

# Meta-study of carbon dioxide capture technologies

Finding the signal in the noise

*Canadian J of Chemical Engineering Lectureship Award Lecture*



**Thomas A. Adams II**



**Leila Hosenzade**



**Pranav Madabhushi**



**Ikenna Okeke**

**McMaster University**  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
McMaster Advanced Control Consortium

XXIX Interamerican Congress of Chemical Engineering Incorporating the 68th Canadian Chemical Engineering Conference

**October 31, 2018**

LAPSE:2018.0807 Download at [PSEcommunity.org/LAPSE:2018.0807](https://PSEcommunity.org/LAPSE:2018.0807)



*This lecture supported by...*


# The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering

Can. J. Chem. Eng. Lectureship Award  
Thank you for your support!

*Presenting results from the paper:*

Nease J, Adams TA II. Life Cycle Analyses of Bulk-Scale Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Power Plants and Comparisons to the Natural Gas Combined Cycle.

*Canadian J Chem Eng*, 93:1349-1363 (2015).



Canadian Society for Chemical Engineering | **For Our Future**  
Société canadienne de génie chimique | **Pour notre avenir**

[www.cheminst.ca](http://www.cheminst.ca)

*This lecture supported by...*



## Processes Travel Grant

Two Travel Awards (800 CHF each) are waiting for your application!

*Presenting results from the paper:*

Adams TA II, Hoseinzade L, Madabhushi P, Okeke IJ. Comparison of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Approaches for Fossil-Based Power Generation: Review and Meta-Study. *Processes* **2017**, 5, 44.



Submit to Special Issue "**Process Systems Engineering à la Canada**" for this Conference.

Thomas A. Adams II

## 2019 PROCESSES TRAVEL AWARDS

FOR POSTDOCTORAL FELLOWS AND PhD STUDENTS



Download Slides at [PSEcommunity.org/LAPSE:2018.0807](https://PSEcommunity.org/LAPSE:2018.0807)

# Big Picture Overview





- We have **so many technology ideas** for reducing greenhouse emissions.
- Which should we **focus** on now?
- Where should our **money be invested**?
- **What should we do first**, and then next?

# Fundamental Problem of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Sequestration

- **Fundamental problem:** separation of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> in flue gases:
  - We need to go from **dilute to high purity**

- We need to go from **low pressure to high pressure**
- And there's **an awful lot** of it (~7 million ton/yr per coal power plant).

TYPICAL COAL POWER FLUE EXHAUST, 1 BAR

	Mol %	Kinetic Diameter (Images to Scale)
N <sub>2</sub> (&Ar)	68%	 3.6 Å
O <sub>2</sub>	2%	 3.45 Å
CO <sub>2</sub>	13%	 3.30 Å
H <sub>2</sub> O	17%	 2.7 Å



CO<sub>2</sub> PIPELINE LIMITS, 120 BAR


	Kinder Morgan	Sleipner
N <sub>2</sub> (&Ar)	<4%	3-5%
O <sub>2</sub>	<50ppm	<50ppm
CO <sub>2</sub>	>95%	93-96%
H <sub>2</sub> O	<690ppm	<Saturated

Sources: NETL 2007 - Bituminous Baseline Report (see required reading). Adams & Barton, AIChE J (2010)  
deVisser E., et al. Dynamis CO2 quality recommendations. Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Cont. 2008, 2, 478-484  
Molecule Images from chemistry.about.com.  
Sizes from Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6058 – 6082.



# Post-Combustion Solvent-Based Capture


## Pulverized Coal Example



# Post-Combustion Membrane-Based Capture

## Flue Gas from Upstream Combustion

Various configurations  
Typically 2 or 3 stages  
Hard to get both purity  
and recovery




CO<sub>2</sub> / N<sub>2</sub> Membrane with Vacuum Permeate.  
Not Pure Enough CO<sub>2</sub>

