Deep Learning-Based Pose Estimation of Apples for Inspection in Logistic Centers Using Single-Perspective Imaging

Authors:
Lino Antoni Giefer, Juan Daniel Arango Castellanos, Mohammad Mohammadzadeh Babr, Michael Freitag

Date Submitted: 2019-09-13

Keywords: quality inspection, logistic centers, deep learning, pose estimation, lie algebra

Abstract:
Fruit packaging is a time-consuming task due to its low automation level. The gentle handling required by some kinds of fruits and their natural variations complicates the implementation of automated quality controls and tray positioning for final packaging. In this article, we propose a method for the automatic localization and pose estimation of apples captured by a Red-Green-Blue (RGB) camera using convolutional neural networks. Our pose estimation algorithm uses a cascaded structure composed of two independent convolutional neural networks: one for the localization of apples within the images and a second for the estimation of the three-dimensional rotation of the localized and cropped image area containing an apple. We used a single shot multi-box detector to find the bounding boxes of the apples in the images. Lie algebra is used for the regression of the rotation, which represents an innovation in this kind of application. We compare the performances of four different network architectures and show that this kind of representation is more suitable than using state-of-the-art quaternions. By using this method, we achieved a promising accuracy for the rotation regression of 98.36%, considering an error range lower than 15 degrees, forming a base for the automation of fruit packing systems.

Record Type: Published Article

Submitted To: LAPSE (Living Archive for Process Systems Engineering)

Citation (overall record, always the latest version): LAPSE:2019.1003
Citation (this specific file, latest version): LAPSE:2019.1003-1
Citation (this specific file, this version): LAPSE:2019.1003-1v1

DOI of Published Version: https://doi.org/10.3390/pr7070424

License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)
Deep Learning-Based Pose Estimation of Apples for Inspection in Logistic Centers Using Single-Perspective Imaging

Lino Antoni Giefer\textsuperscript{1,2,\ast}, Juan Daniel Arango Castellanos\textsuperscript{2\dag}, Mohammad Mohammadzadeh Babr\textsuperscript{3\dag} and Michael Freitag\textsuperscript{1,2\dag}

\textsuperscript{1} Faculty of Production Engineering, University of Bremen, Badgasteiner Str. 1, 28359 Bremen, Germany
\textsuperscript{2} BIBA—Bremer Institut für Produktion und Logistik GmbH, University of Bremen, Hochschulring 20, 28359 Bremen, Germany
\textsuperscript{3} Faculty of Physics and Electrical Engineering, University of Bremen, Otto-Hahn-Allee 1, 28359 Bremen, Germany

\ast Correspondence: gif@biba.uni-bremen.de; Tel.: +49-(0)421-218-50147

Received: 16 May 2019; Accepted: 30 June 2019; Published: 4 July 2019

Abstract: Fruit packaging is a time-consuming task due to its low automation level. The gentle handling required by some kinds of fruits and their natural variations complicates the implementation of automated quality controls and tray positioning for final packaging. In this article, we propose a method for the automatic localization and pose estimation of apples captured by a Red-Green-Blue (RGB) camera using convolutional neural networks. Our pose estimation algorithm uses a cascaded structure composed of two independent convolutional neural networks: one for the localization of apples within the images and a second for the estimation of the three-dimensional rotation of the localized and cropped image area containing an apple. We used a single shot multi-box detector to find the bounding boxes of the apples in the images. Lie algebra is used for the regression of the rotation, which represents an innovation in this kind of application. We compare the performances of four different network architectures and show that this kind of representation is more suitable than using state-of-the-art quaternions. By using this method, we achieved a promising accuracy for the rotation regression of 98.36\%, considering an error range lower than 15 degrees, forming a base for the automation of fruit packing systems.
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1. Introduction

