegman-King, M.R.; Reinhard, M. Transformation of carbon tetrachloride in the presence of sulfide, biotite, and vermiculite. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1992**, *26*, 2198–2206. [CrossRef]
- 70. Kriegman-King, M.R.; Reinhard, M. Transformation of carbon tetrachloride by pyrite in aqueous solution. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28*, 692–700. [CrossRef]

- 71. Patterson, R.R.; Fendorf, S.; Fendorf, M. Reduction of hexavalent chromium by amorphous iron sulfide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31*, 2039–2044. [CrossRef]
- 72. Butler, E.C.; Hayes, K.F. Kinetics of the transformation of trichloroethylene and tetrachloroethylene by iron sulfide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33*, 2021–2027. [CrossRef]
- 73. Butler, E.C.; Hayes, K.F. Factors influencing rates and products in the transformation of trichloroethylene by iron sulfide and iron metal. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2001**, *35*, 3884–3891. [CrossRef]
- Zwank, L.; Elsner, M.; Aeberhard, A.; Schwarzenbach, R.P.; Haderlein, S.B. Carbon isotope fractionation in the reductive dehalogenation of carbon tetrachloride at iron (hydr) oxide and iron sulfide minerals. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2005, *39*, 5634–5641. [CrossRef]
- 75. Choi, K.; Lee, W. Ex-situ Reductive Dechlorination of Carbon Tetrachloride by Iron Sulfide in Batch Reactor. *Environ. Eng. Res.* **2008**, *13*, 177–183. [CrossRef]
- Hua, B.; Deng, B. Reductive immobilization of uranium (VI) by amorphous iron sulfide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 42, 8703–8708. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Bone, S.E.; Bargar, J.R.; Sposito, G. Mackinawite (FeS) reduces mercury (II) under sulfidic conditions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 10681–10689. [CrossRef]
- 78. Zhang, Y.; Zhang, K.; Dai, C.; Zhou, X. Performance and mechanism of pyrite for nitrobenzene removal in aqueous solution. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **2014**, *111*, 135–141. [CrossRef]
- Dhanasekara, S.; Attanayake, A.N.B.; Herath, A.C.; Nanayakkara, N.; Senaratne, A.; Indrarathne, S.P.; Weerasooriya, R. Partial degradation of carbofuran by natural pyrite. *Environ. Nanotechnol. Monit. Manag.* 2015, 4, 51–57. [CrossRef]
- 80. Rickard, D.; Luther, G.W. Chemistry of iron sulfides. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 514–562. [CrossRef]
- 81. Murphy, R.; Strongin, D.R. Surface reactivity of pyrite and related sulfides. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **2009**, *64*, 1–45. [CrossRef]
- 82. Noubactep, C.; Meinrath, G.; Merkel, B.J. Investigating the mechanism of uranium removal by zerovalent iron. *Environ. Chem.* **2005**, *2*, 235–242. [CrossRef]
- 83. Yang, Z.; Kang, M.; Ma, B.; Xie, J.; Chen, F.; Charlet, L.; Liu, C. Inhibition of U (VI) reduction by synthetic and natural pyrite. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 10716–10724. [CrossRef]
- 84. Weber, E.J. Iron-mediated reductive transformations: Investigation of reaction mechanism. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *30*, 716–719. [CrossRef]
- 85. Henderson, A.D.; Demond, A.H. Permeability of iron sulfide (FeS)-based materials for groundwater remediation. *Water Res.* 2013, 47, 1267–1276. [CrossRef]
- Henderson, A.D.; Demond, A.H. Impact of solids formation and gas production on the permeability of ZVI PRBs. J. Environ. Eng. 2011, 137, 689–696. [CrossRef]
- Hu, R.; Ndé-Tchoupé, A.I.; Lufingo, M.; Xiao, M.; Nassi, A.; Noubactep, C.; Njau, K.N. The impact of selected pretreatment procedures on iron dissolution from metallic iron specimens used in water treatment. *Sustainability* 2019, 11, 671. [CrossRef]
- Noubactep, C.; Meinrath, G.; Volke, P.; Peter, H.J.; Dietrich, P.; Merkel, B. Mechanism of uranium fixation by zero valent iron: The importance of co-precipitation. In *Uranium in the Aquatic Environment*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2002; pp. 577–586.
- 89. Richardson, J.P.; Nicklow, J.W. In situ permeable reactive barriers for groundwater contamination. *Soil Sediment Contam.* **2002**, *11*, 241–268. [CrossRef]
- 90. Newton, B.T. Noble Gases as Applied Tracers for Investigating Hydrologic and Chemical Processes in Permeable Reactive Barriers. PhD Dissertation, Queens University Belfast, Belfast, UK, 2013.
- 91. Kim, H.; Yang, H.; Kim, J. Standardization of the reducing power of zero-valent iron using iodine. *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A* **2014**, 49, 514–523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Btatkeu-K, B.D.; Tchatchueng, J.B.; Noubactep, C.; Caré, S. Designing metallic iron based water filters: Light from methylene blue discoloration. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2016**, *166*, 567–573. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 93. Li, S.; Ding, Y.; Wang, W.; Lei, H. A facile method for determining the Fe (0) content and reactivity of zero valent iron. *Anal. Methods* **2016**, *8*, 1239–1248. [CrossRef]
- 94. Gatcha-Bandjun, N.; Noubactep, C.; Loura, B.B. Mitigation of contamination in effluents by metallic iron: The role of iron corrosion products. *Environ. Technol. Innov.* **2017**, *8*, 71–83. [CrossRef]
- 95. Miyajima, K. Optimizing the design of metallic iron filters for water treatment. *Freib. Online Geosci.* **2012**, 32, 1–10.

- Btatkeu-K, B.D.; Olvera-Vargas, H.; Tchatchueng, J.B.; Noubactep, C.; Caré, S. Characterizing the impact of MnO<sub>2</sub> on the, efficiency of Fe<sup>0</sup>-based filtration systems. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2014, 250, 416–422. [CrossRef]
- 97. Btatkeu-K, B.D.; Olvera-Vargas, H.; Tchatchueng, J.B.; Noubactep, C.; Caré, S. Determining the optimum Fe<sup>0</sup> ratio for sustainable granular Fe<sup>0</sup>/sand water filters. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2014**, 247, 265–274. [CrossRef]
- 98. Phukan, M. Characterizing the Fe<sup>0</sup>/sand system by the extent of dye discoloration. *Freib. Online Geosci.* **2015**, 40, 1–70.
- 99. Phukan, M.; Noubactep, C.; Licha, T. Characterizing the ion-selective nature of Fe<sup>0</sup>-based filters using azo dyes. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2015**, *259*, 481–491. [CrossRef]
- 100. Min, X.; Li, Y.; Ke, Y.; Shi, M.; Chai, L.; Xue, K. Fe-FeS<sub>2</sub> adsorbent prepared with iron powder and pyrite by facile ball milling and its application for arsenic removal. *Water Sci. Technol.* 2017, 76, 192–200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 101. Seng, S.; Tabelin, C.B.; Kojima, M.; Hiroyoshi, N.; Ito, M. Galvanic microencapsulation (GME) using zero-valent aluminum and zero-valent iron to suppress pyrite oxidation. *Mater. Trans.* 2019, 60, 277–286. [CrossRef]
- 102. Lufingo, M.; Ndé-Tchoupé, A.I.; Hu, R.; Njau, K.N.; Noubactep, C. A novel and facile method to characterize the suitability of metallic iron for water treatment. *Water* **2019**, *11*, 2465. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).