Second CO<sub>2</sub> / N<sub>2</sub>  
Membrane  
Higher Purity CO<sub>2</sub>




# Post-Combustion Solid-Based Capture






# Pre-Combustion Solvent-Based Capture (IGCC)

Need High Purity Oxygen to prevent N<sub>2</sub> injection




# Pre-Combustion Membrane-Based Capture





# Oxyfuel Combustion




# Chemical Looping Combustion

Hot, High Pressure Air  
Spins turbine for electricity


Air reacts with reduced metals  
Creates heat and metal oxides  
 $O_2 + Me \rightarrow MeO + \text{Heat}$

Fuel combusts using  
metal oxides instead of air  
 $MeO + CH_4 + \text{Heat} \rightarrow H_2O + CO_2 + Me$





# Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) Process



# Recap

Type	Separation Problem	ASU Requirements	CO <sub>2</sub> Capture Pressure	Example Applications
Solvent-based Post-Combustion	CO <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub>	—	1 bar	Pulverized Coal, NGCC
Membrane-Based Post-Combustion	CO <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub>	—	Vacuum	Pulverized Coal, NGCC
Solid-Based Post-Combustion	CO <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub>	Low	1 bar	Pulverized Coal, NGCC
Solvent-Based Pre-Combustion	CO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub>	Medium	10-50 bar	IGCC, pre-reforming NGCC
Membrane-Based Pre-Combustion	CO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub>	Medium	Vacuum	IGCC, pre-reforming NGCC
Oxyfuels	CO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O	High	1 bar	Gasified Coal/Nat Gas
Chemical Looping	CO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O	—	10-50 bar	Gasified Coal/Nat Gas
Solid Oxide Fuel Cells	CO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O	Low	1-20 bar	Gasified Coal/Nat Gas



# Key Problems

- No systematic comparison between processes
  - Everyone claims their own process is the best when compared against some other
  - Wide variation in assumptions, strategies and ideas.
- 
- Solution: Meta-Study of ~100 published data points on those 8 processes.
  - Convert to a standard basis of comparison


# Standards

- **Size:** 550 MW net, plant gate
  - Nonfuel costs scaled with power law method  $p=0.9$
- **Time & Place:** 1Q2016 USA
  - **Time:** North American Plant Cost Index
  - **Place:** Purchasing Power Parity Index
- **Fuel**
  - US Bituminous Coal #6 2016 Avg Price
  - US Conventional Average Gas Mix 2016 Avg Price
- **Captured CO<sub>2</sub> at plant gate**
  - **Pressure:** >115 bar
  - **Purity:** >95 mol%
  - **Capture Rate:** 90-100%
- **LCA:** Cradle to Gate GHG
  - Consistent NO<sub>x</sub> production where neglected in original
  - Standardize cradle-to-plant-entrance life cycle impacts
- **CCA:** Cost of CO<sub>2</sub> Avoided
  - Same standard plant without CCS
  - SCPC and NGCC US baseline std's




# Overall

- SOFC clear winner for coal and gas
- NGCC w/CCS excellent near term solution
- No point in using membranes!
- Oxyfuels / CLC good coal intermediate step



# Cost of CO<sub>2</sub> Avoided

$$CCA = \frac{LCOE_{scaled,standard} - LCOE_{basecase}}{GWP_{basecase} - GWP_{scaled,standard}}$$



**Special Point:**  
Switching from coal  
to gas w/o CCS  
No point to new coal  
at all in North  
America!

**Sweet Spot:**  
The best of post-combustion  
solvent systems are the only  
mature technology to be  
competitive.  
Rest requires CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O style  
power gen.

**Negative CCA means:**  
Gas is so cheap in North  
America, there is no point  
to using coal at all.



# Conclusions

- **No point to building new coal**
  - (as long as gas prices stay low)
  - IGCC cannot compete with SCPC
  - Calcium Looping unlikely to either
- **Membranes not so promising**
  - **Coal:** Only fictional membranes could compete with solvents at the system level
  - **Gas:** At best competes with solvent directly, maturity / lifetime issues aside.

- **SOFc is best way to use coal**
  - (Could be better than gas in Asian context. Asian study needed!)

## FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS

- **Near Term:** Use NGCC with CCS
  - Closest thing we have to commercial
- **Long Term:** Use SOFCs with CCS
  - Needs research and investment now
  - Best fossil fuel approach possible
  - Translates well in foreign situations