The food industry has been showing an increasing demand for high-quality products [1]. The fruit consumption in Germany amounted to more than 65 kg per head on average in the year 2016 [2]. The turnover in fruit and vegetable processing in Germany during the same period reached approximately 10.5 billion € [3], distributed over more than 240 companies with more than 31,700 employees involved in this field [4,5]. The processes chain for fruit harvesting and sale can be summarized in the following steps. First, the fruit is collected, washed and distributed according to its size, color, shape or weight. These factors are used to classify the fruit according to their quality. The fruit is usually stored and prepared to be transported as bulk cargo. Once it reaches the distribution centers, the fruit is packaged, labeled and sent to the markets for sale. Fruit packaging usually consists of positioning the fruit in cartons or trays. The fruit should be inspected manually to discard fruit with defects or in poor condition. In order to package the fruit in trays, the fruit must lay with a determinate orientation such that the package and the fruit will not be affected. In the case of apples, the stem is carefully positioned to avoid tearing the plastic film used for packaging.
The final presentation of the product and damage prevention are the main reasons to place pressure-sensitive fruits, such as apples, pears, and kiwis, in trays. The packaging is currently done with expensive and inflexible machinery, which increases the operational cost of the product. The process can be automated and integrated with existing systems using robotic arms that can collaborate with the employees, but the position and possible defects of the fruit must be identified first. Advances in vision-based systems are becoming an essential part of the production and logistics sector, representing one of the key concepts of Industry 4.0 [6]. The use of advanced machine-learning tools such as deep-learning can allow advanced image processing with high adaptability to variable conditions. In this article, we propose a vision-based system able to identify the position and orientation of apples in a picture taken from a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera. For this purpose, we propose a cascaded system architecture using one convolutional neural network (CNN) to detect the apples and their bounding box and a second CNN to estimate the rotation of each apple.

2. Related Literature

The use of convolutional neural networks (CNNs) has been revolutionary for image classification tasks; in most cases, the results obtained are more accurate and more accessible to achieve than conventional methods [7]. Pose estimation is a challenging task that includes the 3D location and orientation of an object in space. Finding the pose of an object using images can be approached using CNNs. In order to perform classification, the continuous space should be fragmented into the desired number of classes, but this approach would produce uncertainty depending on the number of classes.

Additionally, the relationship between consecutive classes is ignored. An alternative to classification is regression, which returns a continuous value instead of the discrete classes. Due to its inherent property of continuity, most recent attempts for orientation estimation are done by regression [8–10].

The use of CNNs for pose estimation was proposed by Xiang et al. with a new CNN architecture named PoseCNN [10]. PoseCNN predicts 3D rotation by regressing it to a quaternion representation. The network could handle occlusion and symmetric objects by means of two new loss functions. PoseCNN is based merely on vision data, proving the feasibility of 6D pose estimation without additional sensors. Nevertheless, it shows that obtaining the orientation of small objects with less texture is less precise, and apples or similar objects were not included in the dataset.

Deep-6DPose is another network able to find the pose using CNNs. The network localizes the object in one branch and a second branch determines the rotation and depth. This method uses regression, but instead of using the quaternion representation like other methods, it uses Lie algebra for the rotation estimation, which allows the network to predict each element independently without constraint [8]. Instead of using only one CNN for pose estimation, it is also possible to create a cascaded architecture, as proposed by Rad and Lepetit, with the BB8 architecture [9]. BB8 uses a CNN for object detection and another CNN to predict the 3D bounding box of the detected object in a Red-Green-Blue (RGB) image. Finally, the pose is estimated by finding the correspondence between the projected corners and the 3D ground control points of bounding box corners. The disadvantage of this method is that the possible mismatch of the predicted corners can lead to inaccurate prediction of the pose.

Periyasamy et al. have presented a similar approach for the regression of the unit quaternion representation of the orientation [11]. Two CNNs were created to find the pose estimation based on direct regression. A dataset with RGB and RGB-Depth (RGB-D) images was designed to train the networks. The 3D orientation and 2D position were obtained from the network; the distance of the object was obtained using depth information from the RGB-D image. The method was used in complex bin-packing scenarios. Kehl et al. presented a similar approach using both RGB and RGB-D images [12]. They extended the use of a single shot multibox detector (SSD) to a pose estimator and called the network SSD-6D. The 3D rotation estimation is based on viewpoint and in-plane rotation classification.

Previous work has also addressed the orientation detection of apples using CNNs. Giefer et al. used laser triangulation to obtain images containing depth information [13]. The images were
rendered using surface normal information to train existing CNNs architectures. The accuracy of the models was evaluated considering distortions lower than 15 degrees for each axis. The best result was obtained using InceptionRes-NetV2, showing a validation accuracy of 92.68%. Eizentals and Oka presented a method for the pose estimation of green pepper [14]. A laser range finder was used to obtain surface points of the fruits to which a model matching was applied to find the stem position. In Lin et al., a method for the detection and pose estimation of guavas by means of low-cost RGB-D sensors was proposed, which achieved a promising precision of 98.3% [15]. Park et al. proposed a method for 6D pose estimation of natural objects using point clouds [16]. They tested their approach using bananas and apples. They evaluated accuracy using Acc15 together with a maximum average distance with corresponding critical parts. They obtained an average accuracy of 0.79 for bananas and 0.68 for apples. Nevertheless, they did not distinguish stalk concave and the opposite concave from the apples. Lehnert et al. used fused data from and RGB-D camera and an eye-in-hand camera to represent their data [17]. They then used superellipsoid fitting to get the pose of sweet peppers, obtaining an average deviation error of 0.042 m for the position and 30.16° for the orientation in all axis. The main disadvantage of the majority of previous research is that the pose estimation techniques need depth data captured by either laser range finders, depth cameras or a combination of laser projection and a camera. To our knowledge, no other fruit pose estimation methods use CNNs and RGB images only. With our proposed method, we reduce the required hardware to a single RGB-camera.

3. Methods

3.1. Representation of Orientation of a Rigid Body

The orientation of a particular object is expressed by the rotation about its three axes and can be represented by a rotation matrix with the constraints:

\[ RR^T = R^T R = I \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

and:

\[ \text{det}(R) = 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

According to a special case of Euler’s rotation theorem, a single rotation with the rotational angle \( \theta \) around the axis \( \hat{r} \) with \( \| \hat{r} \|_2 = 1 \) is equivalent to any combination of rotations [18]. All rotation matrices of space with size \( n \times n \) belong to a so-called rotation group \( SO(n) \) of size \( n \). Hence, all rotation matrices of the third dimension represent elements of the rotation group \( SO(3) \) [19]. Using Euler angles for pose estimations can lead to a problem called Gimbal lock, where two of the three rotation axes lay parallel to each other and, because of that, one degree of freedom is lost [20]. Due to that fact, we want to evaluate the suitability of two different approaches represented by quaternions and Lie algebra.

In contrast to Euler angles, quaternions are unambiguous and allow a rigid body to be rotated without the occurrence of singularities. Thereby, a four-dimensional vector space with one real component, the scalar part, and three imaginary components, the vector part, is created, which can be expressed as:

\[ Q = a \cdot 1 + b \cdot i + c \cdot j + d \cdot k \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where 1, i, j, k build the basis of the quaternion over \( \mathbb{R} \) with the real-valued coefficients \( a, b, c, d \). By normalizing the quaternion by means of division by its magnitude, we obtained a unit quaternion with a magnitude equal to one. Due to the so-called antipodal symmetry, two possible quaternion representations exist for object orientation, which is \( Q \) and \(-Q\) [21]. By restricting the solution to the half-positive-space \( a > 0 \), we obtained a unique quaternion.

Lie algebra is an algebraic structure, and is defined by a vector space [22]. According to Lie’s third theorem, which is part of the Lie group–Lie algebra correspondence, every Lie algebra of finite dimension is associated to a particular Lie group, which enables a mapping from one into the other. Due to the fact that every rotation group represents a Lie group, rotation matrices can be mapped to a
Lie algebra. Logarithmic maps serve for mapping from a Lie group to a Lie algebra, while exponential maps serve for the mapping from a Lie algebra to a Lie group. Elements of the Lie algebra of the third dimension $SO(3)$ are skew-symmetric matrices $w_x$ of size $3 \times 3$ with the rotation vector $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$ and can be defined by:

$$w_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -w_3 & w_2 \\ w_3 & 0 & -w_1 \\ -w_2 & w_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

with:

$$w_x \in \mathbb{R}^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

During the training of our neural network, we regressed the rotation vector $w$. Therefore, the logarithmic map:

$$w_x = \ln(R) = \frac{\theta}{2\sin \theta} (R - R^T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

with:

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\text{tr}(R) - 1}{2}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

was used to obtain the skew-symmetric matrix from a rotation matrix. The exponential map, called Rodrigues formula:

$$R = e^{w_x} = I + \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} w_x + \left(1 - \cos \theta \right) \frac{w_x^2}{\theta^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

with:

$$\theta^2 = w^T w$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

maps the Lie algebra element to a rotation matrix. With rotation angles near to zero, the second and third coefficients of the Taylor expansion were suitable to be used [23].

3.2. Image Capturing

For the capture of apple images, we used a CMOS (Complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor) RGB camera with 1.3 megapixels and up to 60 frames per second (fps). Furthermore, we attached two LED (light-emitting diode) rings for illumination purposes. A schematic illustration of the image capturing setup can be seen in Figure 1.

![Image capturing system](image.png)
We built up a database of apples containing views in 10-degree intervals, leading to 614 images per apple with a size of 640 × 512 pixels. For the whole dataset, we used 20 apples, and hence generated 12,280 images. For our localization and orientation detection networks, 80% of the images were used for training and 20% for evaluation purposes. Each image was annotated with seven numbers, which are represented by the X- and Y-coordinates of the upper left corner of the bounding box framing the apple, the X- and Y-coordinates of the lower right corner of the bounding box and the three Euler angles representing the rotation. These labels serve as ground-truth data. Due to the presumed symmetry of an apple around its Y-axis, only the rotation around the X- and Z-axis are considered. Figure 2 illustrates the rotation axis used in this work.

![Rotation axes of apples used.](image)

Figure 2. Rotation axes of apples used.

Furthermore, we constructed a rotation system using a stepper motor for the automatic rotation around the apple X-axis, while the rotation around the Z-axis was made manually.

3.3. Convolutional Neural Network Architecture

To obtain the location and orientation of a captured object, we used a two-stage convolutional neural network [9]. The approach of cascading multiple CNNs allowed us to achieve high accuracies because of the task-specificity of each stage of the network. Furthermore, each stage can be trained by optimizing one specific loss function for the particular task. The neural network consists of two parts, which are represented by the position predictor for the object localization by means of bounding boxes and the object pose predictor for the estimation of the rotation angles. In the first step, the bounding box of each apple visible in an input image is regressed, and afterward, each image part within a bounding box is used for the pose estimation. For proper rotation detection, the particular object of which the orientation should be estimated has to fill the whole input image. The pipeline structure is illustrated in Figure 3.

For the localization stage of our CNN, we use a single shot multibox detector (SSD) model which is based on the VGG-16 image classification network [24]. To find the three-dimensional orientation of an apple, we implemented the network architecture Deep-6DPose, which is one of the few models that can estimate the rotation using only RGB information [8]. Primarily, this network uses Lie algebra representation for the pose estimation. We used the standard configuration in one training sequence and additionally adapted the network to work with quaternion representation in a second run to compare these two approaches. Therefore, we changed the number of output nodes from three to four to match the number of quaternion components. Furthermore, we used a Hyperbolic tangent activation for the last layer to restrict the predicted values to the range [−1,1], and added an L2 normalizer to the network to obtain the Euclidean norm of the predicted output.
Figure 3. Pipeline of the proposed system using cascaded convolutional neural networks (CNNs).

We compared the results of the Deep-6DPose model to implementations of three standard classification networks that are adjusted to perform a regression both of the quaternion and the Lie algebra representation of the apple pose. According to [25], the networks with the best accuracies belong to Inception [26], ResNet [27] and VGGNet [28].

3.4. Configuration

The standard loss function used for the SSD localization network is a combination of a confidence loss $L_{conf}$ and a localization loss $L_{loc}$ and defined by:

$$L_{SSD} = \frac{1}{N}(L_{conf} + \alpha L_{loc})$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$N$ represents the amount of matched default boxes with the ground truth boxes. If this value equals zero, the factor $1/N$ is set to zero. Using the hyper-parameter $\alpha$, the impacts of each loss function part can be balanced. The confidence is defined by a softmax loss function between the predicted and the ground-truth bounding box was used:

$$L_{conf}(x, c) = -\sum_{i \in \text{Pos}}^N x_{ij}^p \log (c_i^p) - \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}} \log (c_i^d)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

with:

$$c_i^p = \frac{\exp(c_i^p)}{\sum_p \exp(c_i^p)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

For the localization loss, a smooth L1 loss, which is a robust alternative for the mean absolute error due to its lower sensitivity to outliers, between the predicted and the ground-truth bounding box was used:

$$L_{loc}(x, l, g) = \sum_{i \in \text{Pos} \; m \in \{x, y, ax, ay\}}^N \sum_j x_{ij}^p \text{smooth} \; L_1(l_i^m - g_j^m)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

with:

$$\text{smooth} \; L_1(y) = \begin{cases} 0.5y^2 & \text{if } |y| < 1 \\ |y| - 0.5 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

As a metric for evaluating the object detector predictions, we used the mean average precision (mAP) described in [30].
The loss function used for the Deep-6DPose network for the pose estimation is the mean absolute error (MAE), which is defined by:

\[
MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f_i - y_i|
\]  

To obtain a metric for evaluating the performance of the regression, we introduced the metric acc15, which is defined by the ratio between predictions with errors less than 15 degrees and the total number of predicted outputs. Thus we created an equivalent of the accuracy metric, which is used for classification networks, for our regression problem.

### 3.5. Training and Evaluation

We used 80% of our dataset for training samples and the remaining 20% for validation. For both stages of the CNN structure, we evaluated the performance of different optimizers represented by stochastic gradient descent (SGD) [31], Adam [32] and Adadelta [33], and used batch sizes of size ten because this is the limitation of our GPU. During the training we applied different types of data augmentations, for example, cropping, Gaussian and saturation noise. Early stopping was used to stop the network as soon as no improvement of our defined accuracy metric for 30 epochs was achieved, which was determined as an appropriate value before. Using that, a fixed number of epochs is not necessary. Both early stopping and image augmentation help to prevent overfitting.

We applied a 5-fold cross-validation to analyze whether our model is well-generalized and if it showed a proper behavior in practice. Therefore, we sub-divided our dataset into five equally sized subsets and used one part as validation and the remaining parts as training sets. This step was repeated five times until every subset has been used as a validation set. The mean of the validation accuracies of the five runs was used to compare the models. The results can be observed in Figure 4.

![5-fold cross validation: Lie algebra (left) and quaternion representation (right).](image1)

Additionally, the loss graph during testing for each iteration, shown in Figure 5, indicates that overfitting is being avoided. It is important to take into account that the values of the loss cannot be compared, since quaternions and Lie algebra have different definitions.

![Testing loss vs. iteration.](image2)
4. Results

We obtained the best results for the pose estimation both for the Lie algebra and the quaternion representation by using the Adadelta optimizer. Table 1 shows the comparison of the acc15 accuracies between the Deep-6DPose model and the adjusted standard networks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Deep-6DPose [%]</th>
<th>InceptionV3 [%]</th>
<th>ResNet50 [%]</th>
<th>VGG19 [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lie algebra</td>
<td>98.36</td>
<td>91.92</td>
<td>95.21</td>
<td>88.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaternion</td>
<td>97.12</td>
<td>82.74</td>
<td>94.11</td>
<td>89.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For our research, an error under 15 degrees does not represent a problem for placing the apples in trays. Nevertheless, other accuracy metrics provide a deeper insight into the results obtained and are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acc20deg [%]</th>
<th>Acc15deg [%]</th>
<th>Acc10deg [%]</th>
<th>Acc5deg [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lie algebra</td>
<td>99.18</td>
<td>98.36</td>
<td>95.92</td>
<td>64.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaternion</td>
<td>99.32</td>
<td>97.12</td>
<td>86.68</td>
<td>46.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Lie algebra representation showed an overall better performance than the quaternion representation, except for the VGG19 network. With an accuracy of more than 98%, the Deep-6DPose model achieved the best result, which was more than three percentage points higher than the second best network (ResNet50). Even the Deep-6DPose model with quaternion representation outperformed the other models, which proves the optimal suitability for orientation detection purposes. Figure 6 shows the comparison of the angle distortion between predicted and ground-truth values. Both axes exhibited similar frequency distributions resembling one half of a Gaussian distribution centered between two and three degrees. Compared to a conference paper by several of the present authors [13], the error of the predicted angle around the X-axis is approximately three degrees less.

![Figure 6](image-url)  
Figure 6. Frequency distributions around the X-axis (a) and the Z-axis (b).

It is observable that our model performed well on all subsets and that we obtained a mean validation accuracy of 98.79% and 96.77% for the Lie algebra and the quaternion representation, respectively.

The position estimation stage showed the best performance using the SGD optimizer, as was originally proposed for that model. Evaluating the network localization performance with different values for the coefficient $\alpha$, we obtained the lowest localization loss for a value of $\alpha = 10$. Since detecting the apple in the image is not a very challenging task, it was always recognized and classified as an apple, so precision and recall are one. For this reason, the value for the intersection over union (IoU) is calculated. The apples were detected and localized in the images with a mean average precision value for the IoU of 100% when using a threshold of 80% intersection and a value of 72% when using a threshold of 90%. This proves the suitability of the chosen model for the localization task.
5. Discussion

In this article, we proposed a method for the pose estimation of apples within images captured with an RGB camera by means of a two-stage CNN system. We compared the performance of four different network models and achieved high accuracies by using a Lie algebra representation for the apple pose. This approach proves optimal suitability for applications where a determination of the precise position and rotation of objects is necessary. We showed the applicability of our method for objects with irregular shapes, which are often found in nature, and thus our method could build a basis for a lot of different applications, for example in the food industry.

For future work, we want to extend our model to obtain the ability of surface defect detection, for example, scratches, fungi or bruises. Additionally, we want to train our network to classify different kinds of fruits, and thus, as a result, establish a fully-automated fruit sorting and packing system.